UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JUL 2 8 2009

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

In re application of : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Halavais et al. : **TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR**
Application No. 12/491,182 : **NEW APPLICATION**
Filed: June 24, 2009 : **UNDER 37 CFR 1.102**
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR DISPLAYING AIRLINE SEATS

This is a decision on the petition filed on June 24, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the

first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed to a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated

examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

    If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is

involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to C. Michelle Tarae at (571) 272-6727.

/C. Michelle Tarae/
C. Michelle Tarae
Business Practice Specialist
Technology Center 3600

CMT/7/27/09

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,187	06/24/2009	Ken Wright	DATCAR.3A1C1C4	4922

20995 7590 07/15/2009

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/15/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR, LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

In re Application of:
KEN WRIGHT et al.
Serial No.: 12/491,187
Filed: June 24, 2009

Title: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR PRODUCING MEDICAL IMAGE DATA ONTO PORTABLE DIGITAL RECORDING MEDIA**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on June 24, 2009 requesting to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

It should be noted that the petition does not identify any cited references that may be disqualified as prior art under 35 USC 103(c). Therefore, it is presumed that petitioner believes that none of the cited references are disqualified as prior art under 35 USC 103(c).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:

If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Doris To, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-7629.

/Doris To/

Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,271	06/25/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343910US2SRD DIV	5086

22850 7590 08/03/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/491,271	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 25, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343910US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The

application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.T

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 13 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/491,346	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 25, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343807US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 13 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/491,347 :
Filed: June 25, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 343741US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,375	06/25/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343711US2SRD DIV	5262

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/491,375	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 25, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343711US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,398	06/25/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343751US2SRD DIV	5316

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/491,398	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 25, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343751US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D2e

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 20 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/491,400 :
Filed: June 25, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **343863US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

Best Available Copy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,449	06/25/2009	REMY GRIFFAIS	G.032US05DIV	5417

7590 07/01/2010
SALIWANCHIK LLOYD & SALIWANCHIK
A PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
PO Box 142950
GAINESVILLE, FL 32614

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1645	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Refund Ref:
06/30/2010 [illegible]

Credit Card Refund Total: $1344.00

[illegible]

Adjustment date: 06/30/2010 NFARMER
06/25/2009 [illegible] 00014186 12491449
02 FC:1111 -540.00 OP
05 FC:1202 -364.00 OP
06 FC:1201 -440.00 OP

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,473	06/25/2009	Chunqing Liu	H0023457	5464

23490 7590 02/05/2010

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1797	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/05/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IP.Docketclerk@uop.com
PatentServices-US@Honeywell.com
IP.Docketclerk@Honeywell.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN NJ 07962

FEB 05 2010

In re Application of :
Liu et al. :
Application No. 12/491,473 :
Filed: 6/25/2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **H0023457** :

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 12/10/2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1797 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIW Jan-10

BAKER & DANIELS LLP
111 E. WAYNE STREET
SUITE 800
FORT WAYNE IN 46802

COPY MAILED

JAN 25 2010

In re Application of	:	
Jewsbury, Hyndman, Mannl, and	:	
Alexander	:	
Application Number: 12/491662	:	DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Filing Date: 06/25/2009	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(b)
Attorney Docket Number:	:	
RBL0150-01	:	

This is a decision in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) filed on November 5, 2009.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(b)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Extensions of time may be obtained in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a).

On June 25, 2009, the above-identified application was filed without an executed oath or declaration.

Accordingly, on July 10, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mail a Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application requiring an oath or declaration, replacement drawings, and a surcharge for their late filing. The Notice set a two (2)-month period for reply.

In response, on November 5, 2009, the subject petition was filed, accompanied by a two (2)-month extension of time and a declaration naming Anthony Alexander, Richard Hyndman, Robin

Jewsbury, and David Mannl as joint inventors, signed by Rule 1.47(b) applicant.

Petitioners assert, via the declaration of Heinz Polsterer, that joint inventors Jewsbury and Mannl have failed to sign and return the declaration, and that joint inventors Alexander and Hyndman could not be reached. Specifically, petitioners aver that letters including the declaration and power of attorney were sent to each inventor, but that the delivery of the letters to Alexander and Hyndman "failed". Petitioners state that no response was received to the letters sent to Jewsbury and Mannl.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) requires:

(1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings);

(2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116;

(3) the petition fee;

(4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor;

(5) proof of proprietary interest, and

(6) proof of irreparable damage.

The petition lacks items (1), (2), (5), and (6).

In regards to item (1), petitioners has not shown that a copy of the application as filed (specification, including claims, drawings, if any, and the declaration) was sent to each of the non-signing inventors.[1]

As such, in order for Rule 47(b) status to be granted in this application, petitioner must show that the non-signing inventors were presented with the application papers (specification, including the claims, drawings, if any, and the declaration) for the present application. The showing of record indicates that only the declaration and a power of attorney was sent to each inventor.

Petitioners may show proof that a copy of the application was sent or given to the non-signing inventors for review by providing a copy of the cover letter transmitting the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, if any, and the declaration) to the non-signing inventors or details given in

[1] MPEP 409.03(d).

an affidavit or declaration of facts by a person having first-hand knowledge of the details.

Likewise, before a *bona fide* refusal to sign the declaration can be alleged, petitioner must show that a copy of the application was sent or given to the non-signing inventor. If the inventor refuses in writing, petitioner must submit a copy of that written refusal with any renewed petition. If the refusal was made orally to a person, then that person must provide details of the refusal in an affidavit or declaration of fact.

In the event that the application papers are returned as undeliverable, petitioners may show diligent efforts to locate the non-signing inventor by providing a copy of an envelope showing that a letter sent to the last known address of the non-signing inventor was returned as undeliverable by the post office. Furthermore, details of the efforts made to locate the non-signing inventor should be set forth in an affidavit or declaration of facts by a person having first-hand knowledge of the details. Additionally, if any inventor no longer lives at the last known address, petitioners may show diligent efforts by use of a national registry or other database to determine a current address for the non-signing inventor. If a more recent address is discovered, petitioners should send or give a copy of the application papers to the inventor at that address.

If repeated attempts to contact the non-signing inventor are unsuccessful, petitioners will have shown that despite diligent efforts, the inventor could not be reached.

In regards to item (2), an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 must be supplied. The declaration must include the name, citizenship, residence, and mailing address of the non-signing inventor. If the inventor refuses to sign the declaration, the declaration must be signed by an officer of the corporation (president, vice president, secretary, treasurer, or chief executive officer) on behalf of and as agent for the non-signing inventor. The officer must identify his or her title in the declaration. If the declaration is not signed by an officer of the corporation, then proof of the authority of the person signing on behalf of the corporation must be submitted (See MPEP 409.03(b)).

The declaration filed with the petition is not acceptable because it does not list the title of the person signing on behalf of the Rule 1.47(b) applicant. Further, if the declaration is not signed by an officer of the corporation, then proof of the

authority of the person signing on behalf of the corporation must be submitted (See MPEP 409.03(b)).

In regard to item (5), petitioner has not shown sufficient proprietary interest in the subject matter to justify filing of the application under 37 CFR 1.47(b).[2] Petitioner may provide proof by showing a copy of the employment agreement between the non-signing inventor and the Rule 1.47(b) applicant (company); a copy of an assignment agreement showing that the invention disclosed in the application is assigned to the Rule 1.47(b) applicant, or a legal memorandum signed by an attorney familiar with the law of the jurisdiction stating that a court of competent jurisdiction would by the weight of authority in that jurisdiction award the title of the invention to the Rule 1.47(b) applicant.

In regards to item (6), petitioner must establish irreparable damages in accordance with MPEP 409.03(g). A statement by applicant that the filing is necessary to prevent irreparable damage or to preserve the rights of the parties is sufficient.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Service Window
Mail Stop Petition
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22134

A reply may also be filed using the EFS-Web system of the USPTO.

---

[2] MPEP 409.03(f).

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

D Wood

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,714	06/25/2009	Madansinh Vaghela	88265-8844	5918

28765 7590 07/31/2009

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/31/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com
mwalker@winston.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JUL 31 2009

CST

In re application of
Madansinh Vaghela et al
Serial No. 12/491,714
Filed: June 25, 2009
For: PROCESS OF MAKING A FROZEN BEVERAGE FROM A FROZEN CONFECTION WITH INCREASED SURFACE AREA AND VOIDS

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition filed on June 25, 2008 to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination program.

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any dependent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview;

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner;

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions regarding the application (section I, subsections 1-4) discussed above are considered to have been met. Additionally, the conditions regarding the petition, section II, subsections 1-4, are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with condition II, subsections 5 and 6.

First, it appears that the search is incomplete. Note that a search in Class 426/subclasses 85, 119, 120, 130, 132, 143, 279, 280, 393 and 580 is also required.

With respect to the references discussed in the Accelerated Examination Support Document (AESD) on pages 4 -6, while petitioner gives a very brief description of each reference, it is noted that for each reference discussed, it is stated that there are no related teachings to either independent claim 1 or independent 19. Applicant is reminded that if the references cited teach any of the features of the claimed invention, applicant is required to show how the cited references correspond to the present claims, for example by mapping each and every claim limitation to the corresponding teaching in the cited reference (by column and line, if appropriate).

Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is dismissed. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,714	06/25/2009	Madansinh Vaghela	88265-8844	5918

28765 7590 08/25/2009
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
HENDRICKS, KEITH D	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com
mwalker@winston.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AUG 25 2009

CST

In re application of
Madansinh Vaghela et al
Serial No. 12/491,714
Filed: June 25, 2009
For: PROCESS OF MAKING A FROZEN BEVERAGE FROM A FROZEN CONFECTION WITH INCREASED SURFACE AREA AND VOIDS

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the Request for Reconsideration of Petition to Make Special under the Accelerated Examination Program filed on August 13, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED.**

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C
PO BOX 7021
TROY, MI 48007-7021

COPY MAILED

AUG 3 1 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Colin Neale	:	
Application No. 12/491,751	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 25, 2009	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. NCO-10003/49	:	37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed June 25, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a declaration statement signed by applicant. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at 571-272-1642. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3618 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/AMW/
April M. Wise
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD
500 WEST MADISON STREET
SUITE 3400
CHICAGO, IL 60661

MAILED

APR 15 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Kevin Francis Prendergast, et. al. : DECISION GRANTING STATUS
Application No. 12/491,753 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: June 25, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 20835US01 :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed November 9, 2009.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner has shown that the non-signing inventor cannot be located to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

It is noted that petitioner authorizes the Office to charge $130 for treatment of the present petition. However, the fee required is $200. Therefore, the fee deficiency of $70 has been charged to petitioner's deposit account.

This application file is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 3714 for examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.

Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Kevin Francis Prendergast
Unit P01
780 Bourke Street
East Redfern NSW 2016
AUSTRALIA

MAILED

APR 15 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Kevin Francis Prendergast, et. al.
Application No. 12/491,753
Filed: June 25, 2009
For: MEHTOD OF GAMING, A GAMING SYSTEM AND A GAME CONTROLLER

Dear Mr. Prendergast:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: Mcandrews Held & Malloy, Ltd.
500 West Madison Street
Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60661

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 20 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/491,829 :
Filed: June 25, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **343802US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HOLME, ROBERTS & OWEN, LLP – CLEINT 1
1700 LINCOLN STREET
SUITE 4100
DENVER, CO 80203

MAILED

JUL 08 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
David R. Hall, et al. :
Application No. 12/491,848 :
Filed: June 25, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 55724-10864 :

NOTICE

This is in response to the paper filed May 26, 2010 under 37 CFR 1.28(g)(2) requesting that status as a Small Entity be removed.

In accordance with the 37 CFR 1.28(g)2 request, status as a Small Entity has been removed.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at 571-272-1642. All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This file is being referred back to the Technology Center AU 3672 to await action on the merits.

/AMW/
April M. Wise
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/491,848	06/25/2009	David R. Hall	55724-10864

CONFIRMATION NO. 6145

POA ACCEPTANCE LETTER

81727
Holme Roberts & Owen LLP-Client-1
1700 Lincoln Street, Suite 4100
Denver, CO 80203

OC000000042413116

Date Mailed: 07/02/2010

NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed 05/26/2010.

The Power of Attorney in this application is accepted. Correspondence in this application will be mailed to the above address as provided by 37 CFR 1.33.

/amwise/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,870	06/25/2009	Nobuaki Suzuki	SUTOSH.428AUS	6191

EXAMINER

7590 12/22/2009

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2431	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Refund Ref:
12/24/2009 0030078094

Credit Card Refund Total: $540.00

Credit Card: xxxxxxxxxxxx5583

Adjustment date: 12/24/2009 NFARHER
06/25/2009 INTEFSW 00003348 12491870
02 FC:1111 -540.00 DP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
1320 MAIN STREET, 17TH FLOOR
COLUMBIA, SC 29201

COPY MAILED

DEC 0 3 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Lawson et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/491,874	:	TO WITHDRAW
Filed: June 25, 2009	:	FROM RECORD
Attorney Docket No. 41832/5438	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed September 9, 2009.

The request is **DISMISSED** as involving a moot issue.

A review of the file record indicates that the previous power of attorney was revoked by the assignee on October 29, 2009. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise properly notified.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3206. All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Liana Walsh
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: JELD-WEN, INC. - STOEL RIVES LLP
900 SW FIFTH AVENUE
SUITE 2600
PORTLAND OR 97204-1268

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,908	06/25/2009	Sung Duck Chun	8737.126.20	6263

30827 7590 03/05/2010
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2472	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006

In re Application of: Sung Duck CHUN et al.
Application No. 12491908
Filed: June 25, 2009
For: METHOD FOR TRANSITIONING BETWEEN MULTIPLE RECEPTION LEVELS

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

MAILED

MAR 05 2010

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed February 19, 2010, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application is
(a) a Paris Convention application which either
(i) validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the KIPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

Or

(b) a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the KIPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim,

Or

(c) a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 (a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the KIPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim.

Where the KIPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims is not the same application for which priority is claimed in the U.S. application, applicant must identify the relationship between the KIPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims and the KIPO priority application claimed in the U.S. application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KR application(s);
b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s), if the claims were published in a language other than English); and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s) and
b. Submit a claim correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
a. A copy of all the office action(s) (which are relevant to patentability), excluding "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the KR application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s);
b. An English language translation of the KIPO office action(s) (if the office action(s) are not in the English language); and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action (unless already filed in this application); and
b. Copies of all the documents cited in the KIPO office action, except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already filed in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are found to comply with all the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/491,968	06/25/2009	Sung Duck Chun	8737.126.21	6371

30827 7590 04/21/2010

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
URBAN, EDWARD F	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2618	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006

In re Application of	:	
CHUN, SUNG DUCK et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/491,968	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 25, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No.: **8737.126.21**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed February 22, 2010, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,190	06/26/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	343817US2SRD DIV	6837

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/492,190	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 26, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343817US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 20 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/492,228 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **343827US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Shimon Mizrahi

Application No. 12492234

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 1431-001

:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 01-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 13 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/492,241 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 343746US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

AUG 13 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/492,249 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 343766US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,272	06/26/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343777US2SRD DIV	6991

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/492,272	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 26, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343777US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.T

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,384	06/26/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	343845US2SRD DIV	7185

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/492,384	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 26, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343845US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HUFFMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.
1900 MESA AVE.
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906

COPY MAILED
FEB 02 2010

In re Application of :
Robert H. Isham, et al. :
Application No. 12/492,397 : ON PETITION
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. INSL.0150 :

This is a decision on the petition, filed November 19, 2009, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. *See* 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Petitioner states that the instant non-provisional application is the subject of an application filed in a foreign country and the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in a foreign country. A review of the file record shows that a Request to Rescind was filed on November 19, 2009. However, petitioner has not provided the exact filing date of the foreign application. Before a proper determination on the merits of the petition can be decided, petitioner must supply the filing date of the foreign application in a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b).

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704. No additional petition fee is required.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window
Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By Fax: (571) 273-8300
ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to April M. Wise at (571) 272-1642. All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/Carl Friedman/
Carl Friedman
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Attachment: Blank copy of PTO/SB/64a Petition for Revival of an Application Abandoned for Failure to Notify Office of a Foreign or International Filing 37 CFR 1.137(f)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HUFFMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.
1900 MESA AVE.
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906

MAILED

MAR 2 9 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Robert H. Isham, et al.
Application No. 12/492,397
Filed: June 26, 2009
Attorney Docket No. INSL.0150

DECISION ON PETITION
UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

This is a decision on the renewed petition, filed February 10, 2010, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. *See* 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen-month publication country on July 27, 2009. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen-month publication country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and
(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request which sets forth the projected publication date of June 6, 2010 accompanies this decision on petition.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to April M. Wise at (571) 272-1642. All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 2838 for examination in due course.

/Carl Friedman/
Carl Friedman
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

ATTACHMENT: Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/492,397	06/26/2009	Robert H. Isham	INSL.0150

CONFIRMATION NO. 7202

23669
HUFFMAN LAW GROUP, P.C.
1900 MESA AVE.
COLORADO SPRINGS, CO 80906

NONPUBLICATION RESCISSION LETTER

Date Mailed: 03/15/2010

Communication Regarding Rescission Of Nonpublication Request and/or Notice of Foreign Filing

Applicant's rescission of the previously-filed nonpublication request and/or notice of foreign filing is acknowledged. The paper has been reflected in the Patent and Trademark Office's (USPTO's) computer records so that the earliest possible projected publication date can be assigned.

The projected publication date is 06/10/2010.

If applicant rescinded the nonpublication request before or on the date of "foreign filing,"[1] then no notice of foreign filing is required.

If applicant foreign filed the application after filing the above application and before filing the rescission, and the rescission did not also include a notice of foreign filing, then a notice of foreign filing (not merely a rescission) is required to be filed within 45 days of the date of foreign filing. See 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii), and Clarification of the United States Patent and Trademark Office's Interpretation of the Provisions of 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(ii)-(iv), 1272 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 22 (July 1, 2003).

If a notice of foreign filing is required and is not filed within 45 days of the date of foreign filing, then the application becomes abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii). In this situation, applicant should either file a petition to revive or notify the Office that the application is abandoned. See 37 CFR 1.137(f). Any such petition to revive will be forwarded to the Office of Petitions for a decision. Note that the filing of the petition will not operate to stay any period of reply that may be running against the application.

Questions regarding petitions to revive should be directed to the Office of Petitions at (571) 272-3282.

[1] Note, for purpose of this notice, that "foreign filing" means "filing an application directed to the same invention in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing".

/amwise/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

THE BLACK & DECKER
CORPORATION
701 EAST JOPPA ROAD, TW199
TOWSON MD 21286

COPY MAILED
MAR 08 2010

In re Application of
Chung, et al.
Application No. 12/492,436
Filed: 26 June, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 577-908

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed on 16 November, 2009, pursuant 37 C.F.R. §1.47.

The petition as considered under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a) is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a).

This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. §704.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a) requires: (1) petition and fee; (2) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification: description, claims and drawings); (3) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor(s)—with diligence in the effort to ascertain the validity of the address set forth as the reasonably believed to be last known/current/valid address.

Petitioner does not seem to have worked through the requirements of the petition, as set forth above, in satisfaction of those requirements. (*See, generally:* MPEP §409.03, and §409.03(a)—the applicable statute (35 U.S.C.§ 116) requires that a "diligent effort" have been expended in attempting to find or reach the non-signing inventor to ensure transmittal to the non-signing

inventors a copy of the entire application (description, claims, abstract, drawings) with a copy of the transmittal letter) to the non-signing inventor(s).)

In particular, Petitioner, inter alia, has not as of this writing satisfied the requirement(s) of the petition as listed above and discussed below.

Petitioners always are reminded that for transmission by Email of any document to be accepted by the Office, written acknowledgment of receipt and readability will be required.

BACKGROUND

The record reflects as follows:

The application was deposited on 26 June, 2009, without, *inter alia*, a fully executed oath/declaration

On 13 July, 2009, the Office mailed a Notice of Missing Parts requiring, *inter alia*, a fully executed oath/declaration.

On 16 November, 2009, Petitioner Adan Ayala (Reg. No. 38,373) filed, *inter alia*, a petition with fee, and a statement pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47, with an oath/declaration executed by co-inventor Deng for himself and on behalf of non-signing inventor Kwok Wah Chung, however, a clear statement by Petitioner indicates that the materials sent to Kwok Wah Chung were not delivered and there is no showing by Petitioner in the record of a diligent effort to ascertain a valid/current/reasonably believed to be last-known address for Kwok Wah Chung in order to send to him the entire application (description, claims, abstract, drawings) as required. These elements of the showing also must be clarified on any renewed petition. Petitioner appears not to have presented to the Office the materials required to make the showing(s) necessary pursuant to the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.47 and MPEP §409.03 et seq. 409.03.

Petitioner must resolve these matters on any renewed petition and is reminded that a grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a) requires: (1) petition and fee; (2) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification: description, claims and drawings); (3) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor(s)—with diligence in the effort to ascertain the validity of the address set forth as the reasonably believed to be last known/current/valid address.

Petitioner must satisfy the requirements pursuant to the Rule and the guidance in the Commentary at MPEP §409.03, and §409.03(a) et seq.

Petitioners always are reminded that for transmission by Email of any document to be accepted by the Office, written acknowledgment of receipt and readability will be required.

Thus, the present incompleteness of the record makes granting of the instant petition inappropriate.

The availability of applications and application papers online to applicants/practitioners who diligently associate their Customer Number with the respective application(s) now provides an applicant/practitioner on-demand information as to events/transactions in an application. Thus, now if one wishes to know the progress in and/or status of an application or the accuracy of the data therein, one need only look at the file online.

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that those registered to practice *and* all others who make representations before the Office must inquire into the underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation–since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.[1]

The instant petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a) is **dismissed**.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:	Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
By hand:	Customer Service Window Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314
By FAX:	(571) 273-8300 ATTN: Office of Petitions

[1] See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office). See specifically, the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §10.18.

While telephone inquiries regarding this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2[2]) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s).

/John J. Gillon, Jr./
John J. Gillon, Jr.
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

---

[2] The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 13 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/492,459	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 26, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343893US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.
SUITE 1400
ARLINGTON VA 22201

COPY MAILED

NOV 24 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Augier et al.	:	
Date of Deposit: June 26, 2009	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/492,476	:	PURSUANT TO
Attorney Docket Number: PET-2534	:	37 C.F.R. § 1.182
Title: NOVEL DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION SYSTEM IN A MULTISTAGE COLUMN FOR EQUALIZING THE RESIDENCE TIME ON EACH STAGE	:	

This is a decision on the "RESEPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION," filed on August 19, 2009, requesting that the above-identified application, including four sheets of drawings, be accorded a filing date of June 26, 2009, as part of the original disclosure. This submission is being treated as a petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182.

This petition is **DISMISSED**.

The application was deposited on June 26, 2009. However, on July 14, 2009, the Office of Data Management mailed a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application" (notice), stating, *inter alia*, that the application had not been accorded a filing date, and that a filing date would be accorded upon the filing of at least one drawing. The notice set a two-month period of reply.

It is noted that the Application Data Sheet (ADS) that was present on filing indicates that this application claims the benefit of French application number 08/063.693, filed on June 27, 2008.[1]

[1] It is noted that this French application has been incorporated by reference: see the ninth page (numbered as page ten) of the specification.

Petitioner seeks the entry of four pages of drawings which appear to have been inadvertently omitted on initial deposit and has requested that both they and the present application be accorded a filing date of June 26, 2009, as part of the original disclosure.

In response, Applicants timely filed this petition. It appears that the drawings were omitted on initial deposit, however Petitioner argues that entry of the concurrently submitted drawings is merited, due to the fact that the application contains a priority claim, as developed above.

This submission is being treated as an assertion that the specification was constructively present in the present application on filing, by virtue of the incorporation by reference of the prior-filed non-provisional application to which benefit is claimed, pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 201.06(c)(IV)(A).

M.P.E.P. § 201.06(c)(IV)(A) sets forth, *in pertinent part*:

> Material needed to accord an application a filing date may not be incorporated by reference unless an appropriate petition under 37 CFR 1.57(a)(3) or under 37 CFR 1.182 is granted. Until such a petition has been granted, the application will not be entitled to a filing date.
>
> ...
>
> In an application containing an explicit incorporation by reference statement in the specification or in a transmittal letter (if the transmittal letter was filed prior to September 21, 2004), a petition for the granting of a filing date may be made under 37 CFR 1.182. **A petition under 37 CFR 1.182 and the required petition fee, including an amendment submitting the necessary omitted material, requesting that the necessary omitted material contained in the prior application and submitted in the amendment, be included in the continuation or divisional application based upon the incorporation by reference statement** (emphasis added), is required in order to accord the application a filing date as of the date of deposit of the continuation or divisional application. An amendment submitting the omitted material and relying upon the incorporation by reference will not be entered in the continuation or divisional application unless a decision granting the petition states that the application is accorded a filing date and that the amendment will be entered.

The fee for filing a petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182 is set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(f) as being $400, with no reduction for small entity status. The petition makes no mention of the inclusion of said fee, and an authorization to charge any fee deficiency to a Deposit Account has not been located in the electronic file.[2] The payment of the required petition fee is a prerequisite to the filing of a petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182. Therefore, consideration of the merits of the petition before receipt of the filing fee would be premature.

The time period for filing a renewed petition is governed by 37 C.F.R. § 1.181(f). Therefore, if reconsideration of this decision is desired, any response to this decision must be submitted within **TWO MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision, and **extensions of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) are not permitted**. The reply should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182." This is not a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C § 704.

The renewed petition should indicate in a prominent manner that the attorney handling this matter is Paul Shanoski, and may be submitted by mail,[3] hand-delivery,[4] or facsimile.[5] Registered users of EFS-Web may alternatively submit a response to this decision via EFS-Web.[6]

If responding by mail, Petitioner is advised not to place the undersigned's name on the envelope. Only the information that appears in the footnote should be included - adding anything else to the address will delay the delivery of the response to the undersigned.

The general phone number for the Office of Petitions that should be used for status requests is (571) 272-3282. Telephone

---

[2] It is noted that the fourth page of the transmittal letter that was included on initial deposit contains a Deposit Account number, but it does not contain an authorization to charge any fee deficiencies to the same.

3 Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA, 22313-1450.

4 Customer Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulaney Street, Alexandria, VA, 22314.

5 (571) 273-8300- please note this is a central facsimile number.

6 https://sportal.uspto.gov/authenticate/authenticateuserlocalepf.html

inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225.

/Paul Shanoski/
Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.
2200 CLARENDON BLVD.
SUITE 1400
ARLINGTON VA 22201

COPY MAILED

JAN 05 2010

In re Application of	:	
Augier et al.	:	
Date of Deposit: June 26, 2009	:	DECISION ON RENEWED
Application No. 12/492,476	:	PETITION PURSUANT TO
Attorney Docket Number: PET-2534	:	37 C.F.R. § 1.182
Title: NOVEL DISTRIBUTION AND COLLECTION SYSTEM IN A MULTISTAGE COLUMN FOR EQUALIZING THE RESIDENCE TIME ON EACH STAGE	:	

This is a decision on the renewed petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182, filed on December 8, 2009, requesting that the above-identified application, including four sheets of drawings, be accorded a filing date of June 26, 2009, as part of the original disclosure.

The application was deposited on June 26, 2009. However, on July 14, 2009, the Office of Data Management mailed a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application" (notice), stating that the application had not been accorded a filing date, and that a filing date would be accorded upon the filing of at least one drawing. The notice set a two-month period of reply.

It is noted that the Application Data Sheet (ADS) that was present on filing indicates that this application claims the benefit of French application number 08/063.693, filed on June

27, 2008 and the contents of the same have been incorporated by reference.[1]

An original petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.182 was submitted on August 19, 2009, and was dismissed via the mailing of a decision on November 24, 2009, for failure to include the required petition fee.

With this renewed petition, Petitioner has included the required $400 petition fee.

Petitioner seeks the entry of four pages of drawings which appear to have been inadvertently omitted on initial deposit and has requested that both they and the present application be accorded a filing date of June 26, 2009, as part of the original disclosure.

In response, Applicants timely filed the original petition. It appears that the drawings were omitted on initial deposit, however Petitioner argues that entry of the concurrently submitted drawings is merited, due to the fact that the application contains a priority claim, as developed above.

As set forth above, this application claims the benefit of prior filed French application number 08/03.393, and the contents of the same have been incorporated by reference. Thus, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 119, the application as filed is considered to have incorporated by reference the prior-filed French application as to the inadvertently omitted drawings.

Applicant's arguments and evidence have been considered. However, it is controlling that a review of the application confirms that as filed, the application contained at least one process claim. MPEP. § 601.01(f) provides that:

> It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence).

Thus, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 601.01(f), a drawing is not considered essential for a filing date. Thus, the application

---

1 See the ninth page of the preliminary Amendment to the Specification that was present on filing (numbered as page 10).

is entitled to a filing date without drawings present in the application.

Accordingly, the Office should have mailed a Notice of Omitted Items and not a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application. As stated in MPEP § 601.01(g), if an application is entitled to a filing date, the mailing of a Notice of Omitted Items will permit *inter alia*:

> If an application was filed on or after September 21, 2004, and contains a claim under 37 CFR 1.55 for priority of a prior-filed foreign application, or a claim under 37 CFR 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional, or international application that was present on the filing date of the application, and the omitted portion of the drawing(s) was inadvertently omitted from the application and is completely contained in the prior-filed application, applicant may submit an amendment to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the drawing(s) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a). The amendment should be submitted in response to the Office action and must comply with 37 CFR 1.57(a) and 37 CFR 1.121. See MPEP § 201.17.

No petition is required. The amendment to include the inadvertently omitted drawings, filed concurrently with this renewed petition on December 8, 2009, will be considered by the Examiner. The Examiner will note that this application claims the benefit of prior-filed French application number 08/03.693.

To the extent the present petition requests a filing date of June 26, 2009 with no drawing present in the application, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Given the basis for granting this petition, no petition fee is required, and the petition fee will be refunded to Deposit Account number 13-3402 in due course.

The Office of Patent Application Processing (OPAP) will be advised of this decision. Pursuant to this decision, the application will be referred to OPAP for:

- **correction of the filing date to June 26, 2009;**
- **for indication in Office records, as appropriate, that "0" sheets of drawings were present on filing,** and;
- **for issuance of a filing receipt.**

Entry of the four pages of drawings received on August 19, 2009 will be determined by the Examiner.

The general phone number for OPAP is 571-272-4000. Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225.[2]

/Paul Shanoski/
Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

[2] Applicant will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Applicant is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Applicant.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

September 14, 2009

In re application of	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Shigeki Katogi et al	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Serial No. 12/492,492	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Filed: June 26, 2009	:	PROGRAM AND
For: ADHESIVE COMPOSITION, ADHESIVE COMPOSITION FOR CIRCUIT CONNECTION, CONNECTED BODY SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE	:	PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed June 26, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
a. Documentation of prior office action:
i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form:
Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WESTERMAN HATTORI DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

MAILED

JUN 18 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

In re Application of :
Shigeki Katogi et al :
Application No. 12/492,492 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 052559A

This is a decision on the petition, filed June 17, 2010 under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2) to withdraw the above-identified application from issue after payment of the issue fee.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application is withdrawn from issue for consideration of a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 (request for continued examination). See 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2).

Petitioner is advised that the issue fee paid on June 4, 2010 in the above-identified application cannot be refunded. If, however, the above-identified application is again allowed, petitioner may request that it be applied towards the issue fee required by the new Notice of Allowance.[1]

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center AU 1796 for processing of the request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and for consideration of the concurrently filed Information Disclosure Statement.

/Irvin Dingle/
Irvin Dingle
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] The request to apply the issue fee to the new Notice may be satisfied by completing and returning the new Issue Fee Transmittal Form PTOL-85(b), which includes the following language thereon: Commissioner for Patents is requested to apply the Issue Fee and Publication Fee (if any) or re-apply any previously paid issue fee to the application identified above. Petitioner is advised that, whether a fee is indicated as being due or not, the Issue Fee Transmittal Form **must** be completed and timely submitted to avoid abandonment. Note the language in bold text on the first page of the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (PTOL-85).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN NJ 07962

COPY MAILED
JAN 07 2010

In re Application of :
SERBAN ET AL. :
Application No. 12/492,499 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 26, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. H0018885-01 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2), filed December 9, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2) and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The application must be directed to a single invention that either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) that materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed

invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item no. 1 above for the reason as explained in more detail below.

This application has been classified (USPC 423/510) in an area that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not be eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified else where. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

The petition lacks item no. 4 above for the reason as explained in more detail below.

The instant petition fails to state the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction). The petition must be accompanied by a statement by applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. As stated in section III of the Notice, applicant must provide such a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail: Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Gay Ann Spahn at 571-272-8011.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1793 for action in its regular turn.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

AUG 24 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.
Application No. 12/492,503
Filed: June 26, 2009
Attorney Docket No. **343761US2SRD DIV**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 13 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/492,557 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 343875US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,569	06/26/2009	Eric J. Horvitz	MS325061.01/MSFTP2443US	7558

27195 7590 12/10/2009

TUROCY & WATSON, LLP
127 Public Square
57th Floor, Key Tower
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER	
BOST, DWAYNE D	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2617	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com
hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com
setoori@thepatentattorneys.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TUROCY & WATSON, LLP
127 Public Square
57th Floor, Key Tower
CLEVELAND OH 44114

In re Application of:
HORVITZ, ERIC J. et al.
Serial No.: 12/492,569
Filed: June 26, 2009

Title: **PRIVACY-CENTRIC AD MODELS THAT LEVERAGE SOCIAL GRAPHS**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on June 26, 2009 and supplemented on August 12, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions set forth under section I. above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with conditions set forth under section II Items 5.2, 5.3, 6.2, 6.3 and 6.5.

For these reasons cited above, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Regarding the requirement in items 5.2 and 5.3 above, the preexamination search document provided with the instant petition is deficient as follows: The US field of search and database searches which are to be provided under section 8(A) are not sufficient. In addition to the search areas provided, applicant would also need to search in the following classes/ subclasses: 455/411, 433, 414.2 and 456.3; and 380/250.

If any request for reconsideration is filed, an updated preexamination search must be conducted as per items 5.2 and 5.3 above, and the Preexamination Search Document must be amended to indicate such; a new Information Disclosure Statement should be filed to cite any newly found prior art, and the Accelerated Examination Support Document must also be amended to include the necessary reference and discussion of any newly found and cited prior art in accordance with all of items 6 through 6.6 above; as well as the necessary updated discussion of patentability for each of the dependent claims (or a statement that claims stand or fall together) as set forth above.

Regarding the requirement in items 6.2 and 6.3 above, petitioner does not provide an identification of claimed limitations and/or a detailed explanation of patentability of each of the claims ***with respect to each reference*** cited in the IDS in support of the Accelerated Examination Support Document. Petitioner has only identified and also argued the patentability of the claims in regard to eight of the nineteen cited references in the IDS filed with the accelerated examination support document. The Accelerated Examination Support Document does not provide an identification of all claimed limitations and/or a detailed explanation of patentability of all of the claims with respect to the other eleven cited references. *Therefore, any request for reconsideration must provide a detailed explanation in regard to the claims with respect to the other eleven cited references as set forth in items 6.2 and 6.3 above.* Also, petitioner has not provided a copy of the reference, "GEDIK. Protecting Location Privacy with Personalized k-Anonymity: Architecture & Algorithms, IEEE Transactions on Mobile Computing January 2008 Vol. 17 No. 1, 18 pages".

Regarding the requirement in item 6.5 above, the "Accelerated Examination Support Document", is deficient because it fails to include a showing of where ***each limitation of the claims*** finds support under the first paragraph of 35 USC 112 in the written description of the instant ***specification and in each benefit application***. Claim 1 and similarly claim 20 were discussed, but petitioner has not provided a showing support for each limitation of the other claims in this instant specification and the two benefit applications, 11/767,360 and 60/870,926. Therefore, an updated accelerated examination support document must be provided which shows where each limitation of the claims finds support in the instant specification and each of these benefit applications as well.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Doris To, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-7629.

/Doris To/

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.T.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FEB 2 3 2010

KATHLEEN T. PETRICH
GRAHAM & DUNN PC
2801 ALASKAN WAY, SUITE 300 - PIER 70
SEATTLE WA 98121-1128

In re Application of :
Robert Webber, et al. :
Application No. 12/492,595 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 26, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. M40582-C16017.001 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2)
For: ECO-FRIENDLY VERTICAL PLANTER :
APPARATUS, SYSTEM, AND METHOD

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2), filed January 6, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2) and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The application must be directed to a single invention that either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) that materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed

invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks items 1, 4 and 5 above for the reason as explained in more detail below.

In regard to item 1, this application has been classified (USPC 47/82) in an area that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified else where. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. It is noted that applicant suggested the classification areas of USPC 405/36-51 or USPC 137/78.2-78.3; 137/115.01-115.28 for the instant application. However, the application has been assigned to the USPC area of 47/82 which is the most appropriate classification and the best examinable area for the claimed invention. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 4, petitioner should note that the instant petition fails to state the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. The instant petition fails to provide evidence of category A or B and why insufficient, such as speculative or minor aspect directed to A or B.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3643 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione/Ann Arbor
524 South Main Street
Suite 200
Ann Arbor MI 48104

COPY MAILED
FEB 16 2010

In re Application of	:	
Dasseux et al.	:	
Application No. 12/492597	:	
Filing or 371(c) Date: 06/26/2009	:	
Attorney Docket Number:	:	DECISION
13657-86	:	ON PETITION

This is a decision on the "Petition Under 37 CFR 1.57(a)", filed October 30, 2009, requesting the above-identified application be accorded a filing date of June 26, 2009, including drawings filed with the present petition, based upon a benefit claim to prior-filed application no. 10/596,047.

The petition is granted to the extent indicated below.

Background

Application papers in the above-identified application were deposited on June 26, 2009. However, on October 22, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mailed Petitioners a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application," notifying Petitioner that the application papers had NOT been accorded a filing date because the application was deposited without drawings. In response, Petitioner timely filed this petition. Petitioner request that this Office accord the application as filing date based upon a benefit claim to nonprovisional application no. 10/596,047.

Petitioner's arguments and evidence have been considered. A review of the application reveals that, as filed, the application contained composition claims. The MPEP 601.01(f) provides that:

> It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence). The same practice has been followed in composition claims.

Thus, pursuant to § 601.01(f), a drawing is not considered essential for a filing date. The present application is entitled to a filing date without drawings present in the application.

Accordingly, the Office should have granted the application a filing date and mailed a Notice of Omitted Items instead of a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application. As stated in MPEP 601.01(g) under the section entitled, "Application Entitled to a Filing Date," applicant may submit an amendment to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the drawing(s) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a):

> [i]f an application was filed on or after September 21, 2004, and contains a claim under 37 CFR 1.55 for priority of a prior-filed foreign application, or a claim under 37 CFR 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional, or international application that was present on the filing date of the application, and the omitted portion of the drawing(s) was inadvertently omitted from the application and is completely contained in the prior-filed application[.]

Please note that no petition is required and that the amendment must comply with 37 CFR 1.57(a) and 37 CFR 1.121. See MPEP § 201.17. Entry of the amendment filed with the petition will be determined by the examiner.

To the extent the present petition requests a filing date of June 26, 2009, with no drawings present in the application, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Given the basis for granting this petition, no petition fee is due. The petition fee has been refunded to Applicant's deposit account as authorized in the present petition.

Pursuant to this decision, the application will be referred to OPAP for:

- X **correction of the filing date to June 26, 2009**;
- X **for indication in Office records, as appropriate, that "0" sheets of drawings were required or present on filing** and
- X **for issuance of a filing receipt.**

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to Attorney Derek Woods at (571) 272-3232.

Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

JUL 07 2009

Frishauf, Holtz Goodman & Chick, P.C.
16th Floor
220 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10001-7708

In re application of Hiroyoshi Yamaguchi et al. Application No. 12/492,632 Filed: June 26, 2009 For: HEADREST INCLINING APPARATUS OF ACTIVE HEAD REST	: : : : : :	DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMSSED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the Office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO Office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition fail to include:

(3) all claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);

The claims of the US application do not sufficiently correspond or have been amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claims in the JPO application. Specifically, US claim 11 does not sufficiently correspond to an allowable/patentable JPO claim. US claim 11 is dependent upon the wrong claim. JPO claim 3 of the '395 application depends from JPO claim 1 of the '395 application; accordingly, US claim 11 needs to depend from claim 9 not claim 10.

Applicant is given a time period of **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS**, whichever is longer, to correct the deficiencies. **NO EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136 IS PERMITTED.** If the deficiencies are not corrected with the time period given, the application will await action in its regular turn.

Response must submitted by EFS-Web using the document description "Petition to make special under Pat Pros Hwy".

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Teri P. Luu at 571-272-7045. All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.



Teri P. Luu
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

tpl: 7/7/09

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

AUG 12 2009

Frishauf, Holtz Goodman & Chick, P.C.
16th Floor
220 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10001-7708

In re application of Hiroyoshi Yamaguchi et al. Application No. 12/492,632 Filed: June 26, 2009 For: HEADREST INCLINING APPARATUS OF ACTIVE HEAD REST	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the renewed request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed August 6, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the Office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO Office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

A first request to participated in the PPH program and petition to make special was filed on June 26, 2009. However, that request and petition was dismissed on July 7, 2009 because all the claims in the U.S. application did not sufficiently correspond or were amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claims in the JPO application.

In light of the preliminary amendment filed August 6, 2009, the renewed request and petition now comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Teri P. Luu at 571-272-7045. All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.



Teri P. Luu
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

tpl: 8/7/09

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,672	06/26/2009	Yashar Behzadi	PRTS-116 (PRO-95)	7741

61487 7590 06/21/2010

Proteus Biomedical, Inc.
Bozicevic, Field & Francis LLP
1900 University Avenue, Suite 200
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3766	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Proteus Biomedical, Inc.
Bozicevic, Field & Francis LLP
1900 University Avenue, Suite 200
East Palo Alto CA 94303

In re Application of:	:	
BEHZADI, YASHAR et al	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Serial No.: 12/492,672	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 26, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Docket: PRTS-116 (PRO-95)	:	(PPH) AND PETITION TO
Title: CLINICAL APPLICATIONS FOR ELECTRICAL TOMOGRAPHY DERIVED METRICS	:	MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the pilot Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 17, 2010, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more corresponding application(s) filed in the KIPO or to a PCT application that does not contain any priority claim, or the U.S. application must be a national stage entry of a PCT application that does not contain any priority claim;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate; and
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications.

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition met all conditions except Items #5 and #6 above.

With regard to Item #5, the Applicant fails to submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims 1-15. Currently, the application contains claims 1-20 which are not fully correspond to the KIPO allowed claims 1-15. The applicant must cancel claims 15-19.

With regard to Item #6, the request to participate in the PPH program and petition fail to include a copy of the Form 1449 to list KIPO cited references, namely, US 2007-0135721 A1; US 2005-0215914 AI and US 2006-0004301 A1 (copy of references is not required).

Applicant is encouraged to cite and submit all relevant prior art references, if any, to facilitate examination in this application.

Applicant is given a time period of **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS**, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this decision to correct the deficiencies. **NO EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136 IS PERMITTED.** If the deficiencies are not corrected with the time period given, the application will await action in its regular turn.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856.

Petition is **dismissed**.

/Henry C. Yuen/

_____

Henry C. Yuen, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3700 – Mechanical Engineering,
Manufacturing and Products
571-272-4856

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,672	06/26/2009	Yashar Behzadi	PRTS-116 (PRO-95)	7741

61487 7590 07/19/2010

Proteus Biomedical, Inc.
Bozicevic, Field & Francis LLP
1900 University Avenue, Suite 200
East Palo Alto, CA 94303

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3766	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Bozicevic, Field & Francis, LLP
1900 University Avenue, Suite 200
East Palo Alto, California 94303

In re Application of	:	
BEHZADI, YASHAR	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/492,672	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 26, 2009	:	PCT/PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. PRTS-116 (PRO-95)	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
For: CLINICAL APPLICATIONS FOR ELECTRICAL TOMOGRAPHY DERIVED METRICS	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the renewed request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 16, 2010 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are GRANTED.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:
(1) The U.S. application must disclose an eligible relationship to one or more PCT applications filed in the JPO, EPO, KIPO or USPTO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the PCT application(s) latest international work product (the written opinion or the IPER) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the PCT application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of the latest international work product from the PCT application containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate; and
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the PCT examiner in the international work product along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications.

In light of the petition being properly submitted, the request to participate in the PPH program and the petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The applicant is encouraged to cite and submit all relevant prior art references, if any, to facilitate examination in this application.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Layno Carl, the SPE of Art Unit 3766, and (571)272-4949 for Class 600/508 and also accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application will be docketed to an examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen, at 571-272-4856.

The petition is **granted.**

/Henry C. Yuen/

_____

Henry C. Yuen, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3700 – Mechanical Engineering,
Manufacturing and Products
571-272-4856

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,776	06/26/2009	Nobuyuki Kano	U 017750-6	7935

140 7590 10/30/2009

LADAS & PARRY LLP
26 WEST 61ST STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10023

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2445	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

nyuspatactions@ladas.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LADAS & PARRY LLP
26 WEST 61ST STREET
NEW YORK NY 10023

In re Application of: Nobuyuki Kano
Application No. 12492776
Filed: June 26, 2009
For: **FOOTPRINT-PROVIDING DEVICE AND FOOTPRINT-PROVIDING SYSTEM**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

MAILED

OCT 29 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 26, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,777	06/26/2009	Takao Aoki	SUTOSH.419AUS	7937

20995 7590 05/20/2010

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
BRAGDON, REGINALD GLENWOOD	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2189	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/20/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
efiling@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Knobbe, Martens, Olson & Bear LLP
2040 Main Street, 14th Floor
Irvine, CA 92614

In re Application of: Aoki T.
Application No. 12/492,777
Filed: June 26, 2009
For: Magnetic disk drive refreshing data written to disk and data refreshment method applied to magnetic disk drive.

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PILOT PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) pilot program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed March 12, 2010 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application is
(a) a Paris Convention application which either
(i) validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

Or

(b) a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim,

Or

(c) a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 (a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or

(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim.

Where the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims is not the same application for which priority is claimed in the U.S. application, applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims and the JPO priority application claimed in the U.S. application.

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
a. Documentation of prior office action:
i. a copy of the office action(s)just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy; b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The petition is **GRANTED.**

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Mano Padmanabhan at 571-272-4210.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Mano Padmanabhan/

_____

Mano Padmanabhan
Quality Assurance Specialist, Technology Center 2100, Workgroup 2180
571-272-4210

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/492,838	06/26/2009	Jonathan Botkin	S0135US / S812.105.102	8063

90575 7590 02/19/2010

Dicke, Billig & Czaja, PLLC
Attn: SP Matters
100 South Fifth Street, Suite 2250
Minneapolis, MN 55402

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Dicke, Billig & Czaja, PLLC
Attn: SP Matters
100 South Fifth Street, Suite 2250
Minneapolis MN 55402

FEB 19 2010

In re Application of	:	
Botkin et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/492,838	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 6/26/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **S0135US / S812.105.102**	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 2/8/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1795 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI, P.C.
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12203-5160

COPY MAILED

SEP 30 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
John E. Rode :
Application No. 12/492,926 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 26, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 0545.051B : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed June 26, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes applicant's Drivers license. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ella Colbert at 571-272-6741.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

After completion of processing by the Office of Patent Application processing, this application will be forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3656 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI, P.C.
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE
ALBANY, NEW YORK 12203-5160

COPY MAILED

SEP 30 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

Rode et al. :
Application No. 12/492,928 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 0545.036C :

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed June 26, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age, must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition does not include a copy of co-inventor John Rode's Passport and Driver's License showing a date of birth of March 18, 1937.

Petitioner/applicant is cautioned to avoid submitting personal information in documents filed in a patent application that may contribute to identity theft. Personal information such as social security numbers, bank account numbers, or credit card numbers (other than a check or credit card authorization form PTO-2038 submitted for payment purposes) is never required by the USPTO to support a petition or an application. If this type of personal information is included in documents submitted to the USPTO, petitioners/applicants should consider redacting such personal information from the documents before submitting them to the USPTO. Petitioner/applicant is advised that the record of a patent application is available to the public after publication of the application (unless a non-publication request in compliance with 37 CFR 1.213(a) is made in the application) or issuance of a patent. Furthermore, the record from an abandoned application may also be available to the public if the application is referenced in a published application or an issued patent (see 37 CFR 1.14).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail:

Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ella Colbert at 571-272-6741.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/David Bucci/
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HESLIN ROTHENBERG FARLEY & MESITI PC
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE
ALBANY NY 12203

COPY MAILED
FEB 05 2010

In re Application of :
John RODE et al :
Application No. 12/492,928 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 0545.036C :

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 12, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a copy of co-inventor John Rode's Passport and Driver's license showing a date of birth of March 18, 1937. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Olisa Anwah at 571-272-6051 or in his absence to the undersigned at (571) 272-7099.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3676 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

David A. Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

MAIL

JUN 22 2010

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
NAGATA, KAZUHIRO, et al. :
Application No. 12/493,007 :
Filed: June 26, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **NGTOSH.058AUS** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed April 29, 2010.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

MAR 29 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

In re Application of :
Yair Bourlas :
Application No. 12/493,018 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 26, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. **WILAN-P00553US3** : FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 CFR. § 1.36(b), filed January 25, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** as moot.

A review of the file record indicates that the power of attorney to attorneys/agents associated with Customer Number 20350 has been revoked by the applicants of the patent application on March 8, 2010. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 CFR § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4584.

JoAnne Burke
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

NON-VOLATILE STORAGE SYSTEM WITH INITIAL PROGRAMMING VOLTAGE BASED ON TRIAL

Inventors
Teruhiko Kamei
Yan Li

[0001] This application is a continuation application of U.S. Patent Application No. 11/616,665, "Non-Volatile Storage System With Initial Programming Voltage Based On Trial," filed on December 27, 2006, by Kamei, et al., published on July 3, 2008 as U.S. Publication No. US08-0158980A1, now, U.S. Patent No. 7,570,520 Attorney Docket No. SAND-01142US1, incorporated herein by reference.

[0002] This application is related to U.S. Patent Application No. 11/617,972 [Attorney Docket No. SAND-01124US0], entitled "Method For Programming With Initial Programming Voltage Based On Trial" Inventors Teruhiko Kamei and Yan Li, filed December 29, 2006. now, U.S. Patent No. 7,590,002

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

[0003] The present invention relates to technology for non-volatile storage.

Description of the Related Art

[0004] Semiconductor memory has become more popular for use in various electronic devices. For example, non-volatile semiconductor memory is used in cellular telephones, digital cameras, personal digital assistants, mobile computing devices, non-mobile computing devices and other devices. Electrical Erasable Programmable Read

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FRED ZOLLINGER III
P.O. BOX 2368
NORTH CANTON OH 44720

November 10, 2009

In re Application of: BELDEN, DENNIS D. JR., et al.
Application No.: **12/493181**
Filed: **06/27/2009**
Title: **SECURITY STORAGE CONTAINER**

DECISION ON PETITION TO CORRECT INVENTORSHIP

This is a decision on the petition filed on September 28, 2009 to correct the inventorship, and to delete Christopher G. Gallagher, James M. Byrne, Ronald M. Marsilio, and Michael S. Jaeb as inventors. The petition was reviewed under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b). The petition has been filed on the grounds resulting from the claim cancellations in the Preliminary Amendment filed September 26, 2009.

The power of attorney from the parent application has been properly re-filed in the present case.

The petition to correct the inventorship is GRANTED.

Ehud Gartenberg

Ehud Gartenberg, Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3728

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,271	06/29/2009	Ke Liu	227718-1	9061

6147 7590 02/26/2010

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
GLOBAL RESEARCH
ONE RESEARCH CIRCLE
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3741	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com
rosssr@crd.ge.com
parkskl@crd.ge.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
AP.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
GLOBAL RESEARCH
ONE RESEARCH CIRCLE
PATENT DOCKET RM. BLDG. K1-4A59
NISKAYUNA NY 12309

In re Application of	:	
LIU, KE et al	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/493,271	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: June 29, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 227718-1	:	PILOT PROGRAM
Title: BIOMASS GASIFICATION REACTOR		

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed February 3, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant

will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived. The petition lacks item number 1.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 123/003) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Timothy S. Thorpe at 571-272-5377. The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3741 for action in its regular turn.

/Timothy S. Thorpe/

Timothy S. Thorpe
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,271	06/29/2009	Ke Liu	227718-1	9061

94288 7590 06/22/2010

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
GLOBAL RESEARCH
ONE RESEARCH CIRCLE
BLDG. K1-3A59
NISKAYUNA, NY 12309

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3741	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ldocket@crd.ge.com
rosssr@crd.ge.com
robertsr@ge.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GENERAL ELECTRIC COMPANY
GLOBAL RESEARCH
ONE RESEARCH CIRCLE
BLDG. K1-3A59
NISKAYUNA NY 12309

In re Application of	:	
LIU, KE, et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/493271	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: June 29, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 227718-1	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 2/03/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a

request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3741 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Henry Yuen/

Henry Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,480	06/29/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343726US2SRD DIV	9435

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/493,480	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 29, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343726US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

DZ

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,499	06/29/2009	Frank T. Shum	70257.124	9470

27683 7590 02/20/2010

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
IP Section
2323 Victory Avenue
Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75219

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2818	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Haynes and Boone, LLP
IP Section
2323 Victory Avenue
SUITE 700
Dallas TX 75219

In re Application of	:	
SHUM et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/493,499	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 29 June 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 70257.124	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 20 January 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2818 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BRADLEY ARANT BOULT CUMMINGS LLP
200 CLINTON AVE. WEST
SUITE 900
HUNTSVILLE AL 35801

MAILED

SEP 0 1 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
William H. Walker :
Application No. 12/493,548 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 29, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 0B175-104125 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed June 30, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by applicant. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ron Abelson at 571-272-3165 or the undersigned at 571-272-7099.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3662 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,622	06/29/2009	Lorraine B. Peddada	B2:01429	9678

71897 7590 03/31/2010

KAUTH , POMEROY , PECK & BAILEY ,LLP
2875 MICHELLE DRIVE
SUITE 110
IRVINE, CA 92606

EXAMINER	
ZEMAN, MARY K	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1631	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/31/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO@KPPB.COM

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KAUTH , POMEROY , PECK & BAILEY ,LLP
2875 MICHELLE DRIVE
SUITE 110
IRVINE CA 92606

MAR 3 1 2010

In re Application of:
Peddada et al.
Serial No.: 12/493,622
Filed: June 29, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: **B2:01429**

: PETITION DECISION

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR § 1.59(b), filed March 23, 2010, to expunge information from the above identified application. This application has not been allowed.

Petitioner requests that the document submitted with the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement filed on March 23, 2010 be expunged from the record. Petitioner states either: (A) that the information contains trade secret material, proprietary material and/or material that is subject to a protective order which has not been made public; or (B) that the information submitted was unintentionally submitted and the failure to obtain its return would cause irreparable harm to the party who submitted the information or to the party in interest on whose behalf the information was submitted, and the information has not otherwise been made public. The petition fee set forth in 37 CFR § 1.17(g) has been paid.

This is an examined application. As such the information provided has been reviewed, in part, but proceedings in the application have not been terminated. As stated in M.P.E.P. 724, upon allowance or other action closing prosecution in an application, petition may be made for return of Proprietary information. The information cannot be expunged at this time.

The petition is **DISMISSED**. Petitioner may resubmit the petition subsequent to a Notice of Allowability or *ex parte Quayle* action being mailed in the application. No additional petition fee will be required at that time.

Should there be any questions about this decision please contact Marianne C. Seidel by letter addressed to Director, TC 1600, at the address listed above, or by telephone at 571-272-0584 or by facsimile sent to the general Office facsimile number, 703-872-9306.

Marianne C. Seidel
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,913	06/29/2009	Yoshiharu KOHJI	TOSH/0043US	1233

7590 05/26/2010

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD
SUITE 1500
HOUSTON, TX 77056

EXAMINER	
KOONTZ, TAMMY J	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3974	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/26/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LATHROP & GAGE LLP
4845 PEARL EAST CIRCLE
SUITE 201
BOULDER CO 80301

MAILED

APR 15 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Luk Wah Jackson Chu	:	
Application Number: 12/493916	:	NOTICE
Filing or 371(c) Date: 06/29/2009	:	
Attorney Docket Number: 489809	:	

This is a notice regarding your request for acceptance of a fee deficiency submission under 37 CFR 1.28 filed on February 5, 2010.

The Office no longer investigates or rejects original or reissue patent under 37 CFR 1.56. **1098 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 502 (January 3, 1989)**. Therefore, nothing in this Notice is intended to imply that an investigation was done.

Your fee deficiency submission under 37 CFR 1.28 is hereby **ACCEPTED**.

This application is no longer entitled to small entity status. Accordingly, all future fees paid in this patent must be paid at the large entity rate.

Inquiries related to this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

D Wood

Douglas Wood
Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,946	06/29/2009	Hyungrak Kim	040897-0240	1297

71921 7590 02/22/2010

Foley & Lardner LLP
Suite 500
3000 K STREET NW
Washington, DC 20007

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2812	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/22/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Foley & Lardner LLP
Suite 500
3000 K STREET NW
Washinton DC 20007

In re Application of	:	
KIM et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/493,946	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 29 June 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 040897-0240	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 16 December 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant

will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 1 above.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 438/501) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2812 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/493,946	06/29/2009	Hyungrak Kim	040897-0240	1297

71921 7590 06/02/2010

Foley & Lardner LLP
Suite 500
3000 K STREET NW
Washington, DC 20007

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2812	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Foley & Lardner LLP
Suite 500
3000 K STREET NW
Washinton DC 20007

In re Application of	:	
KIM et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/493,946	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 29 June 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 040897-0240	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 16 December 2009 and renewed on 25 May 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2836 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

SEP 28 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/493,954	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 29, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343782US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 25 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON DC 20006

In re Application of:
BREDIKHIN, ALEKSANDR YUREVICH, et al
Serial No.: 12/493,956
Filed: June 29, 2009
Attorney Docket Number: **2507.0010000**
Title: **METHOD FOR INPUTTING AND SEARCHING INFORMATION ABOUT AN OBJECT IN A REMOTE DATABASE**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on June 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions set forth under section I. above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with conditions set forth under section II. items 5.2 and 5.3.

For these reasons cited above, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Regarding the requirement in item 5.2 and 5.3 above, the preexamination search document provided with the instant petition is deficient as follows: The search submitted by applicant is narrow. A complete search should also include 709/206 and 707/1, 3 because the claimed invention is directed to an Internet server to search and transmit a subscriber phone number in response to an object ID transmitted from a mobile communication terminal via GSM.

If any request for reconsideration is filed, an updated preexamination search must be conducted as per item 5.1 above, and the Preexamination Search Document must be amended to indicate such; a new Information Disclosure Statement should be filed to cite any newly found prior art, and the Accelerated Examination Support Document must also be amended to include the necessary reference and discussion of any newly found and cited prior art in accordance with all of items 6 through 6.6 above.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-3068.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

United States Patent and Trademark Office

~~Commissioner for Patents~~
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON DC 20006

MAIL

SEP 23 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of:
BREDIKHIN, ALEKSANDR YUREVICH et al
Serial No.: 12/493,956
Filed: June 29, 2009
Attorney Docket No: 2507.0010000
Title: **METHOD FOR INPUTTING AND SEARCHING INFORMATION ABOUT AN OBJECT IN A REMOTE DATABASE**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on September 03, 2009 requesting reconsideration to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

All of the requirements to correct the deficiencies outlined in the petition decision mailed August 25, 2009 have been met.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral

election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:

To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-3068.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Anastasios J. Tousimis

Application No. 12493969

Filed: June 29,2009

Attorney Docket No. T4370-14207US01

:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 30-OCT-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

16 JUN 2006

UNITED STATES PATENT and TRADEMARK OFFICE

Now filed in 11/849,287 - this is a copy of Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a) that was granted in the 371 parent application (10/548,731)

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Joseph L Lemoine Jr
406 Audubon Boulevard
Lafayette LA 70503

In re Application of	:	DECISION ON
Sfeir et al	:	
PCT No.: PCT/US2004/007010	:	
Application No: 10/548,731	:	
Int. Filing Date: 08 March 2004	:	PETITION UNDER
Priority Date: 07 March 2003	:	
Attorney's Docket No.: Technical Industries	:	
For: Method for Inspection of Metal Tubular Goods	:	37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is in response to the "RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.47(a)" filed on 22 May 2006.

BACKGROUND

In a decision from this Office on 10 May 2006, the initial petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) was dismissed. The decision stated that item (1) had not been satisfied.

On 22 May 2006, petitioner submitted a "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)" requesting reconsideration of the Office's decision of 10 May 2006 with respect to accepting the above application without the signatures of nonsigning inventors Jeffrey S. Banks and Dennis L. Rogers.

DISCUSSION

A petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) must be accompanied by: (1) the fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h), (2) factual proof that the missing joint inventor refuses to execute the application or cannot be reached after diligent effort, (3) a statement of the last known address of the missing inventor, and (4) an oath or declaration by the 37 CFR 1.47(a) applicant on his or her own behalf and on behalf of the non-signing joint inventor.

The renewed petition of 22 May 2006 has satisfied item 1 under 37 CFR 1.47(a) because the petition fee of $200.00 has been paid. Thus satisfying all the requirements under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

Petitioner has now satisfied items (1), (2), (3), and (4) under 37 CFR 1.47(a), thus completing the requirements under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

CONCLUSION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **GRANTED**.

The Application Division and the International Division are authorized to accept the application as a 37 CFR 1.47(a) application and to mail a filing receipt. The application will be given a 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), (c)(2), and (c)(4) date of **07 September 2005.**

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(a), a notice of the filing of this application will be forwarded to the non-signing inventor at his last known address of record.

A notice of the filing of the application under 37 CFR 1.47(a) will be published in the Official Gazette.

This application is being forwarded to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) for continued processing under 35 U.S.C. 371.

Rafael Bacares
PCT Legal Examiner
PCT Legal Office
Telephone: (571) 272-6312
Facsimile: (571) 273-0459

Best Available Copy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,054	06/29/2009	Hyun-Ye Lee	SDIYPL.218AUS	1493

7590 07/09/2010

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
CHERVINSKY, BORIS LEO	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2835	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/09/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Nimi James

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,093	06/29/2009	Yuki Kaneko	NGTOSH.060AUS	1570

20995 7590 07/23/2010

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/23/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
efiling@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

In re Application of	:	
KANEKO, YUKI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,093	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 29, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **NGTOSH.060AUS**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed April 28, 2010 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.T.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA

ROYLANCE, ABRAMS, BERDO & GOODMAN, L.L.P.
1300 19TH STREET, N.W.
SUITE 600
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

MAILED

JUN 0 8 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In Re application of :
Cha-Mei Tang et al. :
Application No. 12/494,152 : ON PETITION
Filed: June 29, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 55746 :

This is a decision on the PETITION TO CORRECT RECORD which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed January 21, 2010, to expunge information from the above identified application.

The petition is **granted**.

Petitioner requests that the preliminary amendment, filed December 16, 2009 be expunged from the record. Petitioner states that the information submitted was intended for another application and was erroneously filed in the instant application. The petition indicates there is no fee required for this petition but a petition under 37 CFR 1.59 requires a fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(g). This fee has been charged to petitioner's deposit account as authorized in the petition.

The information in question has been determined by the undersigned to not be material to the examination of the instant application. The information was clearly intended to be filed in an unrelated application.

The expunged material has been removed from the official file.

Petitioner has requested that the above noted preliminary amendment be moved to the intended application, SN 12/573,871, however a review of that file reveals that a copy of the amendment has already been filed in that application.

The application is being returned to Technology Center Art Unit 2883.

Telephone inquiries relative to this decision should be directed to Carl Friedman at (571) 272-6842.

Carl Friedman
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Abel Alex Salazar

Application No. 12494178

Filed: June 29,2009

Attorney Docket No. 09-22444

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 24-MAR-2010 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Doc code : PET.OP.AGE
Description : Petition to make special based on Age/Health

PTO/SB/130 (07-09)
Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0651- 0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL BASED ON AGE FOR ADVANCEMENT OF EXAMINATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

Application Information

Application Number	12/494,178	Confirmation Number	1748	Filing Date	2009-06-29
Attorney Docket Number (optional)	09-22444	Art Unit	3723	Examiner	Not Yet Known
First Named Inventor	SALAZAR, Abel Alex				
Title of Invention	Adjustable Apparatus for Removing Wires from Underground Conduit				

Attention: Office of Petitions

An application may be made special for advancement of examination upon filing of a petition showing that the applicant is 65 years of age, or more. No fee is required with such a petition. See 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP 708.02 (IV).

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR ADVANCEMENT OF EXAMINATION IN THIS APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP 708.02 (IV) ON THE BASIS OF THE APPLICANT'S AGE.

A grantable petition requires one of the following items:
(1) Statement by one named inventor in the application that he/she is 65 years of age, or more; or
(2) Certification by a registered attorney/agent having evidence such as a birth certificate, passport, driver's license, etc. showing one named inventor in the application is 65 years of age, or more.

Name of Inventor who is 65 years of age, or older

Given Name	Middle Name	Family Name	Suffix
Stanley	L.	Stosel	

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with 37 CFR 1.33 and 10.18.
Please see 37 CFR 1.4(d) for the format of the signature.

Select (1) or (2) :

○ (1) I am an inventor in this application and I am 65 years of age, or more.

◉ (2) I am an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Patent and Trademark Office, and I certify that I am in possession of evidence, and will retain such in the application file record, showing that the inventor listed above is 65 years of age, or more.

Signature	/Daniel M. Cislo/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2010-03-24
Name	Daniel M. Cislo	Registration Number	32973

PTO/SB/130 (07-09)
Approved for use through 07/31/2012. OMB 0651- 0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Fr eedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.

2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.

3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.

4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).

5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).

7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about indivi duals.

8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.

9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

NOV 25 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON VA 22203

In re Application of :
Marnix Van Gurp, et al :
Application No. 12/494,209 :
Filed: June 29, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 4662-1207 :

DECISION REFUSING STATUS
UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is a decision in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed September 15, 2009.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on June 29, 2009, without an executed declaration. Accordingly, on July 15, 2009, a Notice of Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application was mailed. The notice required, within two-months, an executed oath or declaration and the $130 surcharge under 37 CFR 1.16(f). In response, on September 15, 2009, the present petition was filed.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. The petition lacks item (2) above.

The petition included a declaration naming Marnix Van Gurp and Jens Frank Markwardt as joint inventors; however the declaration is exectued by Marnix Van Gurp on behalf of himself and non-signing joint inventor Jens Frank Markwardt. Petitioner indicates that joint inventor Jens Frank Markwardt is deceased and his legal representative cannot be reached after diligent effort.

The declaration, filed September 15, 2009, does not comply with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64 since it does not show inventor Jens Frank Markwardt as deceased and the name, residence and citizenship of the legal representative of the deceased inventor is not set forth on the declaration.

35 U.S.C. § 117 provides that a legal representative may file a patent application on behalf of a deceased inventor and since the legal representative has refused to join in the application, 35 U.S.C. § 116 and 37 CFR 1.47(a) permit filing of the application on behalf of the legal representative. Accordingly, a new declaration, which is signed by the remaining inventor(s) and sets forth the name of the deceased inventor, as well as the name, residence and citizenship of the legal representative of the deceased inventor, is **REQUIRED**. *See* MPEP § 409.03(a).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail:	Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
By hand:	Customer Service Window Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314
By fax:	(571) 273-8300 ATTN: Office of Petitions
By Internet:	EFS-Web[1]

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON VA 22203

MAILED

JUN 01 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Marnix Van Gurp, et al :
Application No. 12/494,209 : DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Filed: June 29, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. 4662-1207 :

This is a decision in response to the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed March 25, 2010.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on June 29, 2009, without an executed declaration. On September 15, 2009, a petition under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.47(a) was filed; however, the petition was dismissed in a decision mailed November 25, 2009. In response, on March 25, 2010, the present petition was filed.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. The petition lacks item (2) above.

The declaration, filed March 25, 2010, is exectued by Marnix Van Gurp on behalf of himself and non-signing heirs, Siu Kuen Yvonne Chan and Theo Jason Markwardt; however, the declaration does not comply with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64 since it appears that the citizenship of each of the legal representatives are missing. Also, the declaration should clearly show that Marnix Van

Gurp **and** Jens Frank Markwardt are the joint inventors and the last known address and citizenship of decease inventor, Jens Frank Markwardt, should be stated.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail:	Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
By hand:	Customer Service Window Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314
By fax:	(571) 273-8300 ATTN: Office of Petitions
By Internet:	EFS-Web[1]

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

NOV 18 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,364	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343716US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,369	06/30/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	343787US2SRD DIV	1040

22850 7590 06/18/2010

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/18/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,369	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **343787US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,373	06/30/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343731US2SRD DIV	1044

22850 7590 08/13/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,373	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **343731US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. This application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.To

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,378	06/30/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	343736US2SRD DIV	1050

22850 7590 08/13/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,378	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **343736US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. This application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.T.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,380	06/30/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	343771US2SRD DIV	1055

22850 7590 08/13/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,380	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **343771US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. This application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

52

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,384	06/30/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343721US2SRD DIV	1065

22850 7590 08/13/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,384	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **343721US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. This application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Salvatore F. D'AMATO

Application No. 12494390

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 45-58 US CIP

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 30-JUN-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,391	06/30/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343792US2SRD DIV	1077

22850 7590 08/13/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/13/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,391	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **343792US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. This application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

DTL

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,398	06/30/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343840US2SRD DIV	1086

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,398	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343840US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.To

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

AUG 24 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/494,411 :
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **343833US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

AUG 18 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,439	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343915US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 18 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/494,463 :
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 343905US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 25 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.
Application No. 12/494,500
Filed: June 30, 2009
Attorney Docket No. **343880US2SRD DIV**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 25 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/494,501 :
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **343812US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

AUG 25 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/494,522 :
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **343797US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

AUG 18 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/494,548 :
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 343756US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 18 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,561	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343886US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

K.F. ROSS P.C.
5683 RIVERDALE AVENUE
SUITE 203 BOX 900
BRONX NY 10471-0900

COPY MAILED

NOV 0 6 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Dengler, et al. :
Application No.: 12/494,584 : ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No: 24519 :

This is a decision on the "Petition for Granting of Filing Date", filed September 17, 2009, requesting that the above-identified application be accorded a filing date of June 30, 2009. The petition will be treated under 37 CFR 1.53.

The petition is **granted**.

On June 30, 2009, the above-identified application was filed. On July 20, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mailed a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application" (the "Notice"), stating that the application had not been accorded a filing date because at least one claim did not accompany the application papers. The Notice allowed a non-extendable two-month period for reply beginning from the mailing date of the Notice.

In response, on September 17, 2009, applicants filed the present petition and stated that:

> [t]he original eight-page text filed 30 June 2009 includes on pages 6 and 7 seven claims under the heading "Patentanspruche," which means "Patent claims" in German. This the original papers did include claims and the application is complete.

Excerpt from "Petition for Granting of Filing Date" filed September 17, 2009, p. 1.

It is noted that an English translation of the specification and claims did not accompany the application papers filed June 30, 2009. Notwithstanding, Section 608.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure states, in pertinent part, that:

> [t]he U.S. Patent and Trademark Office will accord a filing date to an application meeting the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or a provisional application in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 111(b), even though some or all of the application papers, including the written description and the claims, is in a language other than English and hence does not comply with 37 CFR 1.52.

A review of the application papers reveals that the application papers filed June 30, 2009, meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 111(a) even though the papers were in a language other than English. The application is therefore entitled to a filing date of June 30, 2009.

The English translation of the application papers filed September 17, 2009, is noted.

The application file will be directed to the Office of Patent Application Processing for further review with a filing date of June 30, 2009. The Office of Patent Application Processing will issue a corrected filing receipt.

Any inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3222.

Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Kaplan Gilman & Pergament LLP
1480 Route 9 North
Woodbridge NJ 07095

MAILED

JUN 0 7 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

PASCAL, Vera et al. :
Application No. 12/494,596 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. **881-002** : FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed May 11, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office requires the practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal to certify that he, she, or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the response period, that the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any responses that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant 37 CFR 10.40(c).

The request was signed by Michael Gilman on behalf of all attorneys of record who are associated with customer No. 83336. All attorneys/agents associated with the Customer Number 83336 have been withdrawn. Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

All future correspondence will be directed to the first named inventor Vera Pascal at the address indicated below.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at 571-272-2783.

/Tredelle D. Jackson/
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

cc: **VERA PASCAL**
401 SECOND AVENUE APT. 19B
NEW YORK NY 10010

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,599	06/30/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	343822US2SRD DIV	1377

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,599	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343822US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,668	06/30/2009	Mehdi Abolhassani	TEC.0014US	1500

21906 7590 02/20/2010

TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631

EXAMINER	
MOHANDESI, IRAJ A	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2839	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750
HOUSTON TX 77057-2631

In re Application of	:	
ABOLHASSANI et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/494,668	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 30 June 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. TEC.0014US	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 20 January 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2839 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,678	06/30/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	343898US2SRD DIV	1520

22850 7590 09/25/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/25/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/494,678	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 343898US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed June 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

Q.24

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,794	06/30/2009	William J. Lechnick	H0019934	1708

23490 7590 02/03/2010

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1797	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IP.Docketclerk@uop.com
PatentServices-US@Honeywell.com
IP.Docketclerk@Honeywell.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN NJ 07962

FEB 03 2010

In re Application of
Lechnick et al.
Application No. 12/494,794
Filed: 6/30/2009
Attorney Docket No. **H0019934**

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 12/9/2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1797 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,838	06/30/2009	Ubaldo MASTROMATTEO	53668 (08-CA-079/LIB)	1779

27975 7590 02/16/2010

ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.
1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE
P.O. BOX 3791
ORLANDO, FL 32802-3791

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

creganoa@addmg.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ALLEN, DYER, DOPPELT, MILBRATH & GILCHRIST P.A.
1401 CITRUS CENTER 255 SOUTH ORANGE AVENUE
P.O. BOX 3791
ORLANDO FL 32802-3791

FEB 16 2010

In re Application of	:	
Mastromatteo	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/494,838	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 6/30/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **53668 (08-CA-079/LIB)**	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 1/12/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1795 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,859	06/30/2009	Kazuhiko Abe	430.0185USX	1806

7590 04/19/2010

CHARLES N.J. RUGGIERO
OHLANDT, GREELEY, RUGGIERO & PERLE, L.L.P.
10th FLOOR
ONE LANDMARK SQUARE
STAMFORD, CT 06901-2682

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2626	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/19/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 04/19/2010 NFARRER
07701/2009 INTEFSW 00001484 010467 12494859
02 FC:1111 540.00 CR

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,895	06/30/2009	Balaji Subramanian	233932 (22402-154)	1867

45432 7590 02/17/2010

PATRICK W. RASCHE (22402)
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, SUITE 2600
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3745	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PATRICK W. RASCHE (22402)
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, SUITE 2600
ST. LOUIS MO 63102-2740

In re Application of	:	
SUBRAMANIAN, BALAJI et al	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/494,895	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 233932 (22402-154)	:	PILOT PROGRAM
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR INCREASING LIFT ON WIND TURBINE BLADE		

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 16, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice

before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived. The petition lacks item(s) #1 and #5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 416/001) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard. The statement provided fails to explain how the present invention materially contributes to the development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856. The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action in its regular turn.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/494,895	06/30/2009	Balaji Subramanian	233932 (22402-154)	1867

45432 7590 06/29/2010

PATRICK W. RASCHE (22402)
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
7700 Forsyth Boulevard
Suite 1800
St. Louis, MO 63105

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3745	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/29/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PATRICK W. RASCHE (22402)
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
7700 Forsyth Boulevard
Suite 1800
St. Louis MO 63105

In re Application of	:	
SUBRAMANIAN, BALAJI et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/494,895	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 233932 (22402-154)	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 6/10/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a

request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Henry Yuen/

Henry Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ADVANCED LIQUID LOGIC, INC.
C/O WARD AND SMITH, P.A.
1001 COLLEGE COURT
P.O. BOX 867
NEW BERN NC 28563-0867

MAILED

APR 09 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Michael G. Pollack et al. :
Application No. 12/494,927 : ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No.: :
060885-00247.D001DV1A

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.182, filed February 1, 2010, to change the order of the names of the inventors.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The instant petition has been submitted with an updated Application Data Sheet.

The order of the names of the inventors has been changed as follows:

(1) Michael G. Pollack;
(2) Vamsee K. Pamula;
(3) Philip Y. Paik;
(4) Hong Ren; and
(5) Richard B. Fair

A corrected filing receipt reflecting the correct order of the names of the inventors is attached.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center 1795 for examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned Petitions Attorney at (571) 272-3212.

Patricia Faison-Ball
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING or 371(c) DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY.DOCKET.NO	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
12/494,927	06/30/2009	1795	540	060885-00247.D001DV1A	23	3

CONFIRMATION NO. 1918

67945
ADVANCED LIQUID LOGIC, INC.
C/O WARD AND SMITH, P.A.
1001 COLLEGE COURT
P.O. BOX 867
NEW BERN, NC 28563-0867

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT

Date Mailed: 04/06/2010

Receipt is acknowledged of this non-provisional patent application. The application will be taken up for examination in due course. Applicant will be notified as to the results of the examination. Any correspondence concerning the application must include the following identification information: the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. **If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please submit a written request for a Filing Receipt Correction. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections**

Applicant(s)

Michael G. Pollack, Durham, NC;
Vamsee K. Pamula, Durham, NC;
Philip Y. Paik, San Diego, CA;
Hong Ren, San Jose, CA;
Richard B. Fair, Durham, NC;

Assignment For Published Patent Application

Duke University, Durham, NC

Power of Attorney: None

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

This application is a DIV of 11/077,569 03/10/2005 PAT 7,569,129
which is a DIV of 10/253,368 09/24/2002 PAT 6,911,132

Foreign Applications

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted: 07/08/2009

The country code and number of your priority application, to be used for filing abroad under the Paris Convention, is **US 12/494,927**

Projected Publication Date: Not Applicable

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No
**** SMALL ENTITY ****

Title

Methods for Manipulating Droplets by Electrowetting-Based Techniques

Preliminary Class

204

PROTECTING YOUR INVENTION OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

Since the rights granted by a U.S. patent extend only throughout the territory of the United States and have no effect in a foreign country, an inventor who wishes patent protection in another country must apply for a patent in a specific country or in regional patent offices. Applicants may wish to consider the filing of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). An international (PCT) application generally has the same effect as a regular national patent application in each PCT-member country. The PCT process **simplifies** the filing of patent applications on the same invention in member countries, but **does not result** in a grant of "an international patent" and does not eliminate the need of applicants to file additional documents and fees in countries where patent protection is desired.

Almost every country has its own patent law, and a person desiring a patent in a particular country must make an application for patent in that country in accordance with its particular laws. Since the laws of many countries differ in various respects from the patent law of the United States, applicants are advised to seek guidance from specific foreign countries to ensure that patent rights are not lost prematurely.

Applicants also are advised that in the case of inventions made in the United States, the Director of the USPTO must issue a license before applicants can apply for a patent in a foreign country. The filing of a U.S. patent application serves as a request for a foreign filing license. The application's filing receipt contains further information and guidance as to the status of applicant's license for foreign filing.

Applicants may wish to consult the USPTO booklet, "General Information Concerning Patents" (specifically, the section entitled "Treaties and Foreign Patents") for more information on timeframes and deadlines for filing foreign patent applications. The guide is available either by contacting the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199, or it can be viewed on the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/doc/general/index.html.

For information on preventing theft of your intellectual property (patents, trademarks and copyrights), you may wish to consult the U.S. Government website, http://www.stopfakes.gov. Part of a Department of Commerce initiative, this website includes self-help "toolkits" giving innovators guidance on how to protect intellectual property in specific countries such as China, Korea and Mexico. For questions regarding patent enforcement issues, applicants may call the U.S. Government hotline at 1-866-999-HALT (1-866-999-4158).

LICENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UNDER

Title 35, United States Code, Section 184

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 5.11 & 5.15

GRANTED

The applicant has been granted a license under 35 U.S.C. 184, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" followed by a date appears on this form. Such licenses are issued in all applications where the conditions for issuance of a license have been met, regardless of whether or not a license may be required as

set forth in 37 CFR 5.15. The scope and limitations of this license are set forth in 37 CFR 5.15(a) unless an earlier license has been issued under 37 CFR 5.15(b). The license is subject to revocation upon written notification. The date indicated is the effective date of the license, unless an earlier license of similar scope has been granted under 37 CFR 5.13 or 5.14.

This license is to be retained by the licensee and may be used at any time on or after the effective date thereof unless it is revoked. This license is automatically transferred to any related applications(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d). This license is not retroactive.

The grant of a license does not in any way lessen the responsibility of a licensee for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of existing laws relating to espionage and the national security or the export of technical data. Licensees should apprise themselves of current regulations especially with respect to certain countries, of other agencies, particularly the Office of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State (with respect to Arms, Munitions and Implements of War (22 CFR 121-128)); the Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce (15 CFR parts 730-774); the Office of Foreign AssetsControl, Department of Treasury (31 CFR Parts 500+) and the Department of Energy.

NOT GRANTED

No license under 35 U.S.C. 184 has been granted at this time, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" DOES NOT appear on this form. Applicant may still petition for a license under 37 CFR 5.12, if a license is desired before the expiration of 6 months from the filing date of the application. If 6 months has lapsed from the filing date of this application and the licensee has not received any indication of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, the licensee may foreign file the application pursuant to 37 CFR 5.15(b).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LAW OFFICE OF TRACY P. JONG
2775 BUFFALO ROAD, SUITE 3
ROCHESTER NY 14624

MAILED

SEP 0 1 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
John J. Nania :
Application No. 12/494,983 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 944-JN : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed June 30, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a copy of John J. Nania's birth certificate. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ron Abelson at 571-272-3165 or the undersigned at 571-272-7099.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3711 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WEISS & MOY PC
4204 NORTH BROWN AVENUE
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85251

COPY MAILED

SEP 28 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
JOSEPH, Daniel J. et al. :
Application No. 12/495,151 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **4200.01US02** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 21, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by Daniel D. Joseph attesting that he is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing to await a reply to the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers mailed July16, 2009. This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

NOV 18 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.
4800 IDS CENTER
80 SOUTH 8TH STREET
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-2100

In re Application of :
JOSEPH, Daniel J. et al. :
Application No. 12/495,151 :
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **4200.01US02** :
:

CORRECTED
DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 21, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by Daniel D. Joseph attesting that he is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing. This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CRAIG W. RODDY
HALLIBURTON ENERGY SERVICES
P.O. BOX 1431
DUNCAN, OK 73536-0440

COPY MAILED

SEP 30 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Lewis et al. :
Application No. 12/495,173 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 2006-IP-022148U2D1 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed June 30, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by applicants' attorney. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ella Colbert at 571-272-6741.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1796 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

EDELL, SHAPIRO & FINNAN, LLC
1901 RESEARCH BLVD.
SUITE 400
ROCKVILLE, MD 20850-3164

MAILED

JAN 20 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Jennie P. Mather, et al. :
Application No.: 12/495,218 : ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No.: 1301.0047DIV :

This is a decision on the petition, filed January 15, 2010, under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2) to withdraw the above-identified application from issue after payment of the issue fee.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application is withdrawn from issue for consideration of a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 (request for continued examination). See 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2).

Petitioner is advised that the issue fee paid on December 1, 2009, cannot be refunded. If, however, this application is again allowed, petitioner may request that it be applied towards the issue fee required by the new Notice of Allowance.[1]

Telephone inquiries relating to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

The application is being referred to Technology Center AU 1643 for further processing of the request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and for consideration of the concurrently filed Information Disclosure Statement (IDS).

/SDB/

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] *The request to apply the issue fee to the new Notice may be satisfied by completing and returning the new Part B – Fee(s) Transmittal Form (along with any balance due at the time of submission). Petitioner is advised that the Issue Fee Transmittal Form must be completed and timely submitted to avoid abandonment of the application.*

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,255	06/30/2009	Nobuaki TAKASU	SUTOSH.424AUS	2494

7590 07/19/2010

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
KOONTZ, TAMMY J	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3974	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Betty Powell

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,257	06/30/2009	Yoshiaki KAI	14434.0174USC1	2496

53148 7590 11/13/2009

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 2902-0902
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2831	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 2902-0902
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

In re Application of	:	
Kai et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/495,257	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: 30 June 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 14434.0174USC1	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 30 June 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

3. Applicant must

a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

5. Applicant must submit:
   a. Documentation of prior office action:
      i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
      ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
      iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

   Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

6. Applicant must submit:
   a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
   b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

Conditions (1), (2), and (4) to (7) above are considered to have been met. However, the request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition fails meet condition (3).

Regarding the requirement of condition (3), not all of the claims in the U.S. application sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claims in the JPO application. Specifically, claim 6 of the pending application contains the limitations that are not found in corresponding claim 3 of the Japanese application. Namely, claim 6 of the pending application requires the limitations set forth in claim 5 of the pending application. Claim 6 of the pending application should depend from claim 1 of the pending application to substantially correspond to claim 3 of the Japanese application.

A proper response in this case must include:

(a) an amendment to render claim 6 sufficiently corresponding to claim 3 of the Japanese application or cancellation of claim 6.

Applicant is given a time period of **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS**, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this decision to correct the deficiencies. **NO EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136 IS PERMITTED.** If the deficiencies are not corrected with the time period given, the application will await action in its regular turn.

Response must be faxed to Lee W. Young at 571-273-4549.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

Lee W. Young
TQAS Technology Center 2800 - Semiconductors,
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,257	06/30/2009	Yoshiaki KAI	14434.0174USC1	2496

53148 7590 02/17/2010

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 2902-0902
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2831	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 2902-0902
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402

In re Application of	:	
Kai et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/495,257	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: 30 June 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 14434.0174USC1	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 30 June 2009 and renewed on 11 December 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

3. Applicant must

a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

5. Applicant must submit:
   a. Documentation of prior office action:
      i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
      ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
      iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

   Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

6. Applicant must submit:
   a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
   b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

This application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision Once a formalities review has been completed.

Lee W. Young
TQAS Technology Center 2800 - Semiconductors,
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,272	06/30/2009	Takeo Horiguchi	SUTOSH.434AUS	2528

EXAMINER

7590 12/16/2009
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2423	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 12/15/2009 NFARMER
07/01/2009 INTEFCU 00004497 12495272
02 FC:1111 -540.00 CP

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,293	06/30/2009	Koji KASANO	SUTOSH.425AUS	2567

20995 7590 05/27/2010
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
OMETZ, DAVID LOUIS	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
2622	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/27/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
efiling@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

In re Application of	:	
KASANO, KOJI	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/495,293	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **SUTOSH.425AUS**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed March 24, 2010, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,381	06/30/2009	Satoshi Sera	8073P742	2717

7590 05/18/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2161	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/18/2010	PAPER

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(c)

The declaration of express abandonment will not be recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c), for express abandonment to avoid publication of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

The express abandonment will **not** be recognized for the reason(s) indicated below:

1. ☑ The petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c) was not filed in sufficient time to permit the appropriate officials to recognize the abandonment and remove the application from the publication process.
2. ☐ The petition was not signed by a party authorized by 37 CFR 1.33(b)(1), (3) or (4).
3. ☐ The Application was published in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b), and it is available on the USPTO web site at http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html.
4. ☐ Petition fee was not paid.

The application **has/will be published** as scheduled.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,381	06/30/2009	Satoshi Sera	8073P742	2717

7590 05/18/2010
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER
MOFIZ, APU M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2161	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/18/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Nimi Farmer

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 05/18/2010 NFARMER
07/01/2009 INTEFSU 00005195 022656 12495381
02 FC:1111 540.00 CR

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,410	06/30/2009	Dane M. HOWARD	70481.74	2759

7590 02/17/2010

HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
IP Section
2323 Victory Avenue
Suite 700
Dallas, TX 75219

EXAMINER
ABEL JALIL, NEVEEN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2165	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/17/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Nimi Farmer

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 02/18/2010 NFARMER
07/15/2009 MTEKLEMI [illegible] 12495410
02 FC:1111 540.00 CR
04 FC:1202 52.00 CR

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,507	06/30/2009	Syuji HIRAMOTO	TOTOSH.034AUS	2916

7590 01/26/2010

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2841	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

[illegible] Adjustment date: 01/25/2010 [illegible]
[illegible] -542.30 CR

[illegible] Card Refund Totals [illegible]

[illegible]

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JONES DAY
222 EAST 41ST ST
NEW YORK NY 10017

MAILED

OCT 14 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Robert M. Grotzfeld et al.
Application No. 12/495,526
Filed: June 30, 2009
Attorney Docket No. **11825-072-999**

: DECISION GRANTING
: PETITION

This is a decision on the filing date petition filed August 26, 2009 under 37 CFR 1.57(a). The petition is treated under 37 CFR 1.53(e)(2).

The application was filed on June 30, 2009. However, on July 17, 2009 the Office of Data Management mailed a Notice of Incomplete Application stating that the application had not been filed with drawings and thus, the application could not be accorded a filing date.

In response, the present petition was filed. Petitioner contends that the instant application, upon filing, properly claimed priority to previously filed non-provisional U.S. Application No. 11/031,638 which was incorporated by reference.

Petitioner's arguments and evidence have been considered. However, a review of the application confirms that, as filed, the application contained at least one composition claim. MPEP 601.01(f) provides that:

> It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence). The same practice has been followed in composition applications.

Thus, pursuant to § 601.01(f), a drawing is not considered essential for a filing date. The instant application is entitled to a filing date without drawings present in the application.

Accordingly, the Office should have granted the application a filing date and mailed a Notice of Omitted Items instead of a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application.

As stated in MPEP 601.01(g) under the section entitled, "Application Entitled to a Filing Date," applicant may submit an amendment to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the drawing(s) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a):

> [i]f an application was filed on or after September 21, 2004, and contains a claim under 37 CFR 1.55 for priority of a prior-filed foreign application, or a claim under 37 CFR 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional, or international application that was present on the filing date of the application, and the omitted portion of the drawing(s) was inadvertently omitted from the application and is completely contained in the prior-filed application[.]

Please note that no petition is required and that the amendment must comply with 37 CFR 1.57(a) and 37 CFR 1.121. See MPEP § 201.17. Any amendment to include the inadvertently omitted drawing(s) will be considered by the examiner.

To the extent the instant petition requests a filing date of June 30, 2009 with no drawings present in the application, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Given the basis for granting this petition, the petition fee is being refunded.

Pursuant to this decision, the application has been referred to Office of Patent Application Processing for:

- correction of the filing date to June 30, 2009
- for indication in Office records, as appropriate, that "0" sheets of drawings were present on filing and
- for issuance of a filing receipt.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Patricia Faison-Ball at (571) 272-3212.

Anthony Knight
Supervisory Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LADAS & PARRY
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100
LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679

MAILED

APR 26 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Chung Jae Lee	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/495,538	:	TO WITHDRAW
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	FROM RECORD
Attorney Docket No. 086121-0037	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed March 4, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** because it is moot.

A review of the file record indicates that on April 2, 2010 the power of attorney to Ladas & Parry was revoked by the assignee of the patent application. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-7751. All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Joan Olszewski
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
600 13TH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3096

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LADAS & PARRY
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100
LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679

MAILED

APR 2 6 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Chung Jae Lee	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/495,587	:	TO WITHDRAW
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	FROM RECORD
Attorney Docket No. 086121-0038	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed March 4, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** because it is moot.

A review of the file record indicates that on March 31, 2010 the power of attorney to Ladas & Parry was revoked by the assignee of the patent application. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-7751. All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Joan Olszewski
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
600 13TH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3096

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.
MAIL STATION 2346
1310 ELECTRONICS DRIVE
CARROLLTON TX 75006

COPY MAILED
FEB 17 2010

In re Application of Mohanakrishnaswamy et al Application No. 12/495,610 Filed: June 30, 2009 Attorney Docket No. 08-C 001 (850063.638)	:	DECISION NOTING JOINDER OF INVENTOR AND PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is in response to the petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a), filed October 16, 2009. This is also in response to the paper filed November 18, 2009, requesting that the petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a) filed October 16, 2009, be withdrawn and dismissed as moot.

In view of the Declaration submitted on November 18, 2009, signed by a previously non-signing inventor, Venkatesh Mohanakrishnaswamy, in compliance with 37 C.F.R. 1.63, the petition filed October 16, 2009, is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

In view of the joinder of the inventor, further consideration under § 1.47(a) is not necessary. This application does not have any Rule 1.47 status and no such status should appear on the record for this file. This application need not be returned to this Office for any further consideration under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(a).

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2811 for examination in the normal course of business.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3208.

/KOC/
Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KAMILO FEHER
44685 COUNTRY CLUB DRIVE
EL MACERO, CA 95618

COPY MAILED

SEP 30 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Kamilo Feher :
Application No. 12/495,714 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. VIDEOS 05set610tree : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed June 30, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by applicant. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ella Colbert at 571-272-6741.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2617 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JACKSON & CO., LLP
6114 LA SALLE AVENUE
#507
OAKLAND CA 94611-2802

MAILED

MAY 19 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Heller et al.	:	
Application No. 12/495,798	:	ON PETITION
Filed: June 30, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. TS-02-59CIP1	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed March 22, 2010, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement (PTO/SB/130 form) by the applicant's attorney that applicant is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-7751. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 3735 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Joan Olszewski
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JACKSON & CO., LLP
6114 LA SALLE AVENUE
#507
OAKLAND CA 94611-2802

MAILED

MAY 10 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Heller et al.
Application No. 12/495,803
Filing: June 30, 2009
Attorney Docket No. TS-02-59CIP2

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed March 22, 2010, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement (PTO/SB/130 form) by the applicant's attorney that the applicant is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

A. Kelley

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JACKSON & CO., LLP
6114 LA SALLE AVENUE
#507
OAKLAND CA 94611-2802

MAILED

MAY 10 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Heller et al.	:	
Application No. 12/495,807	:	ON PETITION
Filing: June 30, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. TS-02-59CIP3	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed March 22, 2010, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement (PTO/SB/130 form) by the applicant's attorney that the applicant is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/495,902	07/01/2009	Peter MANSCHER	742111-232	3592

25570 7590 10/14/2009

ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.
Intellectual Property Department
P.O. Box 10064
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2835	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/14/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com
dbeltran@rmsclaw.com
bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ROBERTS, MLOTKOWSKI, SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.
Intellectual Property Department
P.O. BOX 10064
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

In re Application of	**: DECISION ON REQUEST TO**
Peter Manscher	**: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT**
Application No.: 12/495,902	**: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY**
Filed: 01 July 2009	**: PROGRAM AND PETITION**
Attorney Docket No.: 742111-232	**: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER**
For: SYSTEM FOR COOLING DISPLAY	**: 37 CFR 1.102(d)**

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 01 July 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

Discussion

A grantable request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application is

    a. a Paris Convention application which either
        i. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the DKPTO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

    or

    b. a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
        i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the DKPTO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
        iii. contains no priority claim,

    or

c. a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
    i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the DKPTO, or
    ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
    iii. contains no priority claim;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
    a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the DKPTO application(s);
    b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
    c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
3. Applicant must:
    a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the DKPTO application(s) and
    b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;
4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
5. Applicant must submit:
    a. Documentation of prior office action:
        i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the DKPTO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
        ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
        iii. if the DKPTO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the DKPTO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;
    b. An English language translation of the DKPTO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
    c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
6. Applicant must submit:
    a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the DKPTO examiner in the DKPTO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
    b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);
7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Darren Schuberg at 571 272 2044.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

This application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision once this application's formality reviews have been completed.

Darren Schuberg
TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FEB 25 2010

PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP
530 B STREET
SUITE 2100
SAN DIEGO CA 92101

In re Application of	:	
Christopher O. JAMES	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/496,024	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 01, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 110866-0087	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 15, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining

how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 5.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. Petitioner alleges in the support statement that "The invention as described increases power and energy efficiency and thus fuel economy in such vehicles." This statement is merely a speculation on how the instant invention can be used to improve the efficiency of a vehicle that contributes to the more efficient utilization of energy and energy conservation. As stated in the notice, the materiality standard does not permit an applicant to speculate as to how a hypothetical end-user might specially apply the invention in a manner than could materially contribute to category (A) or (B). *Also see MPEP § 708.02 (VI).* Accordingly, it is unclear as to how the claimed invention would materially contribute to category (B), and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

It is noted that petitioner suggests that the instant application be placed in class 180/subclass 65.21. However, the application has been assigned to the USPC area of 701/22 which is the most appropriate classification and the best examinable area for the claimed invention based upon current U.S. classification guidelines.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3618 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

September 17, 2009

In re application of	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Hiroshi Kawazoe et a;	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Serial No. 12/496,212	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Filed: July 1, 2009	:	PROGRAM AND
For: MICRO FLUID SYSTEM SUPPORT AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF	:	PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed July 1, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:
   a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:

a. Documentation of prior office action:
   i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
   ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
   iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form: Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:

a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

MAILED

MAR 29 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
MCKINNEY, James et al. :
Application No. 12/496,269 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 01, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. **STAINC.001A** : FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed January 18, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office requires the practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal to certify that he, she, or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the response period, that the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any responses that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant 37 CFR 10.40(c).

The request was signed by Christopher Ross on behalf of all attorneys of record who are associated with customer No. 20995. All attorneys/agents associated with the Customer Number 20995 have been withdrawn. Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

All future correspondence will be directed to the first named inventor James Mckinney at the address indicated below.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at 571-272-2783.

/Tredelle D. Jackson/
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

cc: **JAMES MCKINNEY**
25571 MARGUERITE PARKWAY, STE. 2L
MISSION VIEJO CA 92691

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/496,456	07/01/2009	Robert M. Zubrin	RZ-008R	4574

65513 7590 08/11/2009

AMERICAN PIONEER VENTURES
ATTN: DANIAR HUSSAIN
845 Third Avenue
6th Floor
New York, NY 10022

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3676	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/11/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DHUSSAIN@ALUM.MIT.EDU
DHUSSAIN@MIT.EDU

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

8/10/09

CST

In re application of
Robert M. Zubrin et al
Serial No. 12/496,456
Filed: July 1, 2009
For: PORTABLE RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEM FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 1, 2009 to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination program.

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any dependent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview;

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner;

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions regarding the application (section I, subsections 1-4) discussed above are considered to have been met. Additionally, the conditions regarding the petition, section II, subsections 1-4 and 6, are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with condition II, subsection 5.

It appears that the search is incomplete. Note that a search in Class 48/subclasses 61, 127.1, 127.3, 127.9, 197R, 200-203 and 211 is also required.

Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is dismissed. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/496,456	07/01/2009	Robert M. Zubrin	RZ-008R	4574

65513 7590 10/06/2009

AMERICAN PIONEER VENTURES
ATTN: DANIAR HUSSAIN
845 Third Avenue
6th Floor
New York, NY 10022

EXAMINER	
MERKLING, MATTHEW J	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/06/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

danhussain@gmail.com
dhussain@alum.mit.edu
company@american-pioneer-ventures.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

In re application of :
Robert M. Zubrin et al :
Serial No. 12/496,456 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 1, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL
For: PORTABLE RENEWABLE ENERGY SYSTEM :
FOR ENHANCED OIL RECOVERY :

This is a decision on the Request for Reconsideration of Petition to Make Special under the Accelerated Examination Program filed on September 1, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED.**

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SNELL & WILMER LLP (OC)
600 ANTON BOULEVARD
SUITE 1400
COSTA MESA, CA 92626

MAILED

SEP 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
William J. Thompson :
Application No. 12/496,586 : ON PETITION
Filed: July 1, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 42524.2300 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 24, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement by the applicant's attorney that he is 65 years of age, and a Declaration from applicant that he is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 3633 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

APR 14 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

CANON USA INC INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
15975 ALTON PARKWAY
IRVINE CA 92618-3731

In re Application of :
Namikata, et al. :
Application No. 12/496,601 : DECISION ACCORDING STATUS
Filed: July 1, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. 01272.152844 :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed December 22, 2009.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **GRANTED**.

With the instant petition, Rule 47 applicant has established that a copy of the application papers was forwarded to the last known address of inventor Takebayashi on September 8, 2009. However, as of the date of the petition, no executed declaration from Takebayashi has been received.

The above-identified application and papers have been reviewed and found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). Accordingly, the above-identified application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status. As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventors at the last known address provided in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

The matter is being forwarded to the Group Art Unit 2625 for docketing and examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3207.

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MANABU TAKEBAYASHI
265-13 IKEHATA ISEHARA-SHI
KANAGAWA 259-1144
JAPAN

MAILED

APR 14 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Namikata, et al. :
Application No. 12/496,601 :
Filed: July 1, 2009 :
Title: Image Processing Apparatus, : LETTER
Image Processing Method, and Computer :
Program Thereof

Dear Mr. Takabayashi:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application filed under the provisions of 35 USC 116 (United States Code) and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a joint inventor, you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, agent of record would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (571)272-3207. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (703) 308-9726 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

MAILED

MAR 25 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Peter Petrov and Daniel M. Saban :
Application No. 12/496,622 : DECISION ACCORDING STATUS
Filed: July 1, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. 19636-0030001 / IDR 0009 :

This is a decision in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) filed December 21, 2009.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner has shown that joint inventor Peter Petrov has refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing (OPAP) for pre-examination processing, using the declaration filed December 21, 2009.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Telephone inquiries related to OPAP processing should be directed to their hotline at (571) 272-4000.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MR. PETER PETROV
700 WEST LA VETA AVENUE, UNIT #K2
ORANGE, CA 92868

MAILED

MAR 25 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Peter Petrov and Daniel M. Saban.
Application No. 12/496,622
Filed: July 1, 2009
For: ROTOR FOR ELECTRIC MACHINE HAVING A SLEEVE WITH SEGMENTED LAYERS

Dear Mr. Petrov:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

/SDB/
Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MICHAEL R. SCHRAMM
AXIAL BIOTECH, INC.
2749 EAST PARLEYS WAY #200
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84109

COPY MAILED

AUG 0 4 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
CHETTIER, Rakesh N. et al. :
Application No. 12/496,642 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 01, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **ABI-0019** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 02, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that James W. Ogilvie is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michelle R. Eason at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing. This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

JAN 20 2010

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of
PARK, SUNG JUN, et al.
Application No. 12/496721
Filed: July 2, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: **0465-2250PUS1**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed December 4, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. BOX 770
Church Street Station
New York NY 10008-0770

MAILED

JUN 03 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
MIZUTANI, SATOSHI et al. :
Application No. 12/496,779 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 02, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 20050/1202453-US1 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed September 14, 2009, to expunge information from the above identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Petitioner requests that a document entitled Information Disclosure Statement, filed July 02, 2009, be expunged from the record. Petitioner states that either (A) that the information contains trade secret material, proprietary material and/or material that is subject to a protective order which has not been made public; or (B) that the information submitted was unintentionally submitted and the failure to obtain its return would cause irreparable harm to the party who submitted the information or to the party in interest on whose behalf the information was submitted, and the information has not otherwise been made public. The petition fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(g) has been paid.

The decision on the petition will be held in abeyance until allowance of the application or mailing of an *Ex parte Quayle* action or a Notice of Abandonment, at which time the petition will be decided.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Miranda Le at (571) 272-4112 or in her absence, the undersigned at (571) 272-0602.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Thurman K. Page
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/496,781	07/02/2009	Douglas B. Heins	GMB-09-1192CIP	5163

35811 7590 09/08/2009

IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER LLP (US)
ONE LIBERTY PLACE
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER	
CALDWELL, ANDREW T	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
2442	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto.phil@dlapiper.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

SEP 04 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

Christopher J. McKenna
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER LLP (US)
ONE LIBERTY PLACE
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

In re Application of: HEINS, Douglas B., et. al.,
Serial No.: **12/496,781**
Filed: July 02, 2009
Atty. Docket No: GMB-09-1192CIP
Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UTILITY COMPUTING IN ASD-HOC AND CONFIGURED PEER-TO-PEER

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 02, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);
2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.
3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;
4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:
1. be filed with the application;
2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any dependent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview;
4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner;
5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a pre-examination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The pre-examination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include

where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions I 1-4, II 1-5, II 5.1-5.3, II 6.1-6.4 above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with condition II 6.5 above. Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Regarding the requirement of MPEP §708.02(a)(I)(5) and item II 6.5 above, the accelerated examination support document (AESD) must include: an indication showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112 in the written description of the specification.

The AESD fails to clearly identify all limitations of all claims. For example, the following claimed clauses/limitation(s) have not been provided with an indication as to where the limitation of the claim finds support under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112 in the written description of the specification. The following underlined subject matter has not been provided support under the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112 in the written description of the specification.

1. A method of executing a program structure by leveraging a peer-to-peer network, the method comprising:

generating a program structure comprising a plurality of program instructions; a first of a plurality of network peers executing a portion of the program instructions which initiates the execution of code hosted by said first network peer, said portion comprising fewer than all program instructions;

said first network peer migrating one or more of the program instructions, together with any requisite data, to at least one other of the plurality of network peers; and

said at least one other network peer continuing execution of the program structure.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein at least one program instruction itself comprises one or more program structures.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein said first network peer migrates the entire program structure, together with any requisite data, to the at least one other network peer for continued execution of said program structure.

4. The method of claim 1, further comprising writing and inserting one or more program instructions into the program structure after the program structure has begun execution.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein at least one program instruction does not invoke the execution of code, but rather controls the manner in which said program structure is executed, said at least one program instruction comprising constraints, said constraints comprising one or more of a time to perform, a cost to perform, a priority to perform, and a location to perform one or more program instructions.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein at least one program instruction causes the insertion or deletion of one or more program instructions into the program structure.

7. The method of claim 1, wherein the migrating step comprises:
a transferring peer querying one or more network peers to identify at least one receiving peer; and
transferring one or more program instructions, together with any requisite data, to said receiving peer,
wherein said transferring peer comprises a network peer in possession of the program structure and wherein said receiving peer comprises a network peer identified as capable of continuing execution of the transferred program instructions.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising repeating the migrating step until one or more objectives of the program structure are achieved.

9. The method of claim 7, wherein the transferring peer, in response to said querying step, receives information relating to one or more capabilities of one or more of the plurality of network peers, the method further comprising:
creating and storing a record of said capabilities; and
evaluating said record to identify said receiving peer.

10. The method of claim I, wherein each program instruction of the program structure comprises meta-data that provides information relating to the functional capabilities required to carry-out one or more program instructions, and wherein said meta-data is readable by each of the plurality of network peers, the method further comprising:
said first network peer reading said meta-data and determining whether it hosts the appropriate code for execution in accordance with one or more program instructions.

11. The method of claim 10, wherein the first network peer encounters at least one program instruction that calls for the execution of code that is not hosted by said first network peer, and wherein the at least one other network peer is identified as hosting and as being capable of executing said code, the method further comprising:
migrating said at least one program instruction together with any requisite data, to said at least one other network peer, said at least one other network peer executing the code called for by said at least one program instruction.

12. The method of claim 1, wherein the plurality of network peers comprises one or more selected from the group consisting of personal computers, servers, mobile telephones, pagers, personal digital assistant (PDA), radio frequency identification (RFID) devices, data sensors, kiosks, automatic teller machines (ATMs), wireless fidelity (WiFi) access points, routers, embedded multi-dimensional barcodes, global positioning (GPS) devices, gaming devices, multi-media devices, cable set-top boxes, digital still and motion cameras, surveillance equipment, healthcare monitoring equipment, medical imaging devices, and assembly line components.

13. A system for executing a program structure by leveraging a peer-to-peer network, the system comprising:
a plurality of network peers in communication with each other, each of said network peers comprising a memory for storing code, and a processor for executing said code, at least a first of said network peers comprising code that when executed causes said first network peer to:
generate a program structure comprising a plurality of program instructions;
execute at least a portion of the program instructions which initiate the execution of additional code hosted by said first network peer;
migrate one or more of the program instructions, together with any requisite data, to at least one other of the plurality of network peers; and
continue to execution of one or more program instructions received from any other of the network peers.

14. The system of claim 13, wherein at least one of said network peers comprises code that when executed, causes said network peer to write and insert one or more program instructions into the program structure to control the manner in which said program structure is executed, said program instructions comprising constraints, said constraints comprising one or more of a time to perform, a cost to perform, a priority to perform, and a location to perform one or more program instructions.

15. The system of claim 13, wherein at least one of the program instructions initiates the insertion or deletion of one or more program instructions into or out of the program structure after the program structure has begun execution.

16. The system of claim 13, wherein at least said first network peer further comprises code that when executed, causes said first network peer to query one or more network peers to identify at least one receiving peer; and to transfer one or more program instructions, together with any requisite data, to said receiving peer,

wherein said receiving peer comprises a network peer identified as capable of continuing execution of transferred program instructions.

17. The system of claim 13, wherein at least one program instruction itself comprises one or more program structures.

18. The system of claim 16, wherein said first network peer, in response to said querying step, receives information relating to one or more capabilities of one or more of the plurality of network peers, at least said first network peer further comprising:

code that when executed, causes said first network peer to create and store a record of said capabilities; and

evaluate said record to identify said receiving peer.

19. The system of claim 13, wherein each program instruction of the program structure comprises meta-data that provides information relating to the functional capabilities required to carry-out one or more of said program instructions, and wherein said meta-data is readable by each of the plurality of network peers.

20. The system of claim 13, wherein the plurality of network peers comprises one or more selected from the group consisting of personal computers, servers, mobile telephones, pagers, personal digital assistant (PDA), radio frequency identification (RFID) devices, data sensors, kiosks, automatic teller machines (ATMs), wireless fidelity (WiFi) access points, routers, embedded multi-dimensional barcodes, global positioning (GPS) devices, gaming devices, multi-media devices, cable set-top boxes, digital still and motion cameras, surveillance equipment, healthcare monitoring equipment, medical imaging devices, and assembly line components.

This requirement can be satisfied by listing verbatim ***all*** the claim limitations of the claimed invention (by "copy and paste") and indicating where each cited references teaches (or note) each claim limitation (without bundling limitations together to the extent possible), by indicating the element number and/or the relevant page and line numbers of the respective reference.

An example of an Accelerated Examination support document (AESD) is available at our website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/accelerated/

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **DISMISSED**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/bp/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/496,781	07/02/2009	Douglas B. Heins	GMB-09-1192CIP	5163

35811 7590 09/30/2009

IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER LLP (US)
ONE LIBERTY PLACE
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103

EXAMINER	
CALDWELL, ANDREW T	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2442	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pto.phil@dlapiper.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Richard L. Cruz
IP GROUP OF DLA PIPER LLP (US)
ONE LIBERTY PLACE
1650 MARKET ST, SUITE 4900
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

MAILED

SEP 29 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: HEINS, D. B., et. al.
Serial No.: **12/496,781**
Filed: July 02, 2009
Atty Docket No.: GMB-09-1192CIP
Title of Invention: NMETHOD AND APPARATUS FOR UTILITY COMPUTING IN AD-HOC AND CONFIGURED PEER-TO-PEER NETWORKS

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL
(ACCELERATED EXAMINATION)
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and
M.P.E.P. §708.02

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on September 25, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d). The original petition, submitted on July 02, 2009 was dismissed in the decision mailed on September 04, 2009.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED** as the revised Examination Support Document meets the requirements of conditions II 6.5 that were deficient in the original petition.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the renewed petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323) as the original identified deficiencies for failing to comply with the requirements for the pre-examination search encompassing all of the features of the claims, and giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation, the statement of patentability must provide a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable and the concise statement of the utility must be of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (reference must be made to independent claims 1, 14 and 20) have been corrected.

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP

812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining

how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-3902. A second point of Contact is Kim Huynh at (571)-272-4147.

/bp/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Networking, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

AUG 28 2009

Charles E. Weber
1908 Country Club Road
Hendersonville, NC 28739

In re application of Charles Edward Weber Application No. 12/496,809 Filed: July 2, 2009 For: SEISMIC ISOLATION TO PROTECT BUILDINGS	DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.102

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 2, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DENIED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview;

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner;

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable expectation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support

under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The petition fails to comply with conditions I.2 and I.3, above.

As published in the Federal Register, under *Part VIII, Conditions for Examination*, "the application must be in condition for examination at the time of filing" ("filing" being defined as at the time a filing date is granted). "This means the application must include the following:

(A) Basic filing fee, search fee and examination fee under 37 CFR 1.16 (see MPEP section 607(I)),

...

(D) A specification (in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52) containing a description (37 CFR 1.71) and claims in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75.

..."

The application, at time of filing, did not include the search fee. Additionally, the application was filed with a specification, claims, and/or abstract in which the line spacing was not 1 ½ or double spaced. Accordingly, the application is considered to be not in condition for examination as evidenced by the Notice to File Corrected Application Papers dated August 14, 2009.

Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure. Given the above failure of filing a complete application the petition has not been further reviewed on the merits.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **DENIED**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn. Further petitions for accelerated examination in this application will not be entertained.

In the August 6, 2009 letter, applicant indicates that he is a senior citizen. An application may be made special upon filing a petition including any evidence showing that the applicant is 65 years of age, or more, such as applicant's statement or a

statement from a registered practitioner that he or she has evidence that the applicant is 65 years of age or older. No fee is required with such a petition. See 37 CFR 1.102(c).

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Teri P. Luu, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-7045.

Teri P. Luu
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 TOWN CENTER
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR
SOUTHFIELD MI 48075

MAILED

AUG 13 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Spittle, et al.
Application No. 12/496,900
Filed: July 2, 2009
Attorney Docket No. AIM 0367 PUSP1

: DECISION REFUSING STATUS
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This decision is mailed in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed July 2, 2009.

The petition is **dismissed** and the merits of the petition will not be addressed because the petition is not signed by a proper party. No additional petition fee will be due when petitioners file a reconsideration petition.

Rule 47 applicants are given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

37 CFR 1.33(b) states that any amendments and other papers filed in an application must be signed by (1) an appointed registered attorney or agent; (2) a registered attorney or agent not appointed, but acting in a representative capacity, (3) an assignee under 3.71(b); or (4) all of the named inventors.

James D. Tanner has not provided an attorney registration number and a review of Office records does not reveal that a person named James D. Tanner has been assigned a registration number.

James D. Tanner has not shown that he is an authorized representative of an assignee who has right to take action. The inventive entity is set by the first filed declaration. Therefore, in this application, there are currently four joint inventors and all four have signed the declaration. The petition states that Product Profiles, LLC is the assignee of the above-identified application by virtue of various assignments from Doris Ericka Lougheed. However, Doris Ericka Lougheed is currently **not** a joint inventor.

It is noted that James D. Tanner is one of four joint inventors.

In short, James D. Tanner has not established that he is permitted to file amendments and other papers in this application..

In any reconsideration petition, applicants are encouraged to file a reconsideration petition selecting **one** of the following courses of action: Either (a) submit James D. Tanner's registration number; (b) submit an appropriate 3.73(b) statement on behalf of the assignee (if there is one) establishing ownership of the invention signed by a person authorized to act on behalf of the assignee·(the person authorized to act on behalf of the assignee should be James D. Tanner and in this manner, the signature on the petition will be ratified); or (c) submit a Rule 47(a) petition signed by a registered practitioner.

Petiitoners should file a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(a) to add Doris Ericka Lougheed as an inventor. A petition under 37 CFR 1.183 to waive various parts of 37 CFR 1.48(a) is available, if Doris Ericka Lougheed does not cooperate with her addition as a joint inventor.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petition
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300 – ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

Shirene Willis Brantley

Shirene Willis Brantley
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.
1000 TOWN CENTER
TWENTY-SECOND FLOOR
SOUTHFIELD MI 48075

COPY MAILED

OCT 13 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Spittle, et al. : ON PETITION
Application No. 12/496,900 :
Filed: July 2, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. AIM 0367 PUSP1 :

This decision is mailed in response to the response to decision refusing status under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed September 15, 2009.

Applicants request that the petition of July 2, 2009 under 37 CFR 1.47(a), and by logical progression, this petition, be dismissed as moot, in view of the preliminary amendment filed on September 15, 2009 wherein the application has been amended to claim benefit only from Application No. 61/077,909, filed on July 3, 2008. Applicants have submitted a fully executed declaration and are not attempting to alter the inventive entity.

The petition is **dismissed as moot**.

After the mailing of this decision, the application will be referred to Technology Center A.U. 1794 for examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

Shirene Willis Brantley

Shirene Willis Brantley
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUN 0 8 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

INGRASSIA FISHER & LORENZ, P.C. (GME)
7010 E. COCHISE ROAD
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85253

In re Application of :
GUNNARSSON et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/496,901 :
Filed: 07/02/2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 060.0249US :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed December 21, 2009. Applicants obtained an extension of time for response within the third month. Accordingly, the petition is filed timely.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Applicants are given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor(s). Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application. Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

On December 21, 2009, applicants submitted, *inter alia*, the present petition, the Declaration of Saul M. Solano, a declaration executed by three of the four joint inventors, a request for an extension of time for response within the third month and the requisite fees.

Mr. Solano declared that he made diligent efforts to contact the non-signing inventor to request that he execute the declaration. Mr. Solano asserted that he sent e-mail communications, which included the declaration, power of attorney, and assignment, to the non-signing inventor on June 30, 2009, August 10, 2009, September 11, 2009, and October 6, 2009, as evidenced by the e-mail correspondence accompanying the petition. Mr. Solano indicated that none of the e-mail communications to the non-signing inventor were returned as undeliverable. Mr. Solano stated that he sent a letter, including copies of the application papers, drawings, and declaration, via Federal Express to the non-signing inventor's last known address on November 13, 2009. A copy of the letter and the Federal Express online tracking information accompany the petition. Mr. Solano asserted that the non-signing inventor did not respond to any of the correspondence sent to him.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. Applicants lacks item (1).

With regards to the e-mail communications, it does not appear that the e-mail correspondence included a complete copy of the application as filed (specification, including the claims, and drawings). See MPEP 409.03(d). Additionally, applicants did not provide sufficient proof that the non-signing inventor received the e-mail communications. Lastly, applicants did not submit evidence that the non-signing inventor's email address was a current address that the inventor regularly monitored.

With regards to the Federal Express package, the online tracking information indicates that the package was returned to shipper because "Customer not available or business closed." Applicants did not set forth any further efforts they made to ascertain if the mailing address was the most current address for the non-signing inventor.

Accordingly, in any renewed petition, applicants must show that the non-signing inventor was presented with a copy of the application papers (specification, including the claims, drawings, if any, and the declaration) for the present nonprovisional application. If the non-signing inventor refuses to execute the declaration in writing, applicants must submit a copy of that written refusal with any renewed petition. If the refusal was made orally to a person, then that person must provide details of the refusal in an affidavit or declaration of facts. If applicants are unable to locate the non-signing inventor at the last known mailing address, applicants should explain their efforts to locate the non-signing inventor and include copies of any Internet searches for a current address, etc.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Service Window
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

Correspondence may also be sent via EFS-Web.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3211.

C. T. Donnell

Christina Tartera Donnell
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
UNDER SECRETARY OF COMMERCE FOR INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND
DIRECTOR OF THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

SEP 0 1 2009

Williamson Intellectual Property Law, LLC
1870 The Exchange, Suite 100
Atlanta, GA 30339

In re application of Bruce McGehee Application No. 12/496,909 Filed: July 2, 2009 For: MULTIDIRECTIONAL AUXILIARY SUN VISOR AND METHOD OF USE THEREOF	:	DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.102

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 2, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DENIED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview;

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner;

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable expectation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support

under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The petition fails to comply with condition I.4, above.

The application does not contain twenty or fewer total claims. It contains twenty-one total claims.

Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure. Given the above failure of filing a complete application the petition has not been further reviewed on the merits.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **DENIED**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn. Further petitions for accelerated examination in this application will not be entertained.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Teri P. Luu, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-7045.

Teri P. Luu
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LAW OFFICES OF TIM HEADLEY
7941 KATY FWY, SUITE 506
HOUSTON TX 77024-1924

COPY MAILED

DEC 23 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

DE GUZMAN, Neil et al.
Application No. 12/497,126
Filed: July 02, 2009
Attorney Docket No. **635.004**

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW
FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed November 09, 2009.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office requires the practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal to certify that he, she, or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the response period, that the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any responses that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant 37 CFR 10.40(c).

The request was signed by John Headley on behalf of all attorneys of record who are associated with customer No. 66482. All attorneys/agents associated with the Customer Number 66482 have been withdrawn. Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

The correspondence address of record has been changed and the new correspondence address is the address indicated below.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at 571-272-2783.

/Tredelle D. Jackson/
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: **INTELLIGENT ROBOTIC CORPORATION**
9700 RICHMOND, SUITE 105
HOUSTON TX 77042

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ZIES WIDERMAN & MALEK, P.L.
202 N. HARBOR CITY BLVD
SUITE #101
MELBOURNE FL 32935

MAILED

OCT 14 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
CZERNICHOWSKI, Albin et al. :
Application No. 12/497,156 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 02, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **69.3903** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed September 25, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by Albin Czernichowski, attesting to his age. Accordingly, the above-identified application will be accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at 571-272-2783.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing. This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done

Tredelle D. Jackson
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DEWEY & LEBOEUFF LLP
1101 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MAILED

APR 12 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Asher BAUM	:	
Application No. 12/497,233	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 2, 2009	:	TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 101329.000001.001000		FROM RECORD
	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed January 20, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office requires the practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal to certify that he, she, or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the response period, that the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any responses that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant 37 CFR 10.40(c).

The request was signed by Jeff E. Schwartz, on behalf of the attorneys of record associated with Customer No. 76353.

The attorneys of record associated with Customer No. 76353 have been withdrawn.

Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

The correspondence address for future communications from the Office has been changed and the new correspondence address is indicated below.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6735.

/DCG/
Diane C. Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: ASHER BAUM
1088 EAST 4TH STREET
BROOKLYN NY 11230

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/497,233	07/02/2009	Asher Baum	101329.000001.001000

CONFIRMATION NO. 6050

POWER OF ATTORNEY NOTICE

73653
Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP
1101 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20005

Date Mailed: 04/08/2010

NOTICE REGARDING CHANGE OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed 01/20/2010.

- The withdrawal as attorney in this application has been accepted. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33.

/dcgoodwyn/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DEWEY & LEBOEUFF LLP
1101 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MAILED

APR 13 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Asher BAUM :
Application No. 12/497,233 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 2, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 101329.000001.001000 FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed January 20, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office requires the practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal to certify that he, she, or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the response period, that the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any responses that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant 37 CFR 10.40(c).

The request cannot be approved because the attorneys of record were not appointed by Customer Number 76353, as indicated.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6735.

Diane Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: ASHER BAUM
1088 EAST 4TH STREET
BROOKLYN NY 11230

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
1101 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MAILED

MAY 03 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Asher BAUM :
Application No. 12/497,233 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 2, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 101329.000001.001000 FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed April 26, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office requires the practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal to certify that he, she, or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the response period, that the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any responses that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant 37 CFR 10.40(c).

The request was signed by Jeff E. Schwartz, on behalf of the attorneys of record associated with Customer No. 73653.

The attorneys of record associated with Customer No. 73653 have been withdrawn.

Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

The correspondence address for future communications from the Office has been changed and the new correspondence address is indicated below.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6735.

/DCG/
Diane C. Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: ASHER BAUM
1088 EAST 4TH STREET
BROOKLYN NY 11230

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/497,233	07/02/2009	Asher Baum	

CONFIRMATION NO. 6050

POWER OF ATTORNEY NOTICE

73653
Dewey & LeBoeuf LLP
1101 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20005

OC000000041386330

Date Mailed: 04/30/2010

NOTICE REGARDING CHANGE OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed 04/26/2010.

- The withdrawal as attorney in this application has been accepted. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33.

/dcgoodwyn/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/497,263	07/02/2009	Alexander V. Ershov	2405.0110003	6119

54089 7590 09/28/2009

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
WONG, LESLIE	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2164	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

George S. Bardmesser
BARDMESSER LAW GROUP
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20006

In re Application of:
Alexander V. ERSHOV
Serial No.: 12/497,263
Filed: July 2, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 2045.0110003
Title: SEARCH ENGINE GRAPHICAL INTERFACE USING MAPS OF SEARCH TERMS AND IMAGES

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 2, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3613.

/Vincent N. Trans/
Vincent N. Trans, SPRE/QAS
Technology Center 2100
Computer Architecture and Software

United States Patent and Trademark Office

~~Commissioner for Patents~~
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

SEP 16 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington DC 20037

In re Application of:
JEONG, JE-CHANG et al
Serial No.: 12/497,264
Filed: July 02, 2009

Title: **SIGNAL COMPRESSING SYSTEM**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed July 02, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-3068.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUL 27 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Arent Fox LLP
555 West Fifth Street
48th Floor
Los Angeles CA 90013

In re Application of :
Husted :
Application No.: 12/497,307 :
Filed: July 2, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No.: 031445.00034 :

ON PETITION

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b), filed July 2, 2009.

The petition is dismissed.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. Any response should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(b)" and may include an oath or declaration executed by the inventor. **Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application.**

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) requires:

(1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration;
(2) an acceptable oath or declaration;
(3) the petition fee;
(4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor;
(5) proof of proprietary interest; and
(6) proof of irreparable damage.

This petition lacks item (2) set forth above.

As to item (2), an oath or declaration for the patent application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64 has not been presented. A declaration must be signed by a properly empowered representative of the Rule 1.47 applicant, FMI Products, LLC.

MPEP 409.03(b) states that typically when a corporation is the Rule 47 applicant, an officer should sign the declaration and provide his/her title. Where an oath is signed by a registered

attorney on behalf of a corporation, either proof of the attorney's authority in the form of a statement signed by an appropriate corporate officer must be submitted, or the attorney may simply state that he is authorized to sign on behalf of the corporation. The Rule 47 applicant must state his/her relationship to the inventor as required by 37 CFR 1.64.

A corporation may authorize any person to sign the application on its behalf. However, if the declaration is not signed by an attorney or officer of the corporation, then proof of authority of the person signing on behalf of the corporation must be submitted. MPEP 409.03(b)

An oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 and 1.64 listing Mr. Husted's information, signed by an authorized representative of the Rule 1.47 applicant on behalf of Mr. Husted is REQUIRED. See MPEP 409.03(b).

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petition
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300 - ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

Shirene Willis Brantley

Shirene Willis Brantley
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Arent Fox LLP
555 West Fifth Street
48th Floor
Los Angeles CA 90013

COPY MAILED

OCT 14 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Husted
Application No.: 12/497,307
Filed: July 2, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 031445.00034

ON PETITION

This is in response to the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b), filed September 18, 2009.

The petition is granted.

Petitioner has shown that the non-signing inventor, Martin D. Husted, has refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(b). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(b) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing for further pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230.

Shirene Willis Brantley
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MARTIN D. HUSTED
220 MORGAN RANCH ROAD
GLENDORA CA 91741

COPY MAILED

OCT 14 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Husted
Application No.: 12/497,307
Filed: July 2, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 031445.00034

LETTER

Dear Mr. Husted:

You are named as sole inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(b), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as sole inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3230. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

Shirene Willis Brantley
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: Arent Fox LLP
555 West Fifth Street
48th Floor
Los Angeles CA 90013

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUL 15 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

McAndrews Held & Malloy, LTD.
500 West Madison Street
Suite 3400
Chicago, IL 60661

In re Application of
Henrik Kniberg, et. al.
Application No. 12/497,330
Filed: July 2, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 18682US03

DECISION DISMISSING STATUS UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed February 22, 2010 and supplemented on March 2, 2010.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Rule 47 applicant is given **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. Applicant lack items (1) and (2) set forth above.

With respect to item (1), petitioner states "On January 13, 2010, Jennie Lindberg spoke with Ulf Larsson regarding the Declaration for the above-identified application of which Mr. Larsson is named, and explained Mr. Larsson's obligation to the Assignee. See Ms. Lindberg's attached Declaration Exhibit E. However, in the conversation of January 13, 2010, Mr. Larsson indicated his refusal to cooperate with Assignee and to execute the Declaration for the above-identified patent application for which he is named as an inventor (Exhibit E)."

Where there is an express or oral refusal, that fact, along with the time and place of the refusal, must be stated in an affidavit or declaration by the party to whom the refusal was made[1]. Where there is a written refusal, a copy of the document(s) evidencing that refusal must be made part of the affidavit or declaration. When it is concluded by the rule 47 applicant that an omitted inventor's conduct constitutes a refusal, all facts upon which that conclusion is based should be stated in an affidavit or declaration. If there is documentary evidence to support facts alleged in the affidavit or declaration, such evidence must be submitted. Whenever, an omitted inventor gives a reason for refusing to sign the application oath or declaration, that reason should be stated in the affidavit or declaration[2].

With respect to item (2), petitioner has failed to submit an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63. The oath/declaration filed February 22, 2010, is not acceptable because it does not identify the mailing address and residence of each inventor (e.g., city and either state or foreign country). Therefore, a new oath/declaration or Application Data Sheet (ADS) must be submitted.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Service Window
Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax: (571) 273-8300
ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.

Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] It is noted that the Declaration of Jennie Lindberg, dated February 5, 2010, fails to state the title/position of Ms. Lindberg in the above application. Therefore, since Ms. Lindberg's involvement in this application is unclear, petitioner must provide clarification of such in any renewed petition.

[2] *See* M.P.E.P. Section 409.03(d).

Amendments to the Specification:

Amend the specification by inserting a new section before the "Technical Field" as follows:

-- CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATION

This application is a continuation of pending United States Patent Application /TL/ No. 11/656,578, filed January 22, 2007, now U.S. Patent No. 7,564,722. This application is incorporated by reference herein. --

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

JUN 22 2010

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

In re Application of
KAWAI, NORIAKI, et al.
Application No. 12/497,407
Filed: July 2, 2009
Attorney Docket No. **SUTOSH.422AUS**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed May 5, 2010.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
755 PAGE MILL RD
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1016

COPY MAILED

DEC 3 1 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Jennie P. MATHER, et al	:	
Application No. 12/497,439	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 2, 2009	:	TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 1301.0052CON	:	FROM RECORD
	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed October 26, 2009.

The request is **NOT APPROVED because it is moot.**

A review of the file record indicates that the power of attorney to Morrison & Foerster LLP, has been revoked by the assignee of the patent application on December 16, 2009. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at 571-272- 6735.

/Diane Goodwyn/
Diane Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

MAILED

APR 26 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Peter Graumann	:	
Application No. 12/497,458	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 2, 2009	:	TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. **WILAN-P00570US1**	:	FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 CFR § 1.36(b), filed January 25, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** as moot.

A review of the file record indicates that the power of attorney to attorneys/agents associated with Customer Number 20350 has been revoked by the assignee of the patent application on March 8, 2010. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 CFR § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to JoAnne Burke at 571-272-4584.

JoAnne Burke
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KEITH R. DERRINGTON
BRACEWELL & GUILIANI LLP
P.O. BOX 61389
HOUSTON TX 77002-2781

COPY MAILED
FEB 01 2010

In re Application of :
Shammai et al. :
Application No. 12/497,470 : ON PETITION
Filed: July 2, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 594-41530 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.182, filed October 21, 2009, to change the order of the names of the inventors.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The order of the names of the inventors will be changed as follows:

1. Michael Shammai

2. Robert J. Gordon

A Corrected Filing Receipt reflecting the above changes is enclosed.

This matter is now being referred to Technology Center AU 3672 to await examination.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Joan Olszewski at (571) 272-7751. All other inquiries should be directed to the Technology Center.

/Liana Walsh/
Liana Walsh
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Corrected Filing Receipt

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING or 371(c) DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY.DOCKET.NO	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
12/497,470	07/02/2009	3676	1220	594-41530	19	3

CONFIRMATION NO. 6551

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT

69529
KEITH R. DERRINGTON
BRACEWELL & GUILIANI LLP
P.O. BOX 61389
Houston, TX 77002-2781

Date Mailed: 02/01/2010

Receipt is acknowledged of this non-provisional patent application. The application will be taken up for examination in due course. Applicant will be notified as to the results of the examination. Any correspondence concerning the application must include the following identification information: the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. **If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please submit a written request for a Filing Receipt Correction. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections**

Applicant(s)

Michael Shammai, Houston, TX;
Robert J. Gordon, The Woodlands, TX;

Assignment For Published Patent Application

Baker Hughes Incorporated, Houston, TX

Power of Attorney: The patent practitioners associated with Customer Number 69529

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

This appln claims benefit of 61/077,921 07/03/2008

Foreign Applications

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted: 07/14/2009

The country code and number of your priority application, to be used for filing abroad under the Paris Convention, is **US 12/497,470**

Projected Publication Date: Not Applicable

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

Title

Magnetic Stirrer

Preliminary Class

166

PROTECTING YOUR INVENTION OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

Since the rights granted by a U.S. patent extend only throughout the territory of the United States and have no effect in a foreign country, an inventor who wishes patent protection in another country must apply for a patent in a specific country or in regional patent offices. Applicants may wish to consider the filing of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). An international (PCT) application generally has the same effect as a regular national patent application in each PCT-member country. The PCT process **simplifies** the filing of patent applications on the same invention in member countries, but **does not result** in a grant of "an international patent" and does not eliminate the need of applicants to file additional documents and fees in countries where patent protection is desired.

Almost every country has its own patent law, and a person desiring a patent in a particular country must make an application for patent in that country in accordance with its particular laws. Since the laws of many countries differ in various respects from the patent law of the United States, applicants are advised to seek guidance from specific foreign countries to ensure that patent rights are not lost prematurely.

Applicants also are advised that in the case of inventions made in the United States, the Director of the USPTO must issue a license before applicants can apply for a patent in a foreign country. The filing of a U.S. patent application serves as a request for a foreign filing license. The application's filing receipt contains further information and guidance as to the status of applicant's license for foreign filing.

Applicants may wish to consult the USPTO booklet, "General Information Concerning Patents" (specifically, the section entitled "Treaties and Foreign Patents") for more information on timeframes and deadlines for filing foreign patent applications. The guide is available either by contacting the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199, or it can be viewed on the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/doc/general/index.html.

For information on preventing theft of your intellectual property (patents, trademarks and copyrights), you may wish to consult the U.S. Government website, http://www.stopfakes.gov. Part of a Department of Commerce initiative, this website includes self-help "toolkits" giving innovators guidance on how to protect intellectual property in specific countries such as China, Korea and Mexico. For questions regarding patent enforcement issues, applicants may call the U.S. Government hotline at 1-866-999-HALT (1-866-999-4158).

LICENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UNDER

Title 35, United States Code, Section 184

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 5.11 & 5.15

GRANTED

The applicant has been granted a license under 35 U.S.C. 184, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" followed by a date appears on this form. Such licenses are issued in all applications where the conditions for issuance of a license have been met, regardless of whether or not a license may be required as

set forth in 37 CFR 5.15. The scope and limitations of this license are set forth in 37 CFR 5.15(a) unless an earlier license has been issued under 37 CFR 5.15(b). The license is subject to revocation upon written notification. The date indicated is the effective date of the license, unless an earlier license of similar scope has been granted under 37 CFR 5.13 or 5.14.

This license is to be retained by the licensee and may be used at any time on or after the effective date thereof unless it is revoked. This license is automatically transferred to any related applications(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d). This license is not retroactive.

The grant of a license does not in any way lessen the responsibility of a licensee for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of existing laws relating to espionage and the national security or the export of technical data. Licensees should apprise themselves of current regulations especially with respect to certain countries, of other agencies, particularly the Office of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State (with respect to Arms, Munitions and Implements of War (22 CFR 121-128)); the Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce (15 CFR parts 730-774); the Office of Foreign AssetsControl, Department of Treasury (31 CFR Parts 500+) and the Department of Energy.

NOT GRANTED

No license under 35 U.S.C. 184 has been granted at this time, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" DOES NOT appear on this form. Applicant may still petition for a license under 37 CFR 5.12, if a license is desired before the expiration of 6 months from the filing date of the application. If 6 months has lapsed from the filing date of this application and the licensee has not received any indication of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, the licensee may foreign file the application pursuant to 37 CFR 5.15(b).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

FEB 0 3 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

HISCOCK & BARCLAY, LLP
ONE PARK PLACE
300 SOUTH STATE STREET
SYRACUSE NY 13202-2078

In re Application of	:	
Ib MENDEL-HARTVIG, et al	:	
Application No. 12/497,486	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 2, 2009	:	TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 3044382 US01	:	FROM RECORD
	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed October 30, 2009.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** because it is moot.

A review of the file record indicates that the power of attorney to Michael R. Ward and the attorneys associated with Customer Number 20872, has been revoked by the assignee of the patent application on January 27, 2010. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at 571-272- 6735.

/DCG/
Diane C. Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/497,486	07/02/2009	Ib Mendel-Hartvig	3044382 US01

CONFIRMATION NO. 6591

POA ACCEPTANCE LETTER

72742
Hiscock & Barclay, LLP
One Park Place
300 South State Street
Syracuse, NY 13202-2078

OC000000039972333

Date Mailed: 02/02/2010

NOTICE OF ACCEPTANCE OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed 01/27/2010.

The Power of Attorney in this application is accepted. Correspondence in this application will be mailed to the above address as provided by 37 CFR 1.33.

/sibrahim/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/497,507	07/02/2009	Jeffrey N. YU	079979-0013	6620

31824 7590 07/26/2010

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
18191 VON KARMAN AVE.
SUITE 500
IRVINE, CA 92612-7108

EXAMINER	
NAJMUDDIN, RAZA NEHAL	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3747	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/26/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
18191 VON KARMAN AVE.
SUITE 500
IRVINE CA 92612-7108

In re Application of :
YU, JEFFREY N., et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/497,507 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 2, 2009 : THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 079979-0013 : PILOT PROGRAM
Title of Invention: FUEL CONSERVATION SYSTEMS AND METHODS

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed July 15, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **Dismissed.**

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a

request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item(s) #4.

In regard to item 4, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

The general statement made in the petition is insufficient because the applicant did not explain how the claimed invention would (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction. The applicant states that this application meets the materiality standard because the invention materially contributes to the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources. It is noted the claims are merely directed to an engine control processing based on two mathematical functions and a formula. The applicant did not explain how such claimed mathematical functions and the formula will materially contribute to the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources and result in enhancement of the quality of the environment or materially contributes to development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or greenhouse gas reduction or contribute to the development of renewable energy resources or conservation of energy resources.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen. The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3747 for action in its regular turn. Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry Yuen at 571-272-4856.

/Henry Yuen/

Henry Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BINGHAM MCHALE LLP
2700 MARKET TOWER
10 WEST MARKET STREET
INDIANAPOLIS IN 46204-4900

COPY MAILED

AUG 0 4 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
FILIPOVICH, Danny et al. :
Application No. 12/497,645 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 03, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **18893-90195** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 03, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Danny Filipovich is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michelle R. Eason at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing. This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

RICHARD BREWSTER MAIN, ESQ.
PATENTS PENDING
9832 LOIS STILTNER COURT
ELK GROVE CA 95624

COPY MAILED

AUG 0 4 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
STOLARCZYK, Larry G. et al. :
Application No. 12/497,657 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 04, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **MLF 654-32** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 04, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Larry G. Stolarczyk is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michelle R. Eason at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing. This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SHYAM K. GUPTA
BIODERM RESEARCH
5221 E. WINDROSE DRIVE
SCOTTSDALE AZ 85254

COPY MAILED

AUG 0 4 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
GUPTA, Shyam K.	:	
Application No. 12/497,662	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 04, 2009	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No.	:	37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 04, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by Shyam K. Gupta attesting that he is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michelle R. Eason at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1616 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

INNOVATION DIVISION
CANTOR FITZGERALD LP
110 EAST 59TH STREET (6TH FLOOR)
NEW YORK NY 10022

MAILED

MAR 0 3 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Amaitis, et al. :
Application No. 12/497,668 : DECISION ACCORDING STATUS
Filed: July 4, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(b)
Attorney Docket No. 08-2203CIP :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed December 21, 2009.

The petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a) is **GRANTED**.

The petition and declaration have been reviewed and determined to be in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(a).

The application is hereby accorded Rule 47 status.

As provided in 37 C.F.R. § 1.47(c), the Office will provide notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventors at the last known address provided in the petition. Notice will also be provided in the Official Gazette.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Patent Application Processing for pre-examination processing..

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3207.

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FOSTER BARTON
6 SALCOMBE GARDENS
57 CLAPHAM COMMON NORTH SIDE
LONDON SW4 9RY

MAILED

MAR 03 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Amaitis, et al. :
Application No. 12/497,668 :
Filed: July 4, 2009 : LETTER
Title: Computer Graphics Processing
and Display of Selectable Items

Dear Mr. Barton:

You are named as an inventor in the above-identified United States patent application filed under the provisions of 35 USC 116 (United States Code) and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, agent of record would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (571)272-3207. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (703) 308-9726 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

/Cliff Congo/
Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ANTHONY STORM
44 LONGMAN CT
STATIONERS PLACE
HEMEL HEMPSTEAD
HERTFORDSHIRE HP3 9RS

MAILED

MAR 0 3 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Amaitis, et al. :
Application No. 12/497,668 :
Filed: July 4, 2009 : LETTER
Title: Computer Graphics Processing
and Display of Selectable Items

Dear Mr.Storm:

You are named as an inventor in the above-identified United States patent application filed under the provisions of 35 USC 116 (United States Code) and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, agent of record would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (571)272-3207. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (703) 308-9726 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

/Cliff Congo/
Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.
P.O. BOX 2902
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55402-0902

MAILED

MAR 2 9 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Olivier Badia et al :
Application No. 12/497,821 :
Filed: July 6, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 20260.0001US01 :

DECISION GRANTING STATUS
UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed December 23, 2009.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

Petitioner has shown that the non-signing inventor has refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing for pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3208.

/KOC/
Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MICHAEL A. O'NEIL, PC
8150 NORTH CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY
SUITE 1150
DALLAS, TX 75206

MAILED

JUL 08 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Ronald F. Coleman
Application No. 12/497,867
Filed: July 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 700150-1004

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW
FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed May 25, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others.

The Office strongly encourages practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal from representation as practitioner of record in an application to review the record to determine whether he or she is, in fact, of record and how he or she was made of record. The practitioner(s) should determine whether he or she was appointed by naming each practitioner individually or through the use of a Customer Number. If the practitioner(s) were appointed by a specific designation, then the request should ask that each specified practitioner be withdrawn and should list each practitioner(s) in the Request. Similarly, if practitioner(s) was appointed by a Customer Number, the practitioner(s) should ensure that the correct number is provided in the Request Additionally, as set forth in MPEP 403(I), the addition or deletion of a practitioner from the list of persons associated with a Customer Number should be done by way of a *Request for Customer umber Data Change* (PTO/SB/124) and not a *Request for Withdrawal As Attorney or Agent and Change of Correspondence Address* (PTO/SB/83).

The request cannot be approved because practitioners were appointed by customer number. Practitioners must withdraw in the same manner that they were appointed.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at 571-272-1642. All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center.

/AMW/
April M. Wise
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: RONALD COLEMAN
PO BOX 110674
CARROLTON, TX 75011-0674

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/497,879	07/06/2009	George Creasy	801505-3699	7395

28765 7590 08/05/2009

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1617	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/05/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@winston.com
mwalker@winston.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AUG 0 5 2009

28765
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N>W>
WASHINGTON< DC 20006

In re Application of
George Creasy
Serial No.: 12/497,879
Filed: July 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 801505-3699

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 6, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, SPE, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.

Cecilia Tsang

Cecilia Tsang
SPE, Art Unit 1654

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,103	07/06/2009	Craig Richard Horne	1361-003	7778

22208 7590 04/21/2010

The Marbury Law Group, PLLC
11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE
SUITE 1000
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER	
ARCIERO, ADAM A	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

The Marbury Law Group, PLLC
11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE
SUITE 1000
RESTON VA 20191

APR 21 2010

In re Application of	:	
Horne et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/498,103	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7/6/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **1361-003**	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 4/13/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be

accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item(s) 1.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 429/120.000) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1795 for action in its regular turn.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,103	07/06/2009	Craig Richard Horne	1361-003	7778

22208 7590 05/21/2010

The Marbury Law Group, PLLC
11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE
SUITE 1000
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER	
ARCIERO, ADAM A	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

The Marbury Law Group, PLLC
11800 SUNRISE VALLEY DRIVE
SUITE 1000
RESTON VA 20191

MAY 2 1 2010

In re Application of	:	
Horne et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/498,103	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7/6/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **1361-003**	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the request for reconsideration under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 5/19/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1795 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

0 1 OCT 2009

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

William J. McKeague
Patton Boggs, LLP
8484 Westpark Drive
Suite 900
McLean, VA 22102

In re Application of CONNOR, Charles et al.
Application No.: 12/498,166
Filing Date: 6 July 2009
Priority Date: 5 December 2006
Attorney Docket No.: 023848.0105C1US
For: TREATMENT FOR DRY EYE

: DECISION ON
:
: PETITION FOR REVIVAL
:
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
:
:

This decision is in response to applicant's "Petition For Revival Of An International Application For Patent Designating the U.S. Abandoned Unintentionally - Under 37 CFR 1.137(b)" filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) on 6 July 2009.

BACKGROUND

On 5 December 2007, applicant filed international application PCT/US2007/086517, which claims priority date of 5 December 2006. The thirty-month period for paying the basic national fee in the United States expired midnight on 5 June 2009. Accordingly, PCT/US2007/086517 became abandoned on 5 June 2009 at midnight for failure to timely pay the basic national fee.

On 6 July 2009, applicants filed a request for filing a continuation or division of an international application, which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, specification, claims, drawings, application data sheet and a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.137(b).

DISCUSSION

A petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) requesting that the application be revived on the grounds of unintentional abandonment must be accompanied by (1) a proper reply, (2) the petition fee required by law, (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional, and (4) a terminal disclaimer and fee (if the international application was filed before June 8, 1995).

Regarding item (1), applicant has filed a continuation of PCT/US2007/086517.

Regarding item (2), the $810 petition fee has been charged to a credit card as authorized by applicant.

Regarding item (3) the petition includes a statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional" satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3).

With regard to item (4), because the international application was filed after 08 June 1995, no terminal disclaimer is required.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

The filing of the present continuing application under 35 U.S.C. 111 is accepted as the appropriate response under 37 CFR 1.137(b) (See MPEP §711.03(c), page 700-183, left column). International application PCT/US2007/086517 is being revived for purposes of continuity only and since continuity has been established by this decision reviving the international application, the international application is again abandoned in favor of the present continuing application number 12/498,166.

This matter is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1614 for continued examination in due course.

/Brian Whiteman/
Detailee
Office of PCT Legal Administration
Telephone: 571-272-6095
Facsimile: 571-273-0459

Leonard Smith
Supervisory Legal Examiner
Office of PCT Legal Administration

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,183	07/06/2009	Jie Lin	AVO-011	7933

76833 7590 07/27/2009

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
ATTN: PATENT ADMINISTRATOR
EXCHANGE PLACE
53 STATE STREET
BOSTON, MA 02109-2881

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1639	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

glenn.williams@goodwinprocter.com
patentbos@goodwinprocter.com
hmcpeake@goodwinprocter.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JUL 2 7 2009

GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
ATTN: Patent Administrator
Exchange Place
53 State Street
Boston, MA 02109-2881

In re Application of
Jie Lin, et al.
Serial No.: 12/498,183
Filed: July 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: AVO-011

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 6, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, Supervisory Patent Examiner, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.



Cecilia Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner, TC1600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,196	07/06/2009	Evgeny P. Smirnov	2402.0170002	7957

54089 7590 02/23/2010

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2624	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON DC 20006

In re Application of:
SMIRNOV, EVGENY P.
Serial No.: 12/498,196
Filed: July 6, 2009

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

Title: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR IDENTIFYING TEXT-BASED SPAM IN IMAGES USING GREY-SCALE TRANSFORMATION**

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 6, 2009 requesting to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not

file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Doris To, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-7629.

/Doris To/

Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

02

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,199	07/06/2009	Dong-Hee SHIM	0630-2905PUS2	7967

2292 7590 11/12/2009
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
CHOO, MUNSOON	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2617	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of	:	
SHIM, DONG-HEE	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/498,199	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 6, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 0630-2905PUS2	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed August 28, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are dismissed as moot as the examination of the above-identified application has begun. An office action was mailed on October 19, 2009.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

_/Doris To/_____
Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,260	07/06/2009	Tsuyoshi Nishida	8073P747	8069

8791 7590 02/19/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2123	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/19/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP
1279 Oakmead Parkway
Sunnyvale, CA 94085-4040

In re Application of: Nishida T.
Application No. 12/498,260
Filed: July 6, 2009
For: Information processing and emulation.

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PILOT PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) pilot program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed November 17, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application is
(a) a Paris Convention application which either
(i) validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

Or

(b) a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim,

Or

(c) a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 (a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or

(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim.

Where the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims is not the same application for which priority is claimed in the U.S. application, applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims and the JPO priority application claimed in the U.S. application.

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
a. Documentation of prior office action:
i. a copy of the office action(s)just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy; b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The petition is **GRANTED.**

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Mano Padmanabhan at 571-272-4210.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Mano Padmanabhan/

_____

Mano Padmanabhan
Quality Assurance Specialist, Technology Center 2100, Workgroup 2180
571-272-4210

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,268	07/06/2009	Dong-Hee SHIM	0630-2905PUS3	8084

2292 7590 11/12/2009
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
CHOO, MUNSOON	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
2617	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of	:	
SHIM, DONG-HEE	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/498,268	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 6, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 0630-2905PUS3	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed August 28, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are dismissed as moot as the examination of the above-identified application has begun. An office action was mailed on October 16, 2009.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

_/Doris To/_____
Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

DT

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,294	07/06/2009	Dong-Hee SHIM	0630-2905PUS4	8128

2292 7590 11/12/2009
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
CHOO, MUNSOON	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2617	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of	:	
SHIM, DONG-HEE	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/498,294	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 6, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 0630-2905PUS4	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed August 28, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are dismissed as moot as the examination of the above-identified application has begun. An office action was mailed on October 16, 2009.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

_/Doris To/_____
Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D24

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

NOV 18 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of
SHIM, DONG-HEE
Application No. 12/498,304
Filed: July 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 0630-2905PUS5

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed August 28, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition is deficient as follows:

Petitioner has not complied with item 5 above in that although a translation of the claims and a copy of the actual allowable/patentable claims from the KIPO application have been submitted, A copy of all Office actions along with a certified translation thereof have not been received.

Applicant is given a time period of **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS**, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this decision to correct the deficiencies. **NO EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136 IS PERMITTED.** If the deficiencies are not corrected with the time period given, the application will await action in its regular turn.

Any response must be submitted via EFS-web.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

DEC 21 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of
SHIM, DONG-HEE
Application No. 12/498,304
Filed: July 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: **0630-2905PUS5**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request for reconsideration to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed December 15, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,308	07/06/2009	Young-Soon CHO	1630-0668PUS4	8150

2292 7590 07/27/2009

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

MAILED

JUL 24 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: Young-Soon CHO et al
Serial No.: **12/498,308**
Filed: July 6, 2009
Atty Docket No: 1630-0668PUS4
Title of Invention: DIGITAL CONTENT ENCRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 6, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event

may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/id/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,309	07/06/2009	Young-Soon CHO	1630-0668PUS3	8154

2292 7590 07/27/2009

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/27/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUL 24 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

Ester H. Chong
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

In re Application of: Young-Soon CHO et al
Serial No.: **12/498,309**
Filed: July 6, 2009
Atty. Docket No.: 1630-0668PUS3
Title of Invention: DIGITAL CONTENT ENCRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 6, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event

may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/id/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,315	07/06/2009	Young-Soon CHO	1630-0668PUS5	8164

2292 7590 08/03/2009

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

MAILED

JUL 30 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: Young-Soon CHO et al
Serial No.: **12/498,315**
Filed: July 6, 2009
Docket: 1630-0668PUS5
Title of Invention: DIGITAL CONTENT ENCRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 6, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event

may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/pl/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,316	07/06/2009	Young-Soon CHO	1630-0668PUS6	8166

2292 7590 08/03/2009
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

Ester H. Chong
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

MAILED

JUL 30 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: Young-Soon CHO et al
Serial No.: **12/498,316**
Filed: July 6, 2009
Atty Docket No.: 1630-0668PUS6
Title of Invention: DIGITAL CONTENT ENCRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 6, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event

may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/bp/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

NOV 18 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of
SHIM, DONG-HEE
Application No. 12/498,321
Filed: July 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 0630-2905PUS6

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed August 28, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition is deficient as follows:

Petitioner has not complied with item 5 above in that although a translation of the claims and a copy of the actual allowable/patentable claims from the KIPO application have been submitted, A copy of all Office actions along with a certified translation thereof have not been received.

Applicant is given a time period of **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS**, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this decision to correct the deficiencies. **NO EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136 IS PERMITTED.** If the deficiencies are not corrected with the time period given, the application will await action in its regular turn.

Any response must be submitted via EFS-web.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

DEC 21 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of
SHIM, DONG-HEE
Application No. 12/498,321
Filed: July 6, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: **0630-2905PUS6**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request for reconsideration to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed December 15, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED
APR 13 2010
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Customer No. 70416
Perkins Coie LLP
Patent - LA
P.O. Box 1208
Seattle WA 98111-1208

In re Application of	:	
Ashok Sudhakar	:	
Application No. 12/498,365	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 7, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. **460840.000007**	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.182, filed, January 25, 2010, to change the name of inventor "Ashok Sudhakar" to – Ashok Em Sudhakar --.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Office records have been updated to reflect the inventor's change of name. A corrected Filing Receipt, which reflects the inventor's change of name, accompanies this decision on petition.

As authorized, the $400 fee for the petition under 37 CFR 1.182 has been assessed to petitioner's deposit account.

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to JoAnne Burke at (571) 272-4584. Any questions concerning the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3742 for the normal course of business.

JoAnne Burke
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

ATTACHMENT: Corrected Filing Receipt

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING or 371(c) DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY.DOCKET.NO	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
12/498,365	07/07/2009	3742	527	460840.000007	12	2

CONFIRMATION NO. 8241

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT

70416
Customer No. 70416
Perkins Coie LLP
Patent - LA
P.O. Box 1208
Seattle, WA 98111-1208

Date Mailed: 04/13/2010

Receipt is acknowledged of this non-provisional patent application. The application will be taken up for examination in due course. Applicant will be notified as to the results of the examination. Any correspondence concerning the application must include the following identification information: the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. **If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please submit a written request for a Filing Receipt Correction. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections**

Applicant(s)

ASHOK EM SUDHAKAR, Las Vegas, NV;

Power of Attorney:
Aaron Wininger--45229

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

Foreign Applications
INDIA 01659/CHE/2008 07/07/2008

Permission to Access - A proper **Authorization to Permit Access to Application by Participating Offices** (PTO/SB/39 or its equivalent) has been received by the USPTO.

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted: 07/17/2009

The country code and number of your priority application, to be used for filing abroad under the Paris Convention, is **US 12/498,365**

Projected Publication Date: Not Applicable

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No
**** SMALL ENTITY ****

Title

METHOD FOR REMOVAL OF CONTENT-BASED STRIPE AND THE LIKE ON A SUBSTRATE AND EQUIPMENT THEREOF

Preliminary Class

219

PROTECTING YOUR INVENTION OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

Since the rights granted by a U.S. patent extend only throughout the territory of the United States and have no effect in a foreign country, an inventor who wishes patent protection in another country must apply for a patent in a specific country or in regional patent offices. Applicants may wish to consider the filing of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). An international (PCT) application generally has the same effect as a regular national patent application in each PCT-member country. The PCT process **simplifies** the filing of patent applications on the same invention in member countries, but **does not result** in a grant of "an international patent" and does not eliminate the need of applicants to file additional documents and fees in countries where patent protection is desired.

Almost every country has its own patent law, and a person desiring a patent in a particular country must make an application for patent in that country in accordance with its particular laws. Since the laws of many countries differ in various respects from the patent law of the United States, applicants are advised to seek guidance from specific foreign countries to ensure that patent rights are not lost prematurely.

Applicants also are advised that in the case of inventions made in the United States, the Director of the USPTO must issue a license before applicants can apply for a patent in a foreign country. The filing of a U.S. patent application serves as a request for a foreign filing license. The application's filing receipt contains further information and guidance as to the status of applicant's license for foreign filing.

Applicants may wish to consult the USPTO booklet, "General Information Concerning Patents" (specifically, the section entitled "Treaties and Foreign Patents") for more information on timeframes and deadlines for filing foreign patent applications. The guide is available either by contacting the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199, or it can be viewed on the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/doc/general/index.html.

For information on preventing theft of your intellectual property (patents, trademarks and copyrights), you may wish to consult the U.S. Government website, http://www.stopfakes.gov. Part of a Department of Commerce initiative, this website includes self-help "toolkits" giving innovators guidance on how to protect intellectual property in specific countries such as China, Korea and Mexico. For questions regarding patent enforcement issues, applicants may call the U.S. Government hotline at 1-866-999-HALT (1-866-999-4158).

LICENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UNDER

Title 35, United States Code, Section 184

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 5.11 & 5.15

GRANTED

The applicant has been granted a license under 35 U.S.C. 184, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" followed by a date appears on this form. Such licenses are issued in all applications where

the conditions for issuance of a license have been met, regardless of whether or not a license may be required as set forth in 37 CFR 5.15. The scope and limitations of this license are set forth in 37 CFR 5.15(a) unless an earlier license has been issued under 37 CFR 5.15(b). The license is subject to revocation upon written notification. The date indicated is the effective date of the license, unless an earlier license of similar scope has been granted under 37 CFR 5.13 or 5.14.

This license is to be retained by the licensee and may be used at any time on or after the effective date thereof unless it is revoked. This license is automatically transferred to any related applications(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d). This license is not retroactive.

The grant of a license does not in any way lessen the responsibility of a licensee for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of existing laws relating to espionage and the national security or the export of technical data. Licensees should apprise themselves of current regulations especially with respect to certain countries, of other agencies, particularly the Office of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State (with respect to Arms, Munitions and Implements of War (22 CFR 121-128)); the Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce (15 CFR parts 730-774); the Office of Foreign AssetsControl, Department of Treasury (31 CFR Parts 500+) and the Department of Energy.

NOT GRANTED

No license under 35 U.S.C. 184 has been granted at this time, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" DOES NOT appear on this form. Applicant may still petition for a license under 37 CFR 5.12, if a license is desired before the expiration of 6 months from the filing date of the application. If 6 months has lapsed from the filing date of this application and the licensee has not received any indication of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, the licensee may foreign file the application pursuant to 37 CFR 5.15(b).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,700	07/07/2009	Kevin Kinzie	234891/25229-0037	8889

77749 7590 02/17/2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2839	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

In re Application of	:	
Kinzie et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/498,700	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 07 July 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 234891/25229-0037	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 14 December 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2839 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 800
WASHINGTON DC 20037

COPY MAILED
FEB 02 2010

In re Application of :
Seung-Jin Yang et al. :
Application No. 12/498,724 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 7, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. Q114024 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed August 14, 2009, to expunge information from the above identified application.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Petitioner requests that the executed declaration and power of attorney filed July 7, 2009 be expunged from the record.

However, 37 CFR 1.59(a)(2) states:

> Information forming part of the original disclosure (i.e., written specification including the claims, drawings, and any preliminary amendment specifically incorporated into an executed oath or declaration under §§ 1.63 and 1.175) will not be expunged from the application file.

A review of the file shows this executed declaration to be the original declaration and as such constitutes part of the original disclosure. Accordingly, the declaration and power of attorney filed July 7, 2009 will not be expunged from the record pursuant to 37 CFR 1.59(b). Moreover, petitioner has not established that an extraordinary situation exits in which justice requires the requested expungement pursuant to a waiver of 37 CFR 1.59(a)(2) under 37 CFR 1.183, as discussed in MPEP 724.05 IV.

Telephone inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Friedman at (571) 272-6842.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,749	07/07/2009	Kevin Charles DODD	341103US8X	8989

7590 02/01/2010

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2622	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

[illegible]

Adjustment date: 01/29/2010 NFARMER
07/08/2009 INTEFSW 00002389 12498749
02 FC:1111 -540.00 DP

[illegible]

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,761	07/07/2009	Kevin Kinzie	234889/ 25229-0038	9010

77749 7590 02/20/2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2839	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

In re Application of	:	
Kinzie et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/498,761	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7 July 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 234889/ 25229-0038	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 16 December 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2839 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,787	07/07/2009	Nobuo Imamura	15682-0017US2 / OSP-30465	9049

7590 06/02/2010
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3727	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/02/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 06/01/2010 NFAMEN
07/03/2009 INTERSW 00202622 051950 12493787
CE PC:1111 540.00 CR

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LOEN PATENT SERVICES
2266 S DOBSON ROAD
MESA, AZ 85202

MAILED

SEP 11 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Zink et al. :
Application No. 12/498,794 : ON PETITION
Filed: July 7, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 182 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 24, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement by the applicant's attorney that he is 65 years of age, and a Declaration from applicant that he is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 3741 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

COPY MAILED

JAN 29 2010

In re Application of :
Kinzie et al :
Application No. 12/498,798 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 7, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 234879 / 25229-0039 : THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
: PILOT PROGRAM
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 14, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The application must be directed to a single invention that either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) that materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed

invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 1 as explained below.

This application has been classified in an area (USPC 700/280) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail: Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Layla Lauchman at 571-272-2418.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2121 for action in its regular turn.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/498,798	07/07/2009	Kevin KINZIE	234879 / 25229-0039	9064

77749 7590 07/01/2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

EXAMINER	
DECADY, ALBERT	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2121	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCNEES WALLANCE & NURICK, LLC
100 Pine Street
P. O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108

In re Application of
KINZIE, Kevin et al.
Application No. 12/498,798
Filed: July 7, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 234879/25229-0039

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed June 16, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition

must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2121 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Eddie C. Lee at 571-272-1732.

/Eddie C. Lee/

Eddie C. Lee
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

DEC 03 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DECKER JONES MCMACKIN MCCLANE HALL &
BATES PC
BURNETT PLAZA 2000
801 CHERRY STREET UNIT #46
FORT WORTH TX 76102-6836

In re Application of :
Jimeson, et al. :
Application No. 12/498,850 : DECISION ACCORDING STATUS
Filed: July 7, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. 6447.37013 :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed September 24, 2009.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **GRANTED**.

With the instant petition, Rule 47 applicant has included a copy of inventor Steven's written refusal to sign the oath or declaration. While Rule 47 applicant did not provide a statement of the last known address of inventor Stevens, it is presumed to be the address listed on the declaration. Rule 47 applicant should notify the Office if this is not correct.

The above-identified application and papers have been reviewed and found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). Accordingly, the above-identified application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status. As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address provided in the declaration. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

The matter is being forwarded to Group Art Unit 1797 for docketing and examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3207.

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

REX R STEVENS
2027 ROOSEVELT CT
GRAND JUNCTION CO 81503

COPY MAILED

DEC 0 3 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Jimeson, et al. :
Application No. 12/498,850 :
Filed: July 7, 2009 :
Title: Mixed Alcohol Fuels for Internal Combustion Engines, Furnaces, Boilers, Kilns, and Gasifiers : LETTER

Dear Mr. Stevens:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application filed under the provisions of 35 USC 116 (United States Code) and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a joint inventor, you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, agent of record would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (571)272-3207. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (703) 308-9726 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 28 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Weitzman Law Offices LLC
425 Eagle Rock Avenue
Suite 102
Roseland NJ 07068

In re Application of:
CHONTOS, JOHN D., et al.
Serial No.: 12/498,919
Filed: July 7, 2009

Title: **HARD DRIVE DATA PLATTER IMPAIRMENT TOOL**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 7, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions set forth under section I. above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with conditions set forth under section II items 5.2, 5.3.

For these reasons cited above, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Regarding the requirement in item 5.2 and 5.3 above, the preexamination search document provided with the instant petition is deficient as follows: The US field of search which is to be provided under section 8(A) is not sufficient. In addition to the search areas provided, applicant would also need to search in the following class/ subclasses: 360/97.01, 137; 29/283.5.

If any request for reconsideration is filed, an updated preexamination search must be conducted as per items 5.2 and 5.3 above, and the Preexamination Search Document must be amended to indicate such; a new Information Disclosure Statement should be filed to cite any newly found prior art, and the Accelerated Examination Support Document must also be amended to include the necessary reference and discussion of any newly found and cited prior art in accordance with all of items 6 through 6.6 above; as well as the necessary updated discussion of patentability for each of the dependent claims (or a statement that claims stand or fall together) as set forth above.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Ken Wieder, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-2986.



Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

OCT 07 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Weitzman Law Offices LLC
425 Eagle Rock Avenue
Suite 102
Roseland NJ 07068

In re Application of:
CHONTOS, JOHN D., et al.
Serial No.: 12/498,919
Filed: July 7, 2009

Title: **HARD DRIVE DATA PLATTER IMPAIRMENT TOOL**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

The petition on reconsideration to make the application special filed September 25, 2009 is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not

file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Ken Wieder, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-2986.



Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AXIOS LAW GROUP. PLLC
1525 4TH AVE, STE 800
SEATTLE, WA 98101-1648

MAILED

SEP 22 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Maxine E. Fitzwater :
Application No. 12/498,944 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 7, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. FIMA-2009003 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 7, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement from applicant's representative that he is in possession of proof of applicant's age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at 571-272-1642. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3723 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/AMW/
April M. Wise
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Carl P. Schaffner

Application No. 12498971

Filed: July 7,2009

Attorney Docket No. A-75272-1

:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 18-NOV-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT
4 PARK PLAZA
SUITE 1600
IRVINE CA 92614-2558

COPY MAILED
JAN 08 2010

In re Application of :
Daniel L. Bour et al. :
Application No. 12/499,012 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 7, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 19660-4011 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2), filed December 22, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2) and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face

that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item(s)1 and 5.

As to item 1, this application has been classified in an area (USPC 166/281) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

As to item 5, as stated in section III of the Notice, applicant must provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail:
Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:
U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX:
(571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Carl Friedman at 571-272-6842.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3676 for action in its regular turn.

Carl Friedman
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,012	07/07/2009	Daniel L. Bour	19660-4011	9470

34313 7590 07/09/2010

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT
4 PARK PLAZA
SUITE 1600
IRVINE, CA 92614-2558

EXAMINER	
SUCHFIELD, GEORGE A	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
3676	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JUL 0 9 2010

ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
IP PROSECUTION DEPARTMENT
4 PARK PLAZA
SUITE 1600
IRVINE CA 92614-2558

In re Application of	:	
Daniel L. BOUR et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/499,012	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 7, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 19660-4011	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2), filed June 16, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DENIED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 4.

In regard to item 4, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This renewed petition has no materiality standard statement. Petitioner alleges that the claimed invention directed to the discovery or development of a renewable energy resource. However, oil or gas is not considered a renewable energy source, and the process of improving oil production is not a green technology either because it does not result in the discovery or development of a renewable energy source (for example, wind or solar energy). It is not clear how fracturing a well in an effort to get more oil out of the ground to improve the production of a well is a more efficient use and conservation of an energy resource, or to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. Getting oil out of the ground is one of the conventional energy production processes, not an alternative energy production process. Therefore, it is not agreed that the application on its face meets the materiality standard.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3676 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,029	07/07/2009	Xiao (Charles) Yang	028143-002210US	9500

EXAMINER

7590 03/08/2010

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2812	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/08/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Kaletta Buchanan

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 03/10/2010 KKING1
07708/2009 INTEFSW 00005423 201430 12499029
02 FC:2111 270.00 CR

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,029	07/07/2009	Xiao (Charles) Yang	028143-002210US	9500

EXAMINER

7590 03/08/2010

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2812	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/08/2010	PAPER

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(c)

The declaration of express abandonment will not be recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c), for express abandonment to avoid publication of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

The express abandonment will **not** be recognized for the reason(s) indicated below:

1. ☑ The petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c) was not filed in sufficient time to permit the appropriate officials to recognize the abandonment and remove the application from the publication process.
2. ☐ The petition was not signed by a party authorized by 37 CFR 1.33(b)(1), (3) or (4).
3. ☐ The Application was published in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b), and it is available on the USPTO web site at http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html.
4. ☐ Petition fee was not paid.

The application **has/will be published** as scheduled.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Kaletha Buchanan

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

09 MAR 2010

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. BOX 770
Church Street Station
New York NY 10008-0770

In re Application of
GORDON, Robert, D., et al.
Application No. 12/499,034
Filed: July 07, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 20481/1210154-US1

: DECISION ON PETITIONS
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3)
: AND UNDER 37 CFR 1.55(c)

This decision is issued in response to the "Petition To Accept Unintentionally Delayed Priority Claim" filed November 30, 2009, treated herein under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 37 CFR 1.55(c). The petition requests acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 365(c) for the benefit of a prior-filed PCT application, and under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) and 365(b) for the benefit of two prior-filed foreign applications, as set forth in the concurrently filed amendment and application data sheet (ADS).

The petitions are **GRANTED**.

The present nonprovisional application was filed after November 29, 2000, and the claim herein for the benefit of priority to the prior-filed PCT and foreign applications is submitted after expiration of the periods specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and 1.55(a)(1). Therefore, this is a proper petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 1.55(c).

As to the benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3):

A petition for acceptance of a claim for late priority under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) is only applicable to those applications filed on or after November 29, 2000. Further, the petition is appropriate only after the expiration of the period specified in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii). In addition, the petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) must be accompanied by:

(1) the reference required by 35 U.S.C.120 and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(i) of the prior-filed application, unless previously submitted;
(2) the surcharge set forth in § 1.17(t); and
(3) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(ii) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.

The petition complies with the requirements for a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) in that (1) a reference to the prior-filed PCT application has been included in an amendment to the

first sentence of the specification following the title, and an ADS, which include the required reference to the PCT application, as provided by 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii); (2) the surcharge fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(t) has been submitted; and (3) the petition contains a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, having found that the instant petition for acceptance of an unintentionally delayed claim for the benefit of priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 365(c) to the prior-filed PCT application satisfies the conditions of 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3), the petition is granted.

As to the benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.55(c):

A petition under 37 CFR 1.55(c) to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority requires:

(1) The nonprovisional application claiming the benefit of an earlier filing date must be filed on or after November 29, 2000;
(2) the claim submitted with the petition must identify the prior foreign application for which priority is claimed, as well as any foreign application for the same subject matter and having a filing date before that of the application for which priority is claimed, by the application number, country, and the filing date, **and be included either in an oath or declaration (37 CFR 1.63(c)(2)) or in an Application Data Sheet (37 CFR 1.76(b)(6);**
(3) the surcharge as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(t);
(4) a statement that the entire delay between the date the claim was due under 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1) and the date the claim was filed was unintentional. (The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional.); and
(5) the above-identified nonprovisional application must be filed within 12 months of the filing date of the foreign application.

The above-identified pending nonprovisional application was filed on July 07, 2009, which is after November 29, 2000, and the intermediate PCT application was filed within 12 months of May 30, 2007, which is the earliest filing date of the foreign application to which benefit is claimed. The ADS, as well as the declaration filed on December 28, 2009, identifies the foreign applications for which priority is claimed by application number, country and filing date. As noted above, applicants have paid the required surcharge. Lastly, petitioner has provided an adequate statement of unintentional delay.

All requirements being met, the petition under 37 CFR 1.55(c) to accept an unintentionally delayed claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) is appropriately granted.

It is noted that a corrected Filing Receipt, which includes the priority claim to the prior-filed PCT application and the foreign priority claims, was mailed on January 15, 2010.

The granting of the petition to accept the delayed benefit claim to the prior-filed applications under 37 CFR 1.78(a)(3) and 37 CFR 1.55(c) should not be construed as meaning that this application is entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications. In order for this application to be entitled to the benefit of the prior-filed applications, all other requirements under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 365(c) and 37 CFR 1.78(a)(1) and (a)(2) and 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) and 365(b) and 37 CFR 1.55(a)(1) must be met. Similarly, the fact that the corrected Filing Receipt includes the prior-filed applications should not be construed as meaning that applicant is entitled to the claim for benefit of priority to the prior-filed applications noted thereon. Accordingly, the examiner will, in due course, consider this benefit claim and determine whether this application is entitled to the benefit of the earlier filing date.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Richard M. Ross at (571) 272-3296. All other inquiries concerning either the examination procedures or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Boris Milef
Sr. PCT Legal Examiner
Office of PCT Legal Administration

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

INTERNATIONAL TRUCK INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COMPANY
4201 WINFIELD ROAD
WARRENVILLE IL 60555

MAILED

OCT 27 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Kevin Grady :
Application No. 12/499,301 : DECISION ON PETITION
Deposited: July 8, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. D5924-DIV :

This is a decision on the paper entitled "PETITION", filed September 25, 2009, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.57(a), requesting that the above-identified application be accorded a filing date of July 8, 2009.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.57(a) is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.57(a)." This is **not** a final agency decision within the meaning of 5 USC 704.

The instant application was deposited on July 8, 2009. However, the application omitted the specification. Accordingly, on July 28, 2009, the Office of Initial Patent Examination mailed a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application", requiring a specification and stating that the filing date would be the date of receipt of the specification. In addition, the Notice required an oath or declaration, which was also absent on filing.

In response, petitioner filed the specification and the instant petition on July 8, 2009. Petitioner argues that the application should be entitled to a filing date based on a benefit claim made in the transmittal letter to prior application No. 11/688,299.

With respect to the practice of incorporation by reference, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure states:

> For applications filed on or after September 21, 2004, a claim under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78 for benefit of a prior-filed nonprovisional application or international application designating the U.S. that was present on the filing date of the continuation or divisional application is considered an incorporation by reference of the prior-filed application as to inadvertently omitted material, subject to the conditions and requirements of 37 CFR 1.57(a). The purpose of 37 CFR 1.57(a) is to provide a safeguard for applicants when all or a portion of the specification and/or drawing(s) is (are) inadvertently omitted from an application.[1]

However, a review of the file does not indicate there was a proper benefit claim under 35 U.S.C. 120 and 37 CFR 1.78 that was present on the filing date of the application. As set forth in 37 CFR 1.78(a)(2)(iii), a claim must be contained in an ADS, or in the first sentence of the specification. Here, there was no ADS submitted on filing, nor was there a specification.

Any request for reconsideration with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571)273-8300
Attn: Office of Petitions

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Patent Application Processing for pre-examination processing with a filing date of September 25, 2009, using the specification and declaration filed on that date.

Telephone inquiries specific to this decision should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (571)272-3207.

Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions

---

[1] MPEP 201.06(c).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Joseph J. Manno

Application No. 12499341

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 09-MAN/103

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 08-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FEB 19 2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

In re Application of	:	
Lawrence WILLEY et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/499,406	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 08, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 234916	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 16, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining

how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks items 1 and 5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 052/169.900) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3633 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCKEE VOORHEES & SEASE PLC
801 GRAND AVENUE
SUITE 3200
DES MOINES IA 50309-2721

MAILED

NOV 19 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Blaine, et al.	:	
Application No. 12/499,424	:	DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Filed: July 8, 2009	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. P08519US1	:	

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed September 28, 2009.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **DISMISSED**.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor(s) cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor(s). The instant petition does not satisfy item (2).

With respect to requirement (2), petitioner has failed to submit an oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63. The declaration is not acceptable because it contains a non-initialed, non-dated alteration to the residence and mailing address of inventor Blaine.[1] Regarding this, the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure states that it is "improper for anyone, including counsel, to alter, rewrite, or partly fill in any part of the application, including the oath or declaration,

[1] "Any interlineation, erasure, cancellation or other alteration of the application papers...should be dated and initialed or signed by the applicant on the same sheet of paper." 37 CFR 1.52(c)(1).

after execution of the oath or declaration by the applicant." Furthermore, the Office "will not consider whether noninitialed and/or nondated alterations were made before or after signing of the oath or declaration but will require a new oath or declaration."[2]

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571)273-8300
Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3207.

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

[2] MPEP 605.04(a).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCKEE VOORHEES & SEASE PLC
801 GRAND AVENUE
SUITE 3200
DES MOINES IA 50309-2721

MAILED

MAR 26 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Blaine, et al. :
Application No. 12/499,424 : DECISION ACCORDING STATUS
Filed: July 8, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. P08519US1 :

This is in response to the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed January 13, 2010.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **GRANTED**.

Applicants filed a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) on September 28, 2009. However, the petition was dismissed in a decision mailed on November 19, 2009. The petition was dismissed because the 37 CFR 1.63 declaration submitted with petition contained a non-initialed, non-dated alteration to the residence and mailing address of inventor Blaine.

With the instant renewed petition, petitioner has submitted a corrected declaration with respect to inventor Blaine.

The previous petition and newly submitted declaration have been reviewed and determined to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a).

The application is hereby accorded Rule 47 status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), the Office will provide notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the last known address provided in the petition. Notice will also be provided in the Official Gazette.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Patent Application Processing for pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571)272-3207.

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

MAR 26 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

CURTIS TAYLOR
10 NORTH MAIN ST
CHAGRIN FALLS OH 44022

In re Application of :
Blaine, et al. :
Application No. 12/499,424 :
Filed: July 8, 2009 : LETTER
Title: Vibrating Anesthesia Device

Dear Mr. Taylor:

You are named as an inventor in the above-identified United States patent application filed under the provisions of 35 USC 116 (United States Code) and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, agent of record would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (571)272-3207. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (703) 308-9726 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

/Cliff Congo/

Cliff Congo
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Matthew J. Mayer

Application No. 12499473

Filed: July 8,2009

Attorney Docket No. 55270.0100

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 03-NOV-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW
GARRETT & DUNNER LLP
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413

MAILED

JAN 26 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Qin Zhao et al : DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Application No. 12/499,486 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: July 8, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 11005.0045 :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed July 8, 2009.

The petition is **dismissed.**

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor. Applicant lack items (1) and (2) set forth above.

As to item (1), the applicable statute (35 U.S.C.§ 116) requires that a "diligent effort" have been expended in attempting to find or reach the non-signing inventor. *See* MPEP 409.03(a). The showing currently fails to demonstrate, with a documented showing, that a diligent effort was made to find or locate non-signing inventor Hui Zhao, such that the declaration can be accepted under 37 CFR 1.47(a). Where inability to find or locate a named inventor(s) is alleged, a statement of facts should be submitted that fully describes the exact facts which are relied on to establish that a *diligent effort* was made to locate the inventor.

Petitioner has not demonstrated that all efforts were expended in trying to locate inventor non-signing Hui Zhao. In this regard, petitioner should, at the very least, conduct a search of the regional or national registry(s). The results of such search should be made in any future petition

for reconsideration. *See* MPEP 409.03(d). Additionally, petitioner should state whether he has access to inventor Zhao's personnel records and, if so, what does inspection of the records reveal as to a current address, forwarding address, or an address of the nearest living relative? What does inspection of the phone directories for those address locations reveal? Further, the petition fails to indicate that correspondence was ever mailed unsuccessfully to the inventor's last known address. Therefore, at the very least, petitioner should mail correspondence to the inventor's last known address, return receipt and/or forwarding address requested. If a forwarding address is provided, petitioner should then mail a complete copy of the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, oath, etc.) to Mr. Zhao's address, return receipt requested, along with a cover letter of instructions which includes a deadline or a statement that no response will constitute a refusal. This sort of ultimatum lends support to a finding of refusal by conduct. If the papers are returned and all other attempts to locate or reach the inventor, e.g., through personnel records, co-workers, E-mail, the Internet or the telephone, etc., continue to fail, then applicant will have established that the inventor cannot be reached after diligent effort or has refused to join in the application. **The statements of facts must be signed, where at all possible, by a person having firsthand knowledge of the facts recited therein and should be accompanied by documentary evidence in support of the statement of facts.** It is important that the forthcoming communication contain statements of fact as opposed to conclusions.

Where there is an express or oral refusal, that fact, along with the time and place of the refusal, must be stated in an affidavit or declaration by the party to whom the refusal was made. Where there is a written refusal, a copy of the document(s) evidencing that refusal must be made part of the affidavit or declaration.

When it is concluded by the rule 47 applicant that an omitted inventor's conduct constitutes a refusal, all facts upon which that conclusion is based should be stated in an affidavit or declaration. If there is documentary evidence to support facts alleged in the affidavit or declaration, such evidence must be submitted.

Whenever an omitted inventor gives a reason for refusing to sign the application oath or declaration, that reason should be stated in the affidavit or declaration.

Moreover, the fact that applicant attempted to present the application papers to the non-signing inventor in a different and earlier filed application does not relieve applicant of his duty to do so in the instant application.

As to item (2) above, the declaration is defective as the wording of an oath or declaration cannot be amended, altered or changed in any manner after it has been signed. Accordingly, a new oath or declaration must be submitted and must properly identify the application of which it is to form a part, preferably by application number and filing date in the body of the oath or declaration.

The declaration submitted is not accepted since it does not include a listing of all the named inventors as required by 37 CFR 1.63 (a) (2). The present declaration sets forth Qin Zhao and Kepeng Li as joint inventors. The Supplement Inventor Sheet (PTO/SB/101) submitted with the

petition on July 8, 2009 is not accepted. Accordingly, a declaration in compliance with 37 CFR §§ 1.63 (a) (2), (c)(1) and 1.64 signed by the signing inventors on behalf of the nonsigning inventor must be submitted for a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a). Note MPEP Sections 409.03(a); 602; 605.02; and 605.03. Note also 37 CFR 1.76

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:	Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
By hand:	Customer Service Window Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314
By fax:	(571) 273-8300 ATTN: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210.

David Bucci
Petition Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,558	07/08/2009	Thomas VINTHER	742111-233	1524

25570 7590 09/22/2009

ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.
Intellectual Property Department
P.O. Box 10064
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3637	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com
dbeltran@rmsclaw.com
bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ROBERTS, MLOTKOWSKI, SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.
Intellectual Property Department
P.O. BOX 10064
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

In re Application of	**: DECISION ON REQUEST TO**
Thomas VINTHER	**: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT**
Application No.: 12/499,558	**: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY**
Filed: 08 July 2009	**: PROGRAM AND PETITION**
Attorney Docket No.: 742111-233	**: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER**
For: POWER MODULE DRAWER	**: 37 CFR 1.102(d)**

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 08 July 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

Discussion

A grantable request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application is

    a. a Paris Convention application which either
        i. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the DKPTO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

    or

    b. a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
        i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the DKPTO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
        iii. contains no priority claim,

    or

c. a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
    i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the DKPTO, or
    ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
    iii. contains no priority claim;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
    a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the DKPTO application(s);
    b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
    c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
3. Applicant must:
    a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the DKPTO application(s) and
    b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;
4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
5. Applicant must submit:
    a. Documentation of prior office action:
        i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the DKPTO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
        ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
        iii. if the DKPTO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the DKPTO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;
    b. An English language translation of the DKPTO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
    c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
6. Applicant must submit:
    a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the DKPTO examiner in the DKPTO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
    b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);
7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Darren Schuberg at 571 272 2044.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

This application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision once this application's formality reviews have been completed.

Darren Schuberg
TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Stephen A. Weyl

Application No. 12499574

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 20412-15853

:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 08-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,645	07/08/2009	Takashi Narahara	6639P751	1722

8791 7590 03/08/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	
KOENIG, ANDREW Y	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2423	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/08/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR &
ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE CA 94085-4040

In re Application of: Takashi Narahara et al.
Application No. 12499645
Filed: July 8, 2009
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REPRODUCING VIDEO DATA IN VIDEO DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM USING NETWORK

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

MAILED

MAR 05 2010

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed December 23, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application is
(a) a Paris Convention application which validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the JPO, or to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

Or

(b) a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or contains no priority claim,

Or

(c) a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 (a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO or to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or contains no priority claim.

Where the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims is not the same application for which priority is claimed in the U.S. application, applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims and the JPO priority application claimed in the U.S. application

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Although claim 7 is not listed in the submitted corresponding table; it is clear from the record that it corresponds to allowed claim 7 of the Japanese application. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,787	07/08/2009	William J. Lechnick	H0019434	1003

23490 7590 02/03/2010

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1797	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

IP.Docketclerk@uop.com
PatentServices-US@Honeywell.com
IP.Docketclerk@Honeywell.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN NJ 07962

FEB 0 3 2010

In re Application of
Lechnick et al.
Application No. 12/499,787
Filed: 7/8/2009
Attorney Docket No. **H0019434**

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 12/9/2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1797 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,855	07/09/2009	Steven OLSON	234851 / 25229-0041-U1	1165

77749 7590 02/16/2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3745	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

In re Application of :
OLSON, STEVEN :
Application No. 12/499,855 :
Filed: July 9, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 234851 / 25229-0041-U1 :
Title: WIND BLADE SPAR CAP LAMINATE REPAIR

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 15, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant

will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived. The petition lacks item(s) #1 and #5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 416/001) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard. The statement provided fails to explain how the present invention materially contributes to the development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856. The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action in its regular turn.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/499,855	07/09/2009	Steven OLSON	234851 / 25229-0041-U1	1165

77749 7590 06/28/2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3745	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

In re Application of	:	
Olson, Steven	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/499855	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 9, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 234851 / 25229-0041-U1	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed June 17, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIW May-10

VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C.
DEPT. ICC
UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103

MAILED

MAY 27 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Shin, Pietraski, Sammour	:	DECISION REFUSING STATUS
and Bala	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Application No.: 12/499,964	:	
Filed: July 9, 2009	:	
Docket No.: IPH-2-2149US02	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed, February 23, 2010, under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.47(a).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Extensions of time may be obtained in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on July 9, 2009, without an executed oath or declaration. Accordingly, on July 24, 2009, a Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application was mailed, requiring an executed oath or declaration, including a surcharge for its late filing. A two (2) month period for reply was set. In response, on February 23, 2010, applicant filed, a partially executed declaration and the present petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a). It is noted that while the requisite petition fee is $200 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17(g), petitioner submitted $130. Accordingly, the $70 petition fee balance will be charged to counsel's deposit account, as authorized.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires:

(1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or located, notwithstanding diligent effort, or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings);

(2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116;

(3) the $200 petition fee;

(4) a surcharge of $130 or $65 (small entity) if the petition and/or declaration is not filed at the time of filing the application, and

(5) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

The petition lacks items (1).

Before a refusal to sign an oath or declaration can be alleged, it must be demonstrated that a *bona fide* effort has been made to present a complete copy of the application papers (specification, including claims, drawings, and oath or declaration) to the non-signing inventor at the non-signing inventor's last know address, typically a residential address.

Petitioner's declaration indicates that the application was mailed to the non-signing inventor and that several reminder e-mails were sent requesting, inter alia, the declaration, but that the non-signing inventor did not thereafter sign the declaration. Refusal by inventor Sammour cannot be inferred as the evidence submitted does not indicates that the application was actually received by him at his last known address. Similarly, while the transmission of documents via e-mail is common practice, it can be problematic. Transmission and receipt of documents-whether in image, word-processing, spreadsheet or other form-can be effected by differences in software generation between the sender and recipient(s), and other interferences include but are not limited to sender's and recipient's Internet service provider's (ISP) and/or office/personal security firewall systems.

Thus, in the absence of an express statement from a non-signing inventor(s) that he/she/they have received, opened and read a document, unlike a printed page in the language of the recipient there is as of this writing no basis to presume that an Emailed document was in a form that can be read and comprehended.

Therefore, at the very least, petitioner should mail correspondence to the last known address of joint inventor, return receipt and/or forwarding address requested. If a forwarding address is provided, petitioner should then mail a

complete copy of the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, oath, etc.) to that address, return receipt requested, along with a cover letter of instructions which includes a deadline or a statement that no response will constitute a refusal. This sort of ultimatum lends support to a finding of refusal by conduct.

In the event that the application papers are returned as undeliverable, petitioners may show diligent efforts to locate the non-signing inventor by providing a copy of an envelope showing that a letter sent to the last known address of the non-signing inventor was returned as undeliverable by the post office. Furthermore, details of the efforts made to locate the non-signing inventor should be set forth in an affidavit or declaration of facts by a person having first-hand knowledge of the details.

Where there is an express or oral refusal, that fact, along with the time and place of the refusal, must be stated in an affidavit or declaration by the party to whom the refusal was made. Where there is a written refusal, a copy of the document(s) evidencing that refusal must be made part of the affidavit or declaration.

If repeated attempts to contact the non-signing inventor are unsuccessful, petitioners will have demonstrated that the inventors cannot be reached, despite diligent efforts, or have refused to sign the declaration.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail:
Mail Stop PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:
Customer Service Window
Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By fax:
(571) 273-8300
ATTN: Office of Petitions

By Internet: EFS-Web[1]

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/500,101	07/09/2009	YOEL GILON	T4339-15141US01	1676

181 7590 03/08/2010

MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE
SUITE 500
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3749	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocketing@milesstockbridge.com
sstiles@milesstockbridge.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MILES & STOCKBRIDGE PC
1751 PINNACLE DRIVE
SUITE 500
MCLEAN VA 22102-3833

In re Application of :
GILON, YOEL et al :
Application No. 12/500,101 :
Filed: July 9, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. T4339-15141US01 :
Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR CONTROL OF A SOLAR POWER TOWER USING INFRARED THERMOGRAPHY

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed February 24, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed

electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-3468

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3749 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/500,199	07/09/2009	Kristin Arnold	MPC0138USC	1855

23413 7590 09/09/2009

CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
20 Church Street
22nd Floor
Hartford, CT 06103

EXAMINER	
HEYER, DENNIS	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 0 9 2009

23413
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
20 Church Street
22nd Floor
Hartford, CT 06103

In re Application of
Kristin Arnold et al
Serial No.: 12/500,199
Filed: July 09, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 31376/43775A

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 09, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:

To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, SPE, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.



Cecilia Tsang
SPE, Art Unit 1654

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/500,289	07/09/2009	Kurt SIEBER	234318 / 25229-0044	2021

77749 7590 02/20/2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2855	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

In re Application of	:	
Kurt SIEBER	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/500,289	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 09 July 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 234318 / 25229-0044	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 28 December 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of

renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 1 above.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 073/862.474) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2855 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/500,289	07/09/2009	Kurt SIEBER	234318 / 25229-0044	2021

77749 7590 07/15/2010

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg, PA 17108-1166

EXAMINER
DUNLAP, JONATHAN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2855	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/15/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

McNees Wallace & Nurick, LLC
100 Pine Street
P.O. Box 1166
Harrisburg PA 17108-1166

In re Application of	:	
Kurt SIEBER	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/500,289	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 09 July 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 234318 / 25229-0044	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed on 28 December 2009 and renewed on 15 June 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to

make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 4.

In regard to item 4, applicant's statement pertaining to how the materiality standard is met does not satisfy the requirements for this pilot. The instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status as materially contributing to the development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation. As set forth in the pilot agreement, the materiality standard does not permit an applicant to speculate as to how a hypothetical end-user might specially apply the invention in a manner that could materially contribute to the development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation. The claims are directed to a device and method for analyzing a weld. The intended use statement of "a wind turbine" is insufficient to render claimed subject matter eligible for the Green Technology Pilot Program.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2855 for action in its regular turn.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
David S. Bettinger

Application No. 12500349

Filed: July 9,2009

Attorney Docket No. 0710.0005

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 10-AUG-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

PTO/SB/130 (03-08)
Approved for use through 07/31/2008. OMB 0651- 0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number

PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL BASED ON AGE FOR ADVANCEMENT OF EXAMINATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

Application Information

Application Number	12500559	Confirmation Number	2572	Filing Date	2009-07-09
Attorney Docket Number (optional)	1589.004A	Art Unit		Examiner	
First Named Inventor	Mark S. Ledder				
Title of Invention	MULTI-PURPOSE EXPEDITION VESSEL				

Attention: Office of Petitions
An application may be made special for advancement of examination upon filing of a petition showing that the applicant is 65 years of age, or more. No fee is required with such a petition. See 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP 708.02 (IV).

APPLICANT HEREBY PETITIONS TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR ADVANCEMENT OF EXAMINATION IN THIS APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP 708.02 (IV) ON THE BASIS OF THE APPLICANT'S AGE.

A grantable petition requires one of the following items:
(1) Statement by one named inventor in the application that he/she is 65 years of age, or more; or
(2) Certification by a registered attorney/agent having evidence such as a birth certificate, passport, driver's license, etc. showing one named inventor in the application is 65 years of age, or more.

Name of Inventor who is 65 years of age, or older

Given Name	Middle Name	Family Name	Suffix
Edward	J.	Ledder	

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with 37 CFR 1.33 and 10.18.
Please see 37 CFR 1.4(d) for the format of the signature.

Select (1) or (2) :

○ (1) I am an inventor in this application and I am 65 years of age, or more.

◉ (2) I am an attorney or agent registered to practice before the Patent and Trademark Office, and I certify that I am in possession of evidence, and will retain such in the application file record, showing that the inventor listed above is 65 years of age, or more.

Signature	/WBUSKOP/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2009-07-09
Name	Wendy Buskop	Registration Number	32202

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Fr eedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these records.

2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.

3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.

4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).

5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.

6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).

7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about indivi duals.

8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspections or an issued patent.

9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Mark S. Ledder

Application No. 12500559

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 1589.004A

:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 09-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Barrie Gilbert

Application No. 12500581

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 1482-0287

:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 16-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/500,648	07/10/2009	Eric Eggleston	19441-0376	2763

29052 7590 02/16/2010
SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP
999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.
ATLANTA, GA 30309

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
3745	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP
999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.
ATLANTA GA 30309

In re Application of	:	
EGGLESTON, ERIC et al	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/500,648	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 19441-0376	:	PILOT PROGRAM
Title: WIND TURBINE AERODYNAMIC SEPARATION CONTROL		

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 16, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction

requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived. The petition lacks item(s) #1 and #5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 416/041) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard. The statement provided fails to explain how the present invention materially contributes to the development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856. The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action in its regular turn.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/500,648	07/10/2009	Eric Eggleston	19441-0376	2763

29052 7590 06/29/2010

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP
999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.
ATLANTA, GA 30309

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
3745	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/29/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SUTHERLAND ASBILL & BRENNAN LLP
999 PEACHTREE STREET, N.E.
ATLANTA GA 30309

In re Application of	:	
Eric Eggleston et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12500648	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 19441-0376	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 06/14/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Henry Yuen/

Henry Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/500,736	07/10/2009	Daisuke YASHIMA	TOSH/0045US	2937

26290 7590 05/20/2010

PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, L.L.P.
3040 POST OAK BOULEVARD
SUITE 1500
HOUSTON, TX 77056

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2189	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Patterson & Sheridan LLP
3040 Post Oak Boulevard, Suite 1500
Houston, TX 77056

In re Application of: Yashima D.
Application No. 12/500,736
Filed: July 10, 2009
For: Information processing apparatus, content control method, and storage medium.

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PILOT PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) pilot program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed February 26, 2010 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application is
(a) a Paris Convention application which either
(i) validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

Or

(b) a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim,

Or

(c) a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 (a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or

(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim.

Where the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims is not the same application for which priority is claimed in the U.S. application, applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims and the JPO priority application claimed in the U.S. application.

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
a. Documentation of prior office action:
i. a copy of the office action(s)just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy; b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The petition is **GRANTED.**

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Mano Padmanabhan at 571-272-4210.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Mano Padmanabhan/

_____

Mano Padmanabhan
Quality Assurance Specialist, Technology Center 2100, Workgroup 2180
571-272-4210

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

APR 23 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

LATIMER & MAYBERRY IP LAW, LLP
13873 PARK CENTER ROAD
SUITE 106
HERNDON VA 20171

In re Application of :
Ranendra Bose :
Application No. 12/500,814 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. RKB-101-01 : FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) filed March 1, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

The Office will no longer approve requests from practitioners to withdraw from applications where the requesting practitioner is acting, or has acted, in a representative capacity pursuant to 37 CFR 1.34. In these situations, the practitioner is responsible for the correspondence the practitioner files in the application while acting in a representative capacity. As such, there is no need for the practitioner to obtain the Office's permission to withdraw from representation. However, practitioners acting in a representative capacity, like practitioners who have a power of attorney in the application, remain responsible for noncompliance with 37 CFR 1.56, as well as 37 CFR 10.18, with respect to documents they file.

A review of the file record indicates that Matthew T. Latimer does not have power of attorney in this patent application. See 37 C.F.R. § 10.40. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is not applicable.

The correspondence address has been changed and is copied below.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4618

/Kimberly Inabinet/
Kimberly Inabinet
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: Ranendra K. Bose, P.E.
14346 Jacob Lane
Centreville, VA 20120-3305

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER
111 LYON STREET, N.W.
GRAND RAPIDS MI 49503-2487

MAILED

JAN 12 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

PRYOR, Timothy R.
Application No. 12/500,925
Filed: July 10, 209
Attorney Docket No. **119473.135207-001**

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 13, 2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Timothy R. Pryor is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2629 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER
111 LYON STREET, N.W.
GRAND RAPIDS MI 49503-2487

COPY MAILED

JAN 04 2010

In re Application of :
PRYOR, Timothy R. :
Application No. 12/500,951 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **119473.135208-001** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 13, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Timothy R. Pryor is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2629 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Roy C. Hopgood, Esq.
Hopgood, Calimafde, Kalil,
Blaustein & Judlowe
60 East 42nd Street
New York NY 10165

MAILED

AUG 11 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :

Nevenka Horvat et al. :
Application No. 12/500,959 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 92-1021-C6 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 10, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by a registered attorney. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to JoAnne Burke at 571-272-4584.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Patent Application Processing for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER
111 LYON STREET, N.W.
GRAND RAPIDS MI 49503-2487

COPY MAILED

JAN 04 2010

In re Application of :
PRYOR, Timothy R. :
Application No. 12/500,968 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2007 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **119473.135209-001** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 13, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Timothy R. Pryor is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2629 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER
111 LYON STREET, N.W.
GRAND RAPIDS MI 49503-2487

COPY MAILED

JAN 04 2010

In re Application of :
PRYOR, Timothy R. :
Application No. 12/500, 973 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **119473.135210-001** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 13, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Timothy R. Pryor is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2629 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER
111 LYON STREET, N.W.
GRAND RAPIDS MI 49503-2487

COPY MAILED

JAN 04 2010

In re Application of :
PRYOR, Timothy R. :
Application No. 12/500,978 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **119473.135211-001** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 13, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Timothy R. Pryor is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2629 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WARNER NORCROSS & JUDD LLP
900 FIFTH THIRD CENTER
111 LYON STREET, N.W.
GRAND RAPIDS MI 49503-2487

COPY MAILED

JAN 04 2010

In re Application of :
PRYOR, Timothy R. :
Application No. 12/500,984 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **119473.135212-001** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 13, 2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement attesting that Timothy R. Pryor is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2629 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JUN 0 9 2010

Gifford, Krass, Sprinkle, Anderson & Citkowski, P.C.
P.O. Box 7021
Troy, MI 48007-7021

In re application of	:	**DECISION ON REQUEST TO**
Takeshi Kishimoto	:	**PARTICIPATE IN PATENT**
Application No. 12/501,050	:	**PROSECUTION HIGHWAY**
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	**PROGRAM AND PETITION**
For: CONTROL SYSTEM AND METHOD	:	**TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER**
FOR HYBRID VEHICLE	:	**37 CFR 1.102(d)**

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed April 13, 2010 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of the latest Office action from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO Office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program complies with the above requirements. Therefore, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Mikado Buiz, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-6578.

/ Mikado Buiz /

Mikado Buiz,
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

MB/SM: 6/08/10

SM

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

September 24, 2009

In re application of	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Hiroshi Kawazoe et al.	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Serial No. 12/501,056	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	PROGRAM AND
For: MICRO FLUID SYSTEM SUPPORT AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF	:	PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed July 10, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
- a. Documentation of prior office action:
  - i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
  - ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
  - iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form: Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
- b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
- c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
- a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
- b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with all the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

September 24, 2009

In re application of Hiroshi Kawazoe et al. Serial No. 12/501,078 Filed: July 10, 2009 For: MICRO FLUID SYSTEM SUPPORT AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF	: : : : : : :	DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed July 10, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:
   a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
a. Documentation of prior office action:
i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form:
Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with all the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

September 24, 2009

In re application of	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Hiroshi Kawazoe et al.	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Serial No. 12/501,097	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	PROGRAM AND
For: MICRO FLUID SYSTEM SUPPORT AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF	:	PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed July 10, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:

a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:

a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
- a. Documentation of prior office action:
  - i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
  - ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
  - iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form:

  Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
- b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
- c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
- a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
- b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with all the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

September 24, 2009

In re application of	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Hiroshi Kawazoe et al.	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Serial No. 12/501,108	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	PROGRAM AND
For: MICRO FLUID SYSTEM SUPPORT AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF	:	PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed July 10, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:

a. Documentation of prior office action:
   i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
   ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
   iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form:
   Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:

a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with all the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

September 24, 2009

In re application of	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Hiroshi Kawazoe et al.	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Serial No. 12/501,120	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	PROGRAM AND
For: MICRO FLUID SYSTEM SUPPORT AND MANUFACTURING METHOD THEREOF	:	PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed July 10, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:

a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:

a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
- a. Documentation of prior office action:
  - i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
  - ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
  - iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form:

  Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
- b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
- c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
- a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
- b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with all the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P
2200 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 2800
DALLAS TX 75201-2784

MAILED

SEP 14 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
David H. Tannenbaum :
Application No. 12/501,141 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
49016/P005D1C2C1/08008819 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 10, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by a registered attorney. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to JoAnne Burke at 571-272-4584.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Office of Patent Application Processing.

The application is being forwarded to Technology Center AU 2423 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy

Ramesh Krishnamurthy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/501,180	07/10/2009	Norihiro Ishii	SUTOSH.433AUS	3814

20995 7590 04/08/2010

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
HOFFBERG, ROBERT JOSEPH	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
2835	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
efiling@kmob.com
2ros@kmob.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

In re Application of
ISHII et al.
Application No.: 12/501,180
Filed: 10 July 2009
Attorney Docket No.: SUTOSH.433AUS
For: ELECTRONIC APPARATUS

: DECISION ON REQUEST TO
: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT
: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
: PROGRAM AND PETITION
: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
: 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 22 March 2010, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

3. Applicant must
   a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

5. Applicant must submit:
    a. Documentation of prior office action:
        i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
        ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
        iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

    Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
    b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
    c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

6. Applicant must submit:
    a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
    b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

This application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision once this application's formality reviews have been completed.

Lee W. Young
TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CALERA CORPORATION
ERIC WITT
14600 WINCHESTER BLVD.
LOS GATOS, CA 95032

COPY MAILED
JAN 25 2010

In re Application of	:	
CONSTANTZ ET AL.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/501,217	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 10, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. CLRA-008	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 15, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Gay Ann Spahn at 571-272-8011.

All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1793 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

AUG 25 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BACON & THOMAS, PLLC
625 SLATERS LANE
FOURTH FLOOR
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314-1176

In re Application of:
SULLIVAN, JOHN T., et al.
Serial No.: 12/501,239
Filed: July 10, 2009

Title: **PIT ROAD DISPLAY**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 10, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DENIED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

To be eligible for accelerated examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), the following conditions must be satisfied:

1. The application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);
2. The application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;
3. The application, at the time of filing, must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;
4. The application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

Applicant should note that a petition to make special filed on or after August 25, 2006 will only be granted if it is based upon applicant's health or age or is under the PPH pilot program, or if it complies with the requirements set forth in MPEP 708.02(a).

Furthermore, as published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323) and in accordance with the MPEP 708.02(a) VIII(C) Conditions for Examination, the following conditions must also be satisfied:

C. Conditions for Examination
The application must be in condition for examination at the time of filing. This means the application must include the following:
(1) Basic filing fee, search fee, and examination fee, under 37 CFR 1.16 (see MPEP § 607 subsection I);
(2) Application size fee under 37 CFR 1.16(s) (if the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper) (see MPEP § 607 subsection II);
(3) An executed oath or declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63;
(4) A specification (in compliance with 37 CFR 1.52) containing a description (37 CFR 1.71) and claims in compliance with 37 CFR 1.75;
(5) A title and an abstract in compliance with 37 CFR 1.72;
(6) Drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84;
(7) Electronic submissions of sequence listings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.821(c) or (e), large tables, or computer listings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.96, submitted via the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS) in ASCII text as part of an associated file (if applicable);
(8) Foreign priority claim under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) identified in the executed oath or declaration or an application data sheet (if applicable);
(9) Domestic benefit claims under 35 U.S.C. 119(e), 120, 121, or 365(c) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78 (e.g., the specific reference to the prior application must be submitted in the first sentence(s) of the specification or in an application data sheet, and for any benefit claim to a non-English language provisional application, the application must include a statement that (a) an English language translation, and (b) a statement that the translation is accurate, have been filed in the provisional application) (if applicable);
(10) English language translation under 37 CFR 1.52(d), a statement that the translation is accurate, and the processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(i) (if the specification is in a non-English language);
(11) No preliminary amendments present on the filing date of the application; and
(12) No petition under 37 CFR 1.47 for a non-signing inventor.

A review of the contents of the file indicates a preliminary amendment was filed on July 10, 2009 the same day as the filing date of the application.

Therefore, the petition is denied because the application was not in condition for examination at the time of filing as per item 3 and section MPEP 708.02(a) VIII(C) (11) above. After the application is docketed to an examiner, it will be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ARENT FOX LLP
555 WEST FIFTH STREET
48TH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

MAILED

OCT 08 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Martin D. Husted :
Application No. 12/501,346 : DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Filed: July 10, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. 031445.00032 :

This is a decision in response to the petition, filed July 10, 2009, which is being treated under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.47(a).

The petition is not signed by a registered patent attorney or patent agent of record. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34, the signature of Mr. Jonas J. Hodges appearing on the correspondence shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A review of the record discloses that the above-identified application was filed on July 10, 2009. The filing included a petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) stating that the sole inventor, Martin D. Husted, refuses to join in the application. On July 29, 2009, a Notice of Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application was mailed. The notice required, within two-months, an executed oath or declaration and the $65 surcharge under 37 CFR 1.16(f).

In response, on September 22, 2009, applicant submitted a declaration signed by Mark Klein as the Legal Representative on behalf of deceased or incapacitated inventor Martin D. Husted.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

At present it cannot be determined if the petition complies with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.47(a). In this regard, the petition indicates the inventor refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers; however, the declaration indicates the inventor is deceased or incapacitated. Therefore, clarification is required whether or not the inventor refuses to sign the declaration or, in fact, is deceased or incapacitated. If petitioner is not deceased or incapacitated, then an appropriate declaration in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 must be filed.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail:	Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
By hand:	Customer Service Window Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314
By fax:	(571) 273-8300 ATTN: Office of Petitions
By Internet:	EFS-Web[1]

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/501,365	07/10/2009	Daron J. Thomas	5564-30-5	4224

33417 7590 07/29/2009

LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH LLP
221 NORTH FIGUEROA STREET
SUITE 1200
LOS ANGELES, CA 90012

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

JUL 29 2009

In re application of :
Daron J. Thomas et al :
Serial No. 12/501,365 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 10, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL
For: BUILT-UP ROOFING SURFACING SHEETS :
AND METHODS OF MANUFACTURING :

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 10, 2009 to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination program.

The petition to make the application special is **DENIED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

To be eligible for accelerated examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Changes to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), the following conditions must be satisfied:

1. The application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);
2. The application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;
3. The application, at the time of filing, must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;
4. The application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

The application as filed is not eligible for accelerated examination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) because the application, at the time of filing, was not complete and in condition for examination. Note, specifically, the NOTICE TO FILE MISSING PARTS

OF NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION mailed on July 16, 2009, which indicates that the application was not in condition for examination at the time of filing.

MPEP 708.02(a), section VIII further states:

> The petition to make special will be dismissed if the application omits an item or includes a paper that causes the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) to mail a notice during the formality review (e.g., a notice of incomplete application, notice to file missing parts, notice to file corrected application papers, notice of omitted items, or notice of informal application). The opportunity to perfect a petition (subsection II above) does not apply to applications that are not in condition for examination on filing.

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is denied. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/501,385	07/10/2009	Michael S. Urdea	31376/43775A	4262

80959 7590 09/08/2009

Marshall, Gerstein & Borun LLP (TETHYS)
233 S. Wacker Drive
Sears Tower
Suite 6300
Chicago, IL 60606

EXAMINER	
COOK, LISA V	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1641	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/08/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 0 8 2009

80959
Marshall, Gerstein & Borun LLP (TETHYS)
233 S. Wacker Drive
Sears Tower
Suite 6300
Chicago, IL 60606

In re Application of
Michael S. Urdea et al
Serial No.: 12/501,385
Filed: July 10, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 31376/43775A

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 10, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:

To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, SPE, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.

Cecilia Tsang
SPE, Art Unit 1654

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DUANE MORRIS LLP - Houston
3200 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY
SUITE 3150
HOUSTON TX 77027

COPY MAILED

JAN 29 2010

In re Application of	:	
Raffaella Righetti et al.	:	
Application No. 12/501,415	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 11, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. D5491-89	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed August 26, 2009, to expunge information from the above identified application.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Petitioner requests that drawings filed by EFS on 8/25/09, be expunged from the record.

The petition is deficient because there is no statement that:

(B) the information submitted was unintentionally submitted and the failure to obtain its return would cause irreparable harm to the party who submitted the information or to the party in interest on whose behalf the information was submitted;
(C) the information has not otherwise been made public;
(D) there is a commitment on the part of the petitioner to retain such information for the period of any patent with regard to which such information is submitted.

Petitioner is directed to MPEP 724.05(II).

Telephone inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Friedman at (571) 272-6842.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DUANE MORRIS LLP - Houston
3200 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY
SUITE 3150
HOUSTON TX 77027

COPY MAILED

JAN 29 2010

In re Application of	:	
Raffaella Righetti et al.	:	
Application No. 12/501,417	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 11, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. D5491-88	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed August 26, 2009, to expunge information from the above identified application.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Petitioner requests that drawings filed by EFS on 8/25/09, be expunged from the record.

The petition is deficient because there is no statement that:

(B) the information submitted was unintentionally submitted and the failure to obtain its return would cause irreparable harm to the party who submitted the information or to the party in interest on whose behalf the information was submitted;
(C) the information has not otherwise been made public;
(D) there is a commitment on the part of the petitioner to retain such information for the period of any patent with regard to which such information is submitted.

Petitioner is directed to MPEP 724.05(II).

Telephone inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to Carl Friedman at (571) 272-6842.

David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

DEC 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

DUANE MORRIS LLP - Houston
3200 SOUTHWEST FREEWAY
SUITE 3150
HOUSTON TX 77027

In re Application of :
Righetti et al. :
Application No. 12/501,419 : RESPONSE TO PETITION
Filed: July 11, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. D5491-86 :

This is a response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed August 26, 2009, to expunge information from the above identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

Petitioner requests that the drawings filed August 25, 2009, be expunged from the above identified application. The petition submits that this information was inadvertently submitted in the above identified application.

However, the petition is premature since prosecution of the application has not been closed by way of the allowance of the application, the mailing of an Ex parte Quayle action, or the abandonment of the application. See MPEP 724.06. Accordingly, it is not appropriate to make a final determination of whether or not the material requested to be expunged is "material," with "materiality" being defined as any information which the examiner considers as being important to a determination of patentability of the claims. Thus, the decision on the petition to expunge must be dismissed at this time.

During prosecution on the merits, the examiner will determine whether or not the identified document is considered to be "material." If the information is not considered by the examiner to be material and the conditions related to the expungement of information submitted inadvertently, discussed as A-F in MPEP 724.05 II, are satisfied, the information will be removed from the official file.

After the mailing of a Notice of Allowance, an Ex parte Quayle action or a Notice of Abandonment, the petition to expunge may be renewed by applicant or applicant's representative. No further fee is required for such a second submission of a petition under 37 CFR 1.59 to expunge information. **In addition, the requester is cautioned to renew the petition under 37 CFR 1.59 for reconsideration by the Office prior to the point at which the**

present file, or file claiming priority to the present file, is forwarded for issuance of the patent. This is to be done no later than immediately after the examiner has issued a Notice of Allowance, an Ex parte Quayle action or a Notice of Abandonment. A failure to timely renew the petition to expunge prior to the point at which the file is forwarded for issuance will result in the material being retained in the patented file and thus becoming open to the public.

Telephone inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-6692.

Christopher Bottorff
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/501,420	07/11/2009	Alexander V. Grechishkin	2354.0210007	4328

54089 7590 10/02/2009

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
LO, WEILUN	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2179	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

09/24/2009

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP
1025 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Suite 1000
Washington, DC 20006

In re Application of GRECHISHKIN et al.:
Serial No.: 12/501,420
Filed: 07/11/2009
Docket: 2354.0210007

Title:
SEAMLESS INTEGRATION AND INSTALLATION OF NON-HOST APPLICATION INTO NATIVE OPERATING SYSTEM

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on 07/11/2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. The application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable expectation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions I1-I4, II1-II5, II5.1-II5.3, and II6-II6.2, II 6.4 above are considered to have been met.

However, the petition fails to comply with condition II 6.3 above, for the reasons listed below. Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required condition to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

ANALYSIS

With respect to the requirement of MPEP § 708.02(a) (I.)(I)(3) and item II.6.3 above, the accelerated examination support document must include a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with the particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c). For example,

1) The AESD, beginning pages 6, section 9(B) the Petitioner discusses the Shappir and Neil references and proceeds to outline the limitations disclosed by the references for each claim, however, a discussion for dependent claims 17 and 19 appear to be missing. Similarly, in section 9(C) a discussion of claims 17 and 19 are missing. Moreover, claims 13-17 are method claims, while claims 1-12 are system claims. The discussion is directed to how the system of claim 1 is shown. However, claims 13-17 are directed to a process, which is clearly a different statutory class of invention and the discussion does not convey how the process claims are shown. The discussion shows how system claims are shown.

2) The AESD, page 23, recites the following title:

"Non-obviousness of the combination of the independent claims"

The accompanying text states that neither Neil nor Shappir teach or suggest the "guest OS installed and running in a VM" and "at least one non-host application running inside the VM" and provides a discussion toward 2144.03. This showing refers to simply two limitations from the independent claims, with no mention of the remaining limitations, nor the dependent claims. The statements, on page 23 provide no evidence or analysis as to **how each of the** claims, as outlined in 708.02(a)(I.)(I)(3) are patentable. The statements in this section of the document should be in accordance with MPEP 706.02(j) and 2141, and should lead the skilled artisan to an understanding that neither reference, either alone or in combination, discloses or suggests **the limitations of the claims and how the claims are patentably distinguished from the reference.** Further, Petitioner has not provided any statements as to the patentability of dependent claims 2-17 and 19. Petitioner should clearly point out, for each of dependent claims 2-17 and 19, whether the claim recites any features that would render it patentable if the corresponding base claim were found to be unpatentable. If any dependent claim is not believed

to be separately patentable, petitioner should clearly indicate that the dependent claim contains no features that would make it patentable should the base claim is found to be unpatentable.

Correction and clarification/explanation **for each claim,** as outlined above is required.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **Dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.
Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Eddie C. Lee at (571) 272-1732.

/Eddie C. Lee/

Eddie C. Lee
Quality Assurance Specialist, TC 2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/501,420	07/11/2009	Alexander V. Grechishkin	2354.0210007	4328

54089 7590 10/30/2009

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
PILLAI, NAMITHA	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2173	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BARDMESSER LAW GROUP, P.C.
1025 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
SUITE 1000
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006

In re Application of:	:	
Alexander GRECHISHKIN et. al.	:	**DECISION ON PETITION**
Appl. No.: 12/501,420	:	**TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR**
Filed: July 11, 2009	:	**NEW APPLICATION**
For: SEAMLESS INTEGRATION AND INSTALLATION	:	**UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 &**
OF NON-HOST APPLICATION INTO NATIVE	:	**M.P.E.P. § 708.02**
OPERATING SYSTEM	:	
	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 11, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d). The decision herein is pursuant to the filing of the request for reconsideration dated October 23, 2009.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:

If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the

amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Eddie C. Lee at (571) 272-1732.

/Eddie C. Lee/

Eddie C. Lee
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/501,519	07/13/2009	Shintaro Tsujii	TMCY-10502/08	4524

25006 7590 06/02/2010

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE,ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C
PO BOX 7021
TROY, MI 48007-7021

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2838	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE,ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C
PO BOX 7021
TROY MI 48007-7021

In re Application of	
TSUJII et al.	**: DECISION ON REQUEST TO**
Application No.: 12/501,519	**: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT**
Filed: 13 July 2009	**: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY**
Attorney Docket No.: TMCY-10502/08	**: PROGRAM AND PETITION**
For: CONTROL APPARATUS OF DC-DC CONVERTER	**: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER : 37 CFR 1.102(d)**

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 21 April 2010, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

3. Applicant must
   a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

5. Applicant must submit:
    a. Documentation of prior office action:
        i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
        ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
        iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

    Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
    b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
    c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

6. Applicant must submit:
    a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
    b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

This application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision once this application's formality reviews have been completed.

Lee W. Young
TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Glenn W. Stousland

Application No. 12501649

Filed: July 13,2009

Attorney Docket No. 062108-9093-01

:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 29-JAN-2010 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/501,678	07/13/2009	Kristin Anne Arnold	MPC0131USC6	4813

23413 7590 09/09/2009

CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
20 Church Street
22nd Floor
Hartford, CT 06103

EXAMINER	
LEWIS, AMY A	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1614	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 0 9 2009

23413
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
20 Church Street
22nd Floor
Hartford, CT 06103

In re Application of
Kristin Anne Arnold et al
Serial No.: 12/501,678
Filed: July 13, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: MPC0131USC6

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 13, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:

To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, SPE, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.

Cecilia Tsang

Cecilia Tsang
SPE, Art Unit 1654

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

MAY 10 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

LADAS & PARRY
5670 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SUITE 2100
LOS ANGELES, CA 90036-5679

In re Application of :
Joo Goo Kim :
Application No. 12/501,731 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 13, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 086121-0039 : FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed March 4, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** because it is moot.

A review of the file record indicates that the power of attorney to Ladas & Parry has been revoked by the assignee of the patent application on March 26, 2010. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Terri Johnson at 571-272-2991.

Terri Johnson
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: **MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP**
600 13TH STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10 NOV 2009

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TUROCY & WATSON, LLP
127 Public Square
57th Floor, Key Tower
CLEVELAND OH 44114

In re Application of:
IKEUCHI, Hiroyuki, et al.
U.S. Application No.: 12/501,734
Filing Date: July 13, 2009
Atty Docket No.: HARAP104US
For: PARTICULATE WATER-ABSORBENT POLYMER AND PRODUCTION METHOD THEREOF

DECISION

This decision is issued in response to the "Petition To Convert Under 37 CFR 1.182" filed November 03, 2009. Applicant has submitted the required petition fee.

BACKGROUND

On July 13, 2009, applicants initiated the present application using the EFS-Web electronic filing system. Among the materials filed by applicants was a description, claims, drawings, abstract, preliminary amendment, declaration, application data sheet (ADS), and fee payment. The ADS identified the application as a national stage of PCT/JP2008/050995, and the declaration executed such international application. However, as evidenced by the "Electronic Acknowledgment Receipt," during the electronic filing process applicant identified the present application as a "Utility" application filed "under 35 U.S.C. 111(a)," not a national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371. Accordingly, the application was processed by the USPTO as a filing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

On July 23, 2009, the USPTO mailed a filing receipt that did not include a reference to the international application.

On February 27, 2009, applicant filed the "Petition To Convert Under 37 CFR 1.182" considered herein. The petition indicates that the application was inadvertently identified as a filing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) and requests that the application be converted from an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) to a U.S. national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371.

DISCUSSION

Any intended filing of an international application as a national stage application must clearly and unambiguously be identified as such and must satisfy all of the conditions set forth in 35 U.S.C. 371(c). See 37 CFR 1.495(g):

> The documents and fees submitted ... must be clearly identified as a submission to enter the national stage under 35 U.S.C. 371. Otherwise the submission will be considered as being made under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

Section 1893.03(a) of the MPEP states:

> If there are any conflicting instructions as to whether the filing is under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or 35 U.S.C. 371, the application will be accepted as filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

Here, as noted above, during the electronic filing process applicant selected the application identifier "Utility (Utility under 35 USC 111(a))," rather than the separate application identifier specifically applicable to national stage applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 371. This is evident from the Electronic Acknowledgement Receipt generated at the time of filing, which identifies the application as a "Utility Under 35 U.S.C. 111(a)." In addition, applicants' original submission included payment of the fees applicable to a U.S. application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a), not the U.S. basic national fee applicable to applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 371.

Applicant's identification of the application during the electronic filing process as a utility application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) and payment of the fees applicable to an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) are conflicting instructions that are not consistent with the intent to file a U.S. national stage application under 35 U.S.C. 371. Accordingly, pursuant to the policy set forth in MPEP section 1893.03(a), the present application was properly treated by the USPTO as a filing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

A grantable petition to convert an application from an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) to a national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371 must include a showing of sufficient cause to justify such conversion (i.e., the loss of patent rights, the lack of an alternative remedy). The present petition does not include such a showing, and it appears that there are alternative remedies available to applicant. For example, applicants could take the necessary steps to have the present application treated as a continuation of the international application (see 37 CFR 1.78(a)).

Based on the above, the application papers filed July 13, 2009 were properly processed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) and applicants have failed to demonstrate sufficient cause which would justify a conversion. The present petition to convert the application to a filing under 35 U.S.C 371 is therefore appropriately dismissed.

CONCLUSION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.182 to convert the application to a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371 is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The present application will continue to be treated as a filing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

If reconsideration on the merits of the petition is desired, a proper response must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** of the mail date of the present decision. Any request for reconsideration should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.182"

and must include an adequate showing of sufficient cause to justify the requested conversion, as discussed above.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this petition to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

The present application is being referred to the Office Of Patent Application Processing for further processing in accordance with this decision.

Richard M. Ross
Attorney Advisor
Office of PCT Legal Administration
Telephone: (571) 272-3296
Facsimile: (571) 273-0459

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

18 MAR 2010

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TUROCY & WATSON, LLP
127 Public Square
57th Floor, Key Tower
CLEVELAND OH 44114

In re Application of:	:	
IKEUCHI, Hiroyuki, et al.	:	
U.S. Application No.: 12/501,734	:	DECISION ON RENEWED
Filing Date: July 13, 2009	:	PETITION
Atty Docket No.: HARAP104US	:	(37 CFR 1.182)
For: PARTICULATE WATER-ABSORBENT POLYMER AND PRODUCTION METHOD THEREOF	:	

This decision is issued in response to the "Renewed Petition To Convert Under 37 CFR 1.182" filed January 11, 2010. No additional petition fee is required.

BACKGROUND

The procedural background for the present application was set forth in the decision mailed 10 November 2009. The decision dismissed applicants' petition to convert under 37 CFR 1.182, indicating that the application was properly treated as an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) and that applicants had not provided a showing that would require conversion of the application into a U.S. national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371.

On January 11, 2010, applicants filed the "Renewed Petition To Convert Under 37 CFR 1.182"

DISCUSSION

As stated in the previous decision, a grantable petition to convert an application from an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) to a national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371 must include a showing of sufficient cause to justify such conversion (i.e., the loss of patent rights, the lack of an alternative remedy).

In the present petition, applicant argues that the claim of priority with respect to Japanese Application No. 2007-014288 is "potentially lost" if the application is not converted to a national stage application. However, as discussed in the previous decision, applicants may petition herein under 37 CFR 1.78 to add a claim of priority under 35 U.S.C. 120 directed to international application PCT/JP2008/050995, in which case the present application would be able to include a claim foreign priority with respect to Japanese Application No. 2007-014288. Thus, the treatment of the present application as a

nonprovisional application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) does not prevent applicants from making the same claim of benefit to the prior-filed Japanese application as could be made in a national stage application. Accordingly, applicant's assertion regarding the Japanese foreign priority claim does not justify the requested conversion of the application.

Applicants also argue that conversion is required because the unity of invention standards applicable to national stage applications filed under 35 U.S.C. 371 will not be applied in the present application. However, the possible avoidance of a potential restriction requirement is not considered to be adequate cause to justify conversion of the application.

Based on the above, applicants have not provided an acceptable showing of sufficient cause to require conversion of the present application from a U.S. nonprovisional application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) to a national stage application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371. The renewed petition to convert the application to a filing under 35 U.S.C 371 is therefore appropriately dismissed.

CONCLUSION

The renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.182 to convert the application to a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371 is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The present application will continue to be treated as a filing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

If reconsideration on the merits of the petition is desired, a proper response must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** of the mail date of the present decision. Any request for reconsideration should include a cover letter entitled "Second Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.182" and must include an adequate showing of sufficient cause to justify the requested conversion, as discussed above.

Please direct further correspondence with respect to this petition to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

Richard M. Ross
Attorney Advisor
Office of PCT Legal Administration
Telephone: (571) 272-3296
Facsimile: (571) 273-0459

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

MAY 24 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

FELDMAN GALE, P.A.
1700 Market Street
Suite 3130
Philadelphia PA 19103

In re Application of :
Robert A. Kennedy, et al. :
Application No. 12/501,921 :
Filed: July 13, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 1264.ADAP0004U :

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW
FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the request to withdraw as attorney of record under 37 CFR § 1.36, filed March 24, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

The request cannot be approved because it lacks a forwarding correspondence address of the first named inventor or a properly intervening assignee.

If the forwarding correspondence address is to the assignee, the Office will only accept correspondence address changes to the most current address information provided for the assignee of the entire interest *that properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71.* 37 CFR 3.71(c) states:

> *An assignee becomes of record either in a national patent application or a reexamination proceeding by filing a statement in compliance with § 3.73(b) that is signed by a party who is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.*

The assignee must establish its ownership of the patent to the satisfaction of the Director. In this regard, the statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must have either: (i) documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee (*e.g.*, copy of an executed assignment), and a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or concurrently is being submitted for recordation pursuant to § 3.11; or (ii) a statement specifying where documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (*e.g.*, reel and frame number).

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-2991.

Terri Johnson
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: **ADAPT4, LLC**
1050 WEST NASA BOULEVARD
MELBOURNE, FL 32901

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FEB 25 2010

Fincham Downs, LLC
470 Main St.
Suite 303
Ridgefield CT 06877

In re Application of	:	
Carson FINCHAM et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/502,041	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 13, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. AT01-001-02	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 11, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining

how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks items 1 and 5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 705/412.000) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3628 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,129	07/13/2009	James Steven Brown	1394.010	5620

54434 7590 01/07/2010

BOOTH UDALL, PLC
1155 W. Rio Salado Pkwy.
Suite 101
Tempe, AZ 85281

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1611	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BOOTH UDALL, PLC
1155 W. Rio Salado Pkwy.
Suite 101
Tempe AZ 85281

JAN 0 7 2010

In re Application of
BROWN, JAMES STEVEN
Application No. 12/502,129
Filed: July 13, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 1394.010

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PILOT PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) pilot program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 13 July 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the UKIPO;
(2) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the UKIPO application(s);
(3) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(4) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the UKPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(5) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the UKIPO examiner in the UKIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(6) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Ram R. Shukla at 571-272-0735.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system at the http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Ram R. Shukla, Ph.D.
Supervisory Patent Examiner
TC 1600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

MAILED

MAY 24 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Julien Sornay
Application No. 12/502,135
Filed: July 13, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 027571-000210US

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW
FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed March 24, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. A request to withdraw will not be approved unless at least 30 (thirty) days would remain between the date of approval and the later of the expiration date of a time to file a response or the expiration date of the maximum time period which can be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

The request was signed by Craig C. Largent on behalf of all attorneys of record. All attorneys/agents have been withdrawn. Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

All future correspondence will be directed to the first named inventor Julien Sornay at the address indicated below.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Terri Johnson at 571-272-2991.

Terri Johnson
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: **JULIEN SORNAY**
18 AVENUE DES CHAMPS ELYSEES
PARIS, 75008
FRANCE

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/502,135	07/13/2009	Julien Sornay	027571-000210US

CONFIRMATION NO. 5632

POWER OF ATTORNEY NOTICE

20350
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

OC000000041750149

Date Mailed: 05/24/2010

NOTICE REGARDING CHANGE OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed 03/24/2010.

- The withdrawal as attorney in this application has been accepted. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33.

/tsjohnson/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C
PO BOX 7021
TROY MI 48007-7021

MAILED

AUG 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
HIRS, Gene :
Application No. 12/502,280 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 14, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **HGN-10903/15** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 14, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by Gene Hirs attesting that he is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michelle R. Eason at 571-272-4231.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing. This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,449	07/14/2009	Danian Zheng	233816 (22402-153)	6236

45432 7590 02/19/2010

PATRICK W. RASCHE (22402)
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE, SUITE 2600
ST. LOUIS, MO 63102-2740

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2121	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PATRICK W. RASCHE
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis MO 63102-2740

In re Application of	:	
ZHENG, Danian et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/502,449	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 14, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 233816 (22402-153)	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 14, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy

resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item(s) 1 and 5 above for the reasons explained in more detail below.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 700/291) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Eddie C. Lee at 571-272-1732.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2121 for action in its regular turn.

/Eddie C. Lee/

Eddie C. Lee
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,449	07/14/2009	Danian Zheng	233816 (22402-153)	6236

45432 7590 07/01/2010

PATRICK W. RASCHE (22402)
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
7700 Forsyth Boulevard
Suite 1800
St. Louis, MO 63105

EXAMINER	
DECADY, ALBERT	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2121	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/01/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USpatents@armstrongteasdale.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PATRICK W. RASCHE
ARMSTRONG TEASDALE LLP
One Metropolitan Square, Suite 2600
St. Louis MO 63102-2740

In re Application of	:	
ZHENG, Danian et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/502,449	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 14, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 233816 (22402-153)	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed June 17, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition

must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2121 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Eddie C. Lee at 571-272-1732.

/Eddie C. Lee/

Eddie C. Lee
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,533	07/14/2009	Daniel Pry	64929-279234	6388

7590 05/07/2010

Michel Labadie
210 - 15252 32 AVE
SURREY, BC V35 OR7
CANADA

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1616	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/07/2010	PAPER

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(c)

The declaration of express abandonment will not be recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c), for express abandonment to avoid publication of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

The express abandonment will **not** be recognized for the reason(s) indicated below:

1. ☑ The petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c) was not filed in sufficient time to permit the appropriate officials to recognize the abandonment and remove the application from the publication process.
2. ☐ The petition was not signed by a party authorized by 37 CFR 1.33(b)(1), (3) or (4).
3. ☐ The Application was published in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b), and it is available on the USPTO web site at http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html.
4. ☐ Petition fee was not paid.

The application **has/will be published** as scheduled.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,565	07/14/2009	Hartmut A. Scholte-Wassink	237487	6453

7788 7590 02/04/2010

GE ENERGY GENERAL ELECTRIC
C/O ERNEST G. CUSICK
ONE RIVER ROAD, BLD. 43, ROOM 225
SCHENECTADY, NY 12345

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	
ART UNIT	**PAPER NUMBER**
3745	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GE ENERGY GENERAL ELECTRIC
C/O ERNEST G. CUSICK
ONE RIVER ROAD, BLD. 43, ROOM 225
SCHENECTADY NY 12345

In re Application of	:	
SCHOLTE-WASSINK, HARTMUT A.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/502,565	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 14, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 237487	:	PILOT PROGRAM
Title: PASSIVE DEICING FOR WIND TURBINE BLADES		

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 14, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy

resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item(s) #1. In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 416/001) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action in its regular turn.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,565	07/14/2009	Hartmut A. Scholte-Wassink	237487	6453

7788 7590 06/28/2010

GE ENERGY GENERAL ELECTRIC
C/O ERNEST G. CUSICK
ONE RIVER ROAD, BLD. 43, ROOM 225
SCHENECTADY, NY 12345

EXAMINER	
LOOK, EDWARD K	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3745	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GE ENERGY GENERAL ELECTRIC
C/O ERNEST G. CUSICK
ONE RIVER ROAD, BLD. 43, ROOM 225
SCHENECTADY NY 12345

In re Application of	:	
SCHOLTE-WASSINK, HARTMUT A.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/502565	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 14, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 237487	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 6/10/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3745 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Henry Yuen/

Henry Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Marshall MEDOFF

Application No. 12502629

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 00121-1US

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 16-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

MAR 3 0 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

GARLICK HARRISON & MARKISON
P.O. BOX 160727
AUSTIN TX 78716-0727

In re Application of	:	
Joseph E. Lewis III et al	:	DECISION GRANTING STATUS
Application No. 12/502,733	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: July 14, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. H2S001CIP	:	

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed December 30, 2009.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

Petitioner has shown that the non-signing inventor has refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing for pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3208.

/KOC/
Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SCOTT W. BRIM
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, IL 60610

COPY MAILED

DEC 0 9 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Douglas F. Reynolds	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/502,882	:	TO WITHDRAW
Filed: July 14, 2009	:	FROM RECORD
Attorney Docket No. P37308	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed October 13, 2009.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** because it is moot.

A review of the file record indicates that on October 30, 2009 the power of attorney was revoked by the assignee of the patent application. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272- 7751. All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Joan Olszewski
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: AT & T LEGAL DEPARTMENT - GB
ATTN: PATENT DOCKETING
ROOM 2A- 207
ONE AT & T WAY
BEDMINSTER NJ 07921

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,987	07/14/2009	Akira Nakanishi	6639P756	7234

7590 03/12/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2173	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/12/2010	PAPER

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(c)

The declaration of express abandonment will not be recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c), for express abandonment to avoid publication of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

The express abandonment will **not** be recognized for the reason(s) indicated below:

1. ☐ The petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c) was not filed in sufficient time to permit the appropriate officials to recognize the abandonment and remove the application from the publication process.
2. ☐ The petition was not signed by a party authorized by 37 CFR 1.33(b)(1), (3) or (4).
3. ☑ The Application was published in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b), and it is available on the USPTO web site at http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html.
4. ☐ Petition fee was not paid.

The application **has/will be published** as scheduled.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Kaletus Buchanan

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/502,987	07/14/2009	Akira Nakanishi	6639P756	7234

7590 03/12/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2173	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/12/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Kalatus Buchanan

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 03/17/2010 KKING1
07/15/2009 INTEFSW 00005699 022666 12502987
02 FC:1111 540.00 CR

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI
650 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050

COPY MAILED

OCT 23 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Quik et al.	:	
Application No. 12/502,992	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 14, 2009	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 16816-701.301	:	37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed September 1, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement (PTO/SB/130 form) by the applicant's attorney that he is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center

The matter is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1614 for action in its regular turn.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Douglas M. Farrar

Application No. 12503007

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. P7805US1

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 14-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Stoneman Volk Patent Group
P.O. Box 40070
PHOENIX AZ 85067-0070

MAILED

JUL 06 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Joseph P. Kealy et al. :
Application No. 12/503,066 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 14, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. P08274 : FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed June 9, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED.**

The Office will no longer accept address changes to a new practitioner or law firm filed with a Request, absent the filing of a power of attorney to the new representative. The Office will either change the correspondence address of record to the most current address information provided for the assignee of the entire interest who properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71 or, if no assignee of the entire interest has properly been made of record under 37 CFR 3.71, the most current address information provided for the first named inventor.

Accordingly, the request to withdraw from record cannot be approved because the request to change the correspondence address is not that of: (1) the first named inventor; or (2) an assignee of the entire interest under 37 C.F.R 3.71.

If an assignee has ownership in this application, then a Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) or a copy of the actual assignment must be provided with a renewed request.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-identified address until otherwise properly notified.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4618.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

OCT 16 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

In re Application of:
SEO, KANG SOO, et al.
Serial No.: 12/503,101
Filed: July 15, 2009

Title: **APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR WRITING AND PLAYING BACK DIGITAL BROADCASTING SUPPLEMENTARY SERVICE INFORMATION AND RECORDING MEDIA THEREFOR**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 15, 2009, to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions set forth under section I. above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with the condition set forth under section II item 6.2 and 6.3.

For the reason cited above, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Regarding the requirement in item 6.2 and 6.3 above, an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed with the petition citing 16 references deemed most closely related to the claimed subject matter. Yet, only two of the references were discussed. The additional 14 references were not discussed in the support document. Any request for reconsideration must include a supplemental IDS citing the 14 documents and a statement that the additional references cited on the supplemental IDS are not in support of the petition for accelerated examination if such is the case. Otherwise, all cited references must be discussed.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Ken Wieder, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-2986.

Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

NOV 23 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

In re Application of:
SEO, KANG SOO, et al.
Serial No.: 12/503,101
Filed: July 15, 2009

Title: **APPARATUS AND METHOD FOR WRITING AND PLAYING BACK DIGITAL BROADCASTING SUPPLEMENTARY SERVICE INFORMATION AND RECORDING MEDIA THEREFOR**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

The petition on reconsideration to make the application special filed November 13, 2009 is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the

examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Ken Wieder, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-2986.



Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,335	07/15/2009	Russell Grin	101718.0002US2	7916

24392 7590 08/20/2009

FISH & ASSOCIATES, PC
ROBERT D. FISH
2603 Main Street
Suite 1000
Irvine, CA 92614-6232

EXAMINER	
MAUNG, ZARNI	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2451	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

Nicolas Witchey
FISH & ASSOCIATES, PC
ROBERT D. FISH
2603 MAIN STREET
IRVINE, CA 92614-6232

MAILED

AUG 19 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: GRIN, RUSSELL et. al.
Serial No.: **12/503,335**
Filed: July 15, 2009
Atty Docket No: 101718.0002US2
Title of Invention: METHOD OF PROVIDING PUBLISHED CONTENT

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 15, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

Note: Regarding the requirement of MPEP 708.02(a) VIII (C) or I (H), and item II. 5.1 above, identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass (page 8), class/subclass 709/105 does not exist. It is recommended that Applicant update his/her class/subclass upon performing any amendments to the claim that would require an updated Accelerated Examination Support Document.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the

first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):

Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/id/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Networking, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,374	07/15/2009	Tesshi YAMADA	082593	7993

7590 05/13/2010

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1614	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(c)

The declaration of express abandonment will not be recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c), for express abandonment to avoid publication of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

The express abandonment will **not** be recognized for the reason(s) indicated below:

1. ☑ The petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c) was not filed in sufficient time to permit the appropriate officials to recognize the abandonment and remove the application from the publication process.
2. ☐ The petition was not signed by a party authorized by 37 CFR 1.33(b)(1), (3) or (4).
3. ☐ The Application was published in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b), and it is available on the USPTO web site at http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html.
4. ☐ Petition fee was not paid.

The application **has/will be published** as scheduled.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Tomi Farmes

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,374	07/15/2009	Tesshi YAMADA	082593	7993

7590 05/13/2010

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW
SUITE 700
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1614	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/13/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Mimi Farmer

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

[illegible] Card Refund Totals 930.00

Adjustment date: 05/13/2010 MFARMER
07/15/2009 INTEFSO 00001262 12503374
02 FC:1111 -542.00 CR
04 FC:1203 -388.00 CR

2 3 NOV 2009

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TUROCY & WATSON, LLP
127 Public Square
57th Floor, Key Tower
CLEVELAND OH 44114

In re Application of:	:	
Nagasawa et al.	:	
Application No.: 12/503,396	:	
Filing Date: 15 July 2009	:	DECISION
Attorney Docket No.: HARAP103US	:	
For: Particulate Water Absorbing Agent And Manufacturing Method Of Same	:	

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.182 filed on 03 November 2009.

BACKGROUND

International application PCT/JP2008/062041 was filed on 03 July 2008, claimed an earliest priority date of 04 July 2007, and designated the United States. The International Bureau transmitted a copy of the published international application to the USPTO on 08 January 2009. The 30 month period for payment of the basic national fee in the U.S. will end as of midnight on 04 January 2010. On 15 July 2009, applicants filed the instant application.

DISCUSSION

The petition states that

> The above-identified patent application was inadvertently and mistakenly filed as a Non-provisional Application under 35 U.S.C. § 111(a). The above-identified patent application should have been filed as a U.S. National Stage Application under 35 U.S.C. § 371. Applicants, through their undersigned representative, hereby petition and request the subject application be converted to a U.S. National Stage Application under 35 U.S.C. § 371 for the following reasons.
>
> When uploading the above-identified application to the United States Patent and Trademark Electronic Filing System, the box for Non-provisional Application under 35 U.S.C. § 111(a) was inadvertently marked. Instead, the box of U.S. National Stage under 35 U.S.C. § 371 should have been marked.

The USPTO has adopted a bright-line test for determining whether application papers will be processed under 35 U.S.C. 371 or alternatively under 35 U.S.C. 111(a). Under this standard, any intended filing of an international application as a national stage application must clearly and unambiguously be identified as such and must satisfy all of the conditions set forth in 35 U.S.C. 371(c). The official PTO Notice published in the Official Gazette at 1077 OG 13 entitled "Minimum Requirements for Acceptance of Applications Under 35 U.S.C. 371 (the National Stage of PCT)" states, in part, the following:

> The Patent and Trademark Office is continuing to receive application papers which do not clearly identify whether the papers (1) are being submitted to enter the national stage

of the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT) under 35 U.S.C. 371 or (2) are being filed as a regular national application under 35 U.S.C. 111.

To clearly indicate an international application is being filed under 35 U.S.C. 371 the applicant should use the "Transmittal Letter for United States Designated Office" (Form PTO-1390) as the transmittal letter.

Alternatively, one of the following indications may be used:

1) the applicant shall clearly state in the transmittal or cover letter that he or she is filing under 35 U.S.C. 371 or entering the national stage under PCT; or

2) the applicant clearly identifies in the oath or declaration the specification to which it is directed by referring to a particular international application by PCT Serial Number and International Filing Date and that he or she is executing the declaration as, and seeking a U.S. Patent as, the inventor of the invention described in the identified international application.

Review of the application file, with respect to the correspondence filed on 15 July 2009, reveals that the Electronic Acknowledgment Receipt shows that the submission was identified as a "Utility under 35 USC 111(a)." The accompanying Electronic Patent Application Fee Transmittal sheet shows that fees corresponding to an application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) were paid. Meanwhile, the Application Data Sheet indicated this application to be "a 371 of international PCT/JP2008/062041,"and the declaration of inventorship was directed toward PCT/JP2008/062041. These indicia constitute conflicting instructions as to whether processing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or 35 U.S.C. 371 was desired. Since the application papers did not unambiguously indicate that processing under 35 U.S.C. 371 was required, but instead included contrary and conflicting instructions, the application properly was processed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) in view of the policy cited above.

Counsel states that applicants were "inadvertent and mistaken" in checking the box for processing under 35 U.S.C. § 111(a), but petitioner has not advanced any substantial reason (e.g., loss of rights) to change the status of this national stage application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) to the status of an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371. It appears that maintaining the present status of this application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) will not result in any loss of rights to applicant. The U.S. Statutes and Regulations do not make specific provision for conversion of applications, and as such the USPTO does not grant such petitions for conversion as a mere matter of course. Since petitioner has not shown sufficient cause (e.g., loss of rights) or lack of availability of an alternative remedy, it would be appropriate, and consistent with the policy considerations described above, to continue processing this application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).

DECISION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.182 is **DISMISSED**, without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of this matter is desired, a proper response must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.182." Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter may be filed electronically via EFS-Web selecting the document description "Petition for review and processing by the PCT Legal Office" or by mail addressed to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT

Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

/George Dombroske/
George Dombroske
PCT Legal Examiner
Office of PCT Legal Administration
Tel: (571) 272-3283

17 MAR 2010

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TUROCY & WATSON, LLP
127 Public Square
57th Floor, Key Tower
CLEVELAND OH 44114

In re Application of:
Nagasawa et al.
Application No.: 12/503,396
Filing Date: 15 July 2009
Attorney Docket No.: HARAP103US
For: Particulate Water Absorbing Agent
And Manufacturing Method Of Same

DECISION

This is in response to the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.182 filed on 25 January 2010.

DISCUSSION

In a Decision mailed on 23 November 2009, the petition to convert under 37 CFR 1.182 filed on 03 November 2009 was dismissed, without prejudice, because

> *Review of the application file, with respect to the correspondence filed on 15 July 2009, reveals that the Electronic Acknowledgment Receipt shows that the submission was identified as a "Utility under 35 U.S.C. 111(a)." The accompanying Electronic Patent Application Fee Transmittal sheet shows that fees corresponding to an application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) were paid. Meanwhile, the Application Data Sheet indicated this application to be "a 371 of international PCT/JP2008/062041,"and the declaration of inventorship was directed toward PCT/JP2008/062041. These indicia constitute conflicting instructions as to whether processing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) or 35 U.S.C. 371 was desired. Since the application papers did not unambiguously indicate that processing under 35 U.S.C. 371 was required, but instead included contrary and conflicting instructions, the application properly was processed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) in view of the policy cited above.*
>
> *Counsel states that applicants were "inadvertent and mistaken" in checking the box for processing under 35 U.S.C. § 111(a), but petitioner has not advanced any substantial reason (e.g., loss of rights) to change the status of this national stage application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) to the status of an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 371. It appears that maintaining the present status of this application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) will not result in any loss of rights to applicant. The U.S. Statutes and Regulations do not make specific provision for conversion of applications, and as such the USPTO does not grant such petitions for conversion as a mere matter of course. Since petitioner has not shown sufficient cause (e.g., loss of rights) or lack of availability of an alternative remedy, it would be appropriate, and consistent with the policy considerations described above, to continue processing this application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a).*

In response, counsel asserts that "the inadvertent and mistaken checking of the box for Non-provisional Application under 35 USC § 111(a) of the instant application was unintentional and without deceptive intent." Regardless of whether applicants may have intended the correspondence filed on 15 July 2009 to be treated as a national stage under 35 U.S.C. 371, the existing policy (as described in the previous Decision) requires that the presence of any

conflicting instruction, whether or not it arose intentionally, will result in the application being processed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a). The policy does not contemplate granting a petition to convert on the basis of unintentional error.

Petitioner appears to argue that treatment under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) may result in a loss of priority rights. Review of the record reveals that international application PCT/JP2008/062041 became abandoned with respect to the national stage in the United States, for failure to pay the basic national fee, as of midnight on 04 January 2010. Meanwhile, the instant application was filed on 15 July 2009. As such, this application was co-pending with the international application from 15 July 2009 through 04 January 2010. Counsel may wish to amend the instant application to include a specific reference to the international application per 35 U.S.C. 120. *But see* 37 CFR 1.78.

Petitioner also urges that a possible loss of rights exists due to the potential for restriction or election requirements. Petitioner is advised that such a circumstance is speculative and, in any event, existing policy does not regard the avoidance of a restriction requirement as an adequate rationale for the grant of a petition to convert.

For the reasons stated, it would not be appropriate to grant the requested relief on the basis of the present record.

DECISION

The petition under 37 CFR 1.182 is **DISMISSED**, without prejudice.

If reconsideration on the merits of this matter is desired, a proper response must be filed within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Any reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.182." Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter may be filed electronically via EFS-Web selecting the document description "Petition for review and processing by the PCT Legal Office" or by mail addressed to Mail Stop PCT, Commissioner for Patents, Office of PCT Legal Administration, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the Office of PCT Legal Administration.

/George Dombroske/
George Dombroske
PCT Legal Examiner
Office of PCT Legal Administration
Tel: (571) 272-3283

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY
LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER
BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1122B
4417 LANCASTER PIKE
WILMINGTON DE 19805

MAILED

NOV 13 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Jacobson et al. :
Application No. 12/503416 : ON PETITION
Deposited: 07/15/2009 :
Attorney Docket No. CH3020USDIV :

This is in response to the "PETITION TO ACCORD A FILING DATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.57(a)" filed on September 9, 2009, requesting that the above-referenced application be accorded a filing date of July 15, 2009. This petition is being treated pursuant to 37 CFR 1.53(e)(2)[1].

Application papers in the above-identified application were deposited on July 15, 2009. However, on August 3, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mailed applicants a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application," notifying applicants that the application papers had not been accorded a filing date because the application was deposited without drawings. In response, applicants timely filed this petition. Applicants request that the application be amended to include the inadvertently omitted drawings on the basis that the application as filed contained a prior benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.55 or 1.78.

Petitioner's arguments and evidence have been considered. However, a review of the application confirms that, as filed, the application contained at least one process claim. MPEP 601.01(f) provides that:

> It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence).

Thus, pursuant to § 601.01(f), a drawing is not considered essential for a filing date. The instant application is entitled to a filing date without drawings present in the application.

[1] Any request for review of a notification pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section, or a notification that the original application papers lack a portion of the specification or drawing(s), must be by way of a petition pursuant to this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(f). In the absence of a timely (§ 1.181(f)) petition pursuant to this paragraph, the filing date of an application in which the applicant was notified of a filing error pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section will be the date the filing error is corrected.

Accordingly, the Office should have granted the application a filing date and mailed a Notice of Omitted Items instead of a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application. As stated in MPEP 601.01(g) under the section entitled, "Application Entitled to a Filing Date," applicant may submit an amendment to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the drawing(s) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a):

> [i]f an application was filed on or after September 21, 2004, and contains a claim under 37 CFR 1.55 for priority of a prior-filed foreign application, or a claim under 37 CFR 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional, or international application that was present on the filing date of the application, and the omitted portion of the drawing(s) was inadvertently omitted from the application and is completely contained in the prior-filed application[.]

Please note that no petition is required and that the amendment must comply with 37 CFR 1.57(a) and 37 CFR 1.121. See MPEP § 201.17. Any amendment to include the inadvertently omitted drawing(s) will be considered by the examiner.

To the extent the instant petition requests a filing date of July 15, 2009, with no drawings present in the application, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Given the basis for granting this petition, the petition fee is being refunded.

Pursuant to this decision, the application will be referred to Office of Patent Application Processing for:

- **correction of the filing date to July 15, 2009;**
- **for indication in Office records, as appropriate, that "0" sheets of drawings were present on filing** and
- **for issuance of a filing receipt.**

Entry of the amendment filed on September 9, 2009, will be determined by the examiner.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood at (571) 272-3231.

Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,450	07/15/2009	Joseph P. Kearns	40187-DIV1	8144

23589 7590 09/23/2009

HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP
10801 Mastin Blvd., Suite 1000
Overland Park, KS 66210

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

In re application of
Joseph P. Kearns et al
Serial No. 12/503,450
Filed: July 15, 2009
For: HIGH CAPACITY EXTRUSION DIE ASSEMBLY

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 15, 2009 to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination program.

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any dependent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview;

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner;

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions regarding the application (section I, subsections 1-4) discussed above are considered to have been met. Additionally, the conditions regarding the petition, section II, subsections 1-4, are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with condition II, subsections 5 and 6.

First, it appears that the search is incomplete. Note that a search in Class 425/subclasses 378.1 and 464 is also required.

Also, the statement showing support for the present claims on pages 29 - 30 of the Accelerated Examination Support Document (AESD) is not sufficient. While petitioner has provided a showing of where each limitation finds support in the present application, it is also required that petitioner provide a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of parent application 12/420,677 as applicant has claimed priority to this application.

Note also that the statement showing support for the present claims appears to have come from the statement set forth in parent application 12/420,677. Note for example on page 29 of the AESD wherein it is stated that support for the term "food extruder" is found on page 1, lines 5-7 of the present specification. While this may be where support is found for this term in the parent application, lines 5-7 of the present specification contain continuity information.

Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is dismissed. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,450	07/15/2009	Joseph P. Kearns	40187-DIV1	8144

23589 7590 11/03/2009

HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP
10801 Mastin Blvd., Suite 1000
Overland Park, KS 66210

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

NOV 03 2010

In re application of
Joseph P. Kearns et al
Serial No. 12/503,450
Filed: July 15, 2009
For: HIGH CAPACITY EXTRUSION DIE ASSEMBLY

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the Request for Reconsideration of Petition to Make Special under the Accelerated Examination Program filed on October 23, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED.**

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FEB 25 2010

Houston IP Department
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.
1401 McKinney St.
Suite 1900
Houston TX 77010

In re Application of	:	
David WILLIAMS et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/503,489	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 15, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 134937.0001.000	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed January 06, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement

by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 5.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3635 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APR 30 2010

Houston IP Department
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.
1401 McKinney St.
Suite 1900
Houston TX 77010

In re Application of	:	
DAVID WILLIAMS et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/503,489	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 15, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 134937.00001	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition for reconsideration of dismissal of applicant's petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2), filed March 26, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3635 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAY 10 2010

Houston IP Department
JACKSON WALKER L.L.P.
1401 McKinney St.
Suite 1900
Houston TX 77010

In re Application of DAVID A.WILLIAMS
Appl. No.:12/503,489
Filed: July 15, 2009
For: GREEN ROOF TILE SYSTEM AND METHODS OF USE

DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.59

This is a decision on the petitions under 37 CFR 1.59(b), filed March 10, 2010 to expunge information from the above identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner requests that the paper titled "Petition to Object to Dismissal of a Petition to Participate in the Green technology Pilot Program" filed by Guarev Goel on March 10, 2010, be expunged from the record. Petitioner states that either (A) that the information contains trade secret material, proprietary material and/or material that is subject to a protective order which has not been made public; or (B) that the information submitted was unintentionally submitted and the failure to obtain its return would cause irreparable harm to the party who submitted the information or to the party in interest on whose behalf the information was submitted, and the information has not otherwise been made public. The petitions fees set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(g) has been paid.

The information in question has been determined by the undersigned to not be material to the examination of the instant application.

Applicant is required to retain the expunged material(s) for the life of any patent which issues on the above-identified application.

The expunged material has been removed from the official file.

Any questions regarding this decision should be directed to Supervisory Patent Examiner David Dunn at (571) 272-6670.

Katherine Matecki

Kathy Matecki, Director
Patent Technology Center 3600
(571) 272-5250

dd/lm: 4/23/10
LM

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20006

COPY MAILED

SEP 28 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Chhaimi, et al. :
Application No. 12/503,537 : DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Filed: July 15, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Attorney Docket No. 4717-19299 :
For: **METHOD OF RECYCLING AN EXPITAXIED DONOR WAFER**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed August 31, 2009.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **dismissed.**

Any request for reconsideration under this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. Failure to respond will result in the abandonment of this application. Any response should be titled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

The above-identified application was filed on July 15, 2009, without an executed oath or declaration. Accordingly, a "Notice to File Missing Parts Nonprovisional Application" (the "Notice") was mailed on July 29, 2009, requiring an executed oath or declaration and a surcharge for the late filing of the oath or declaration. The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) was filed on August 31, 2009.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires:

(1) proof that the non-signing inventor(s) cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims, and drawings);

(2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116;

(3) the petition fee,

(4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor,

The instant petition lacks item (1) set forth above.

As to item (1), petitioner did not provide sufficient proof that inventor Chhaimi cannot be located. Although petitioner states that correspondence was sent to the last known address for inventor Chhaimi this alone, does not satisfy the diligence requirement of 37 CFR 1.47(a). Section 409.03(d) of the *Manual of Patent Examining Procedure* provides guidance as to what will suffice as sufficient proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached as is alleged in the instant petition. This section states, in pertinent part, as follows:

> [w]here inability to find or reach a nonsigning inventor "after diligent effort" is the reason for filing under 37 CFR 1.47, a statement of facts should be submitted that fully describes the exact facts which are relied on to establish that a diligent effort was made.
>
> The statement of facts must be signed, where at all possible, by a person having firsthand knowledge of the facts recited therein. Statements based on hearsay will not normally be accepted. **Copies of documentary evidence such as Internet searches, certified mail, return receipts, cover letters of instructions, telegrams, that support a finding that the nonsigning** inventor could not be found or reached should be made part of the statement. The steps taken to locate the whereabouts of the nonsigning inventor should be included statement of facts.

Petitioner has demonstrated that a copy of the application papers was sent to inventor Chhaimi at an address that is defunct. In order to satisfy the diligence requirement, petitioner must provide some evidence of other efforts to locate inventor Chhaimi according to the guidance provided by MPEP 409.03(d) cited above. Where necessary, petitioner should provide a summary translation of the documents that are provided.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petitions
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By FAX: (571) 273-8300
Attn: Office of Petitions

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3222.

K. A. McLaughlin

Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
1700 K STREET, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20006

COPY MAILED
JAN 19 2010

In re Application of :
Chhaimi, et al. :
Application No. 12/503,537 : DECISION NOTING JOINDER
Filed: July 15, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 4717-19299 :
For: **METHOD OF RECYCLING AN EXPITAXIED DONOR WAFER**

This is in response to the renewed petition filed November 19, 2009, noting the joinder of the previously non-signing inventors in the above-cited application.

In view of the joinder of the inventors, further consideration under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is not necessary. This application does not have any Rule 1.47(a) status and no such status should appear on the file wrapper. This application need not be returned to this Office for further consideration under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

The Manual of Patent Examining Procedure ("MPEP"), § 409.03(j) provides that "[i]n the event the previously non-signing inventor decides to join the application by filing an executed oath or declaration complying with 37 CFR 1.63, the oath or declaration will be placed in the application file."

Accordingly, the declaration executed by the joint inventors is noted and made of record.

This application is being directed to the Office of Patent Application Processing for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3222.

Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,617	07/15/2009	Peter Mraz	JHN-10-1815	8475

23117 7590 02/16/2010

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1793	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR
ARLINGTON VA 22203

FEB 16 2010

In re Application of :
Mraz et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/503,617 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7/15/2009 : THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **JHN-10-1815** : PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 12/16/2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice

before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item(s) 1.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 127/001.000) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1793 for action in its regular turn.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,662	07/15/2009	Joseph P. Kearns	40187-DIV2	8589

23589 7590 09/23/2009

HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP
10801 Mastin Blvd., Suite 1000
Overland Park, KS 66210

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 23 2009

CST

In re application of
Joseph P. Kearns et al
Serial No. 12/503,662
Filed: July 15, 2009
For: HIGH CAPACITY EXTRUSION DIE ASSEMBLY

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 15, 2009 to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination program.

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED.**

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours;

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any dependent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview;

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner;

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions regarding the application (section I, subsections 1-4) discussed above are considered to have been met. Additionally, the conditions regarding the petition, section II, subsections 1-4, are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with condition II, subsections 5 and 6.

First, it appears that the search is incomplete. Note that a search in Class 425/subclasses 378.1 and 464 is also required, as well as a search in Class 99/subclasses 353 and 355.

Also, the statement showing support for the present claims on pages 31- 33 of the Accelerated Examination Support Document (AESD) is not sufficient. While petitioner has provided a showing of where each limitation finds support in the present application, it is also required that petitioner provide a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of parent application 12/420,677 as applicant has claimed priority to this application.

Note also that the statement showing support for the present claims appears to have come from the statement set forth in parent application 12/420,677. Note for example on page 31 of the AESD wherein it is stated that support for the term "food extruder" is found on page 1, lines 5-7 of the present specification. While this may be where support is found for this term in the parent application, lines 5-7 of the present specification contain continuity information.

Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is dismissed. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/503,662	07/15/2009	Joseph P. Kearns	40187-DIV2	8589

23589 7590 11/02/2009

HOVEY WILLIAMS LLP
10801 Mastin Blvd., Suite 1000
Overland Park, KS 66210

EXAMINER	
LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1791	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
11/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CST

NOV 2[illegible]

In re application of
Joseph P. Kearns et al
Serial No. 12/503,662
Filed: July 15, 2009
For: HIGH CAPACITY EXTRUSION DIE ASSEMBLY

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL

This is a decision on the Request for Reconsideration of Petition to Make Special under the Accelerated Examination Program filed on October 23, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED.**

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SHAY GLENN LLP
2755 CAMPUS DRIVE
SUITE 210
SAN MATEO, CA 94403

MAILED

MAR 15 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Peter G. Knopp et al	:	DECISION GRANTING STATUS
Application No. 12/504,066	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: July 16, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. 10177-709.200	:	

This is in response to the petition filed September 25, 2009, under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

The petition is **GRANTED.**

Petitioner has shown that the non-signing inventor Benny M. Chan has refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

This matter is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210.

David Bucei
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

MAR 15 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Benny M. Chan
1871 Cape Hatteras Way
San Jose, CA 95133

In re Application of
Peter G. Knopp; Paul E. Chirico; Benny M. Chan; Alison M. Souza
Application No. 12/504,066
Filed: July 16, 2009
For: MORSELIZER

Dear Mr. Chan:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (571) 272-3210. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (703) 308-9726 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

/s/
David Bucci
Petition Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: Shay Glenn LLP
2755 Campus Drive, Suite 210
San Mateo, CA 94403

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COLGATE-PALMOLIVE COMPANY
909 RIVER ROAD
PISCATAWAY NJ 08855

COPY MAILED

NOV 30 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Zicker, et al. :
Application No. 12/504,068 : ON PETITION
Deposited: July 16, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 7581-02-HL :

This is in response to the "PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.57(a)", filed September 30, 2009, requesting that the above-referenced application be accorded a filing date of July 16, 2009. This petition is being treated pursuant to 37 CFR 1.53(e)(2)[1].

Application papers in the above-identified application were deposited on July 16, 2009. However, on August 5, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mailed applicants a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application," notifying applicants that the application papers had not been accorded a filing date because the application was deposited without drawings. Applicants request that the application be accorded the filing date of July 16, 2009.

Petitioner's arguments and evidence have been considered. However, a review of the application confirms that, as filed, the application contained at least one composition claim. MPEP 601.01(f) provides that:

> It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence). The same practice has been followed in composition applications.

Thus, pursuant to § 601.01(f), a drawing is not considered essential for a filing date. The instant application is entitled to a filing date without drawings present in the application.

---

[1] Any request for review of a notification pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section, or a notification that the original application papers lack a portion of the specification or drawing(s), must be by way of a petition pursuant to this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(f). In the absence of a timely (§ 1.181(f)) petition pursuant to this paragraph, the filing date of an application in which the applicant was notified of a filing error pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section will be the date the filing error is corrected.

Accordingly, the Office should have granted the application a filing date and mailed a Notice of Omitted Items instead of a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application. As stated in MPEP 601.01(g) under the section entitled, "Application Entitled to a Filing Date," applicants may submit an amendment to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the drawing(s) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a):

> [i]f an application was filed on or after September 21, 2004, and contains a claim under 37 CFR 1.55 for priority of a prior-filed foreign application, or a claim under 37 CFR 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional, or international application that was present on the filing date of the application, and the omitted portion of the drawing(s) was inadvertently omitted from the application and is completely contained in the prior-filed application[.]

To the extent the instant petition requests a filing date of July 16, 2009 with no drawings present in the application on filing, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Given the basis for granting this petition, the $400 petition fee has been refunded to Deposit Account No. 50-2957.

Pursuant to this decision, the application will be referred to Office of Patent Application Processing for:

- **correction of the filing date to July 16, 2009**;
- **for indication in Office records, as appropriate, that "0" sheets of drawings were present on filing** and
- **for issuance of a filing receipt.**

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to Petitions Attorney Cliff Congo at (571) 272-3207.

Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DANN, DORFMAN, HERRELL & SKILLMAN
1601 MARKET STREET
SUITE 2400
PHILADELPHIA PA 19103-2307

COPY MAILED

OCT 13 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Argenta et al.
Application No. 12/504,076
Filed: July 16, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 0101-P04165US1

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed August 17, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement from the applicant, Louis C. Argenta. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3206. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

There is an outstanding Office action mailed August 13, 2009 that requires a reply. Failure to timely do so will result in abandonment of the application.

This matter is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing to await a response to the Office action. Once a reply is received and the pre-examination processing is complete, the application will be referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 1614 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Liana Walsh
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/504,112	07/16/2009	Andreas Schuch	342368US0	9498

7590 03/26/2010
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER
CANTELMO, GREGG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/26/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Refund Ref:
[illegible]

Adjustment date: 03/25/2010 NFARMER
07/17/2009 INTERFSW 00000520 12504112
02 FC:1111 -540.00 OP

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY DEVICE USING ONLY SINGLE-CHANNEL TRANSISTOR TO APPLY VOLTAGE TO SELECTED WORD LINE

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

[01] /TL/ This application is a continuation of U.S. application serial no. 11/858,648, filed September 20, 2007, now U.S. Patent No. which is a continuation of prior U.S. application serial no. 11/374,045, filed March 14, 2006 (now U.S. patent no. 7,286,402, issued October 23, 2007), which is a continuation of prior U.S. application serial no. 11/115,364, filed April 27, 2005 (now U.S. patent no. 7,085,162, issued August 1, 2006), which is a continuation of prior U.S. application serial no. 10/607,153, filed June 27, 2003 (now U.S. patent no. 6,912,157, issued June 28, 2005), which is a continuation of prior U.S. application serial no. 09/875,944, filed June 8, 2001 (now U.S. patent no. 6,621,735, September 16, 2003), which is based upon and claims the benefit of priority from the prior Japanese Patent Applications No. 2000-173715, filed June 9, 2000; and No. 2000-330972, filed October 30, 2000, the entire contents of both of which are incorporated herein by reference.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

[02] The present invention relates to a semiconductor memory device and, more particularly to, a non-volatile semiconductor memory device such as a NAND cell-, NOR cell-, DINOR cell-, or AND cell-type EEPROM.

[03] Conventionally, an electrically rewritable EEPROM is known as one of the semiconductor memory devices. Among others, a NAND cell-type EEPROM in which each NAND cell block is made up of a plurality of memory cells connected in series is attracting attention as a device that can have a high degree of integration.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/504,517	07/16/2009	Nozomu Aoyama	JP920060092US3	1252

84211 7590 09/29/2009

IBM - RSW
SHIMOKAJI & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
8911 Research Drive
Irvine, CA 92618

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2193	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@shimokaji.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

IBM - RSW
SHIMOKAJI & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
8911 RESEARCH DRIVE
IRVINE, CA 92618

In re Application of: AOYAMA, ET AL.
Application No. 12/504,517
Filed: July 16, 2009
Atty. Docket No.: 008312-0375249
For: TESTING INTERNATIONALIZED SOFTWARE USING TEST RESOURCE FILE AND TEST FONT

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PILOT PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the renewed request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 16, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application is
(a) a Paris Convention application which either
(i) validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

Or

(b) a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim,

Or

(c) a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 (a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application

(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim.

Where the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims is not the same application for which priority is claimed in the U.S. application, applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims and the JPO priority application claimed in the U.S. application.

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
a. Documentation of prior office action:
i. a copy of the office action(s)just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;

Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b above is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO obtain a copy from the DAS, however if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS the applicant will be required to submit a copy; b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH pilot program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Mano Padmanabhan at 571-272-4210.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Mano Padmanabhan/

_____

Mano Padmanabhan
Quality Assurance Specialist, Technology Center 2100, Workgroup 2180
571-272-4210

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DAVID S. RESNICK
NIXON PEABODY LLP
100 SUMMER STREET
BOSTON MA 02110-2131

COPY MAILED
MAR 11 2010

In re Application of	:	
Robert Bowker	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/504,720	:	TO WITHDRAW
Filed: July 17, 2009	:	FROM RECORD
Attorney Docket No. 039451-057155-CIP-C	:	

This is a decision on the request to withdraw as attorney of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36, filed January 7, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

The Office will only accept correspondence address changes to the most current address information provided for the assignee of the entire interest *who properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71,* or, if no assignee of the entire interest has properly been made of record, the most current address information provided for the first named inventor. 37 CFR 3.71(c) states:

> *An assignee becomes of record either in a national patent application or a reexamination proceeding by filing a statement in compliance with § 3.73(b) that is signed by a party who is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.*

According to a review of current USPTO records petitioner has not requested the address be changed to a properly recorded assignee or the first listed inventor. Mr. A. Peter Morello III is neither the first named inventor nor the assignee who properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71 As such, all future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Currently, there is an outstanding Office action mailed November 17, 2009 that requires a reply.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-7751.

Joan Olszewski
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/504,810	07/17/2009	Karen Pease	126526.001	1864

24234 7590 02/25/2010

SIMMONS PERRINE MOYER BERGMAN PLC
CITY CENTER SQUARE
1100 - 5th Street Suite 205
CORALVILLE, IA 52241

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3661	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@simmonsperrine.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FEB 24 2010

SIMMONS PERRINE MOYER BERGMAN PLC
CITY CENTER SQUARE
1100 - 5th Street Suite 205
CORALVILLE IA 52241

In re Application of	:	
Karen PEASE	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/504,810	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 17, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 126526.001	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 14, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement

by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks items 1 and 5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 701/123.000) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. Petitioner suggests that by providing drivers of electric or hybrid vehicles with an accurate display of how much charge remains on the vehicle's battery, the claimed invention will bring out a reduction in uncertainty and greater adoption of electric vehicles. It is noted that petitioner's comments are merely a speculation on how an end user may apply the invention in a manner to reduce green house gas emissions. As stated in the notice, the materiality standard does not permit an applicant to speculate as to how a hypothetical end-user might specially apply the invention in a manner than could materially contribute to category (A) or (B). *Also see MPEP § 708.02 (VI).* Accordingly, it is unclear as to how the claimed invention would materially contribute to category (A) or (B), and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3661 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/504,810	07/17/2009	Karen Pease	126526.001	1864

24234 7590 06/24/2010

SIMMONS PERRINE MOYER BERGMAN PLC
CITY CENTER SQUARE
1100 - 5th Street Suite 205
CORALVILLE, IA 52241

EXAMINER	
KEITH, JACK W	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3663	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/24/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@simmonsperrine.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JUN 23 2010

SIMMONS PERRINE MOYER BERGMAN PLC
CITY CENTER SQUARE
1100 - 5th Street Suite 205
CORALVILLE IA 52241

In re Application of	:	
Karen PEASE	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/504,810	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 17, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 126526.001	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed May 26, 2010 to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DENIED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item 4.

In regard to item 4, petitioner alleges in the statement of materiality standard that "*the invention is directed to providing drivers of electric and hybrid vehicles with an accurate display of how much charge remains on the vehicle's battery, which is directly correlated to the distance the vehicle may be driven. Uncertainty of drivers regarding the range of the electric vehicles is a significant barrier to more widespread adoption.*" It is noted that the claimed system and software application as recited in claims 1-13 do not materially contributes to greenhouse gas reduction. It is merely speculation on how an application of the claimed invention by a hypothetical end-user may potentially foster the widespread use of the electric and hybrid vehicles.

Both hybrid and electric vehicles are usually equipped with a state of charge (SOC) gauge that gives a fairly accurate reading of the amount of the battery capacity available, and a "low battery' warning light that allows easy estimation of driving range to ensure that the user is not stranded. It is well known that a hybrid vehicle has two motors - an electric motor and a gasoline powered motor. The gas motor charges the batteries while it is running. In addition, the gas motors starts automatically when the battery gets low and proceeds to charge the battery so it eliminates any concerns about the vehicle's battery runs out of charge. On the other hand, electric vehicles are known to have a limited driving range because the batteries have limited energy storage and long recharging times. An estimation of the real time range for an electric vehicle cannot change the energy storage capacity of the battery, the charging times, or the availability of a charging station that control the driving range of an electric vehicle. Therefore, it is speculation on how an estimation of real time range can foster a widespread use of hybrid or electric vehicles, or materially contribute to greenhouse gas reduction.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3663 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DAVID E. HERRON II
PO BOX12457
OVERHAND PARK KS 66282

COPY MAILED

NOV 23 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Donna WALKER	:	
Application No. 12/504,886	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 17, 2009	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. WALKER	:	37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed September 28, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a copy of applicant's birth certificate. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

This application is being referred back to the Office of Data Management for further processing.

This application will be accorded "special" status when pre-examination processing is done.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6735.

/Diane C. Goodwyn/
Diane C. Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

THOMPSON HINE L.L.P.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP
P.O. BOX 8801
DAYTON, OH 45401-8801

MAILED

AUG 24 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Gholam A. PEYMAN, et al. :
Application No. 12/505,052 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 17, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **075200.2** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 17, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes the declaration of inventor Gholam A. Peyman, attesting to his age. Accordingly, the above-identified application will be accorded "special" status.

Inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Office of Patent Application Processing at 571-272-4000.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-7253.

The application will be forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3753 after pre-examination processing is complete.

/Monica A. Graves/
Petitions Examiner, Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

SEP 0 4 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

LEVEQUE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.C.
241 E. 4th Street, #102
FREDERICK MD 21701

In re Application of:
JAISWAL, PEEYUSH
Serial No.: 12/505,115
Filed: July 17, 2009

Title: **CONVERSATIONAL BIOMETRIC COUPLED WITH SPEECH RECOGNITION IN PASSIVE MODE DURING CALL HOLD TO AFFECT CALL ROUTING**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 17, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the filed of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions set forth under section I. above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with conditions set forth under section II items 5.2, 5.3.

For these reasons cited above, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Regarding the requirement in item 5.2 and 5.3 above, the preexamination search document provided with the instant petition is deficient as follows: The US field of search which is to be provided under section 8(A) is not sufficient. In addition to the search areas provided, applicant would also need to search in the following class/ subclasses: 379/88.01, 88.04. Appropriate areas within class 704 (Speech Signal Processing) should also be searched.

If any request for reconsideration is filed, an updated preexamination search must be conducted as per items 5.2 and 5.3 above, and the Preexamination Search Document must be amended to indicate such; a new Information Disclosure Statement should be filed to cite any newly found prior art, and the Accelerated Examination Support Document must also be amended to include the necessary reference and discussion of any newly found and cited prior art in accordance with all of items 6 through 6.6 above; as well as the necessary updated discussion of patentability for each of the dependent claims (or a statement that claims stand or fall together) as set forth above.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Ken Wieder, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-2986.

Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LEVEQUE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, P.C.
241 E. 4th Street, #102
FREDERICK MD 21701

MAIL

OCT 2 9 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of:
JAISWAL, PEEYUSH
Serial No.: 12/505,115
Filed: July 17, 2009

Title: **CONVERSATIONAL BIOMETRIC COUPLED WITH SPEECH RECOGNITION IN PASSIVE MODE DURING CALL HOLD TO AFFECT CALL ROUTING**

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

The petition on reconsideration to make the application special filed September 28, 2009 is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the

examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Ken Wieder, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-2986.



Kenneth A. Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON MA 02111

COPY MAILED
MAR 03 2010

In re Application of :
Umansky, et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/505,183 :
Filed/Deposited: 30 March, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 29480-511001US :

This is a decision on the petition filed on 13 November, 2009, under 37 C.F.R. §1.47.

The petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) is **DISMISSED**.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO (2) MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 C.F.R. §1.136(a).

This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. §704.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) requires: the petition and fee; proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached after diligent effort or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §115 and §116; a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor; proof of proprietary interest: and proof of irreparable damage.

Petitioner's attention is directed to the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) and to the guidance provided in the Commentary in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, including that at MPEP §409.03, et seq.

Petitioners always are reminded that for transmission by Email of any document to be accepted by the Office, written acknowledgment of receipt and readability will be required.

Petitioner's attentions are directed to the following:

Petitioner submitted no statement/showing of authority to sign the oath/declaration on behalf of the non-signing inventors. Further, there appear to be, inter alia, contradictions as to the appropriate legal representative of a deceased inventor.

Petitioners always are reminded that for transmission by Email of any document (e.g., specification (description, claims, abstract, drawings) and oath/declaration) to be accepted by the Office, written acknowledgment by the non-signing inventors of receipt and readability will be required.

Deficiencies must be resolved on any renewed petition.

BACKGROUND

The record indicates:

The instant application was filed on 30 March, 2009, without, *inter alia*, a fully executed oath/declaration.

On 3 August, 2009, the Office mailed a Notice of Missing Parts indicating, *inter alia*, that a fully executed oath/declaration (signed and dated) was required.

On 13 November, 2009, Petitioner Ivor Elrifi (Reg. No 39,529) filed a petition with, *inter alia*, a request and fee for extension of time, an oath/declaration signed by David Robbins averred to be the vice president of product development of averred assignee Xenomics, Inc. (in the place of the non-signing inventors Eugene M. Shekhtman (Mr. Shekhtman), Hovsep S. Melkonyan (Mr. Melkonyan), averred now deceased and whose legal representative is averred to be Natasha Melkonyan (Ms. Melkonyan), and Samull R. Umansky (Mr. Umansky), but with no affirmative statement of authority of David Robbins to sign on behalf of the averred assignee; a statement of transmission of a complete copy of the application, declaration, and assignment to the non-signing inventors or legal representatives thereof; a statement/showing proprietary interest and of irreparable damage. (*See* MPEP §409.03, and §409.03(a)). It is noted that it is unclear when/how the legal representative came to that authority. It also is noted that the record reflects—correctly or not—a legal representative of Mr. Melkonyan by the name of Natalya Ossina, which averment clearly is in conflict with the averment as to the status of Ms. Melkonyan.

Petitioner **must** resolve these issues on any renewed petition and also should review the Rules of Practice and the guidance in the Commentary in the MPEP to ensure satisfaction of all requirements herein.

Petitioners always are reminded that for transmission by Email of any document (e.g., specification (description, claims, abstract, drawings) and oath/declaration) to be accepted by the Office, written acknowledgment of receipt and readability will be required.

The availability of applications and application papers online to applicants/practitioners who diligently associate their Customer Number with the respective application(s) now provides an applicant/practitioner on-demand information as to events/transactions in an application. Thus, now if one wishes to know the progress in and/or status of an application or the accuracy of the data therein, one need only look at the file online.

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that those registered to practice *and* all others who make representations before the Office must inquire into the underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation–since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.[1]

The instant petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) is **dismissed**.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:
Mail Stop PETITIONS
Commissioner for Patents
Post Office Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand:
Customer Service Window
Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX:
(571) 273-8300
ATTN: Office of Petitions

[1] See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office). See specifically, the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §10.18.

While telephone inquiries regarding this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214, it is noted that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2[2]) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s).

/John J. Gillon, Jr./
John J. Gillon, Jr.
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

[2] The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON MA 02111

COPY MAILED
MAR 18 2010

In re Application of
Umansky, et al.
Application No. 12/505,183
Filed/Deposited: 30 March, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 29480-511001US

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed on 12 March, 2010, under 37 C.F.R. §1.47.

The petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) requires: the petition and fee; proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached after diligent effort or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §115 and §116; a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor; proof of proprietary interest: and proof of irreparable damage.

A Petitioner's attention always is directed to the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) and to the guidance provided in the Commentary in the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, including that at MPEP §409.03, et seq., and Petitioners always are reminded that for transmission by Email of any document to be accepted by the Office, written acknowledgment of receipt and readability will be required.

BACKGROUND

The record indicates:

The instant application was filed on 30 March, 2009, without, *inter alia*, a fully executed oath/declaration.

On 3 August, 2009, the Office mailed a Notice of Missing Parts indicating, *inter alia*, that a fully executed oath/declaration (signed and dated) was required.

On 13 November, 2009, Petitioner Ivor Elrifi (Reg. No 39,529) filed a petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) with, *inter alia*, a request and fee for extension of time, an oath/declaration signed by David Robbins averred to be the vice president of product development of averred assignee Xenomics, Inc. (in the place of the non-signing inventors Eugene M. Shekhtman (Mr. Shekhtman), Hovsep S. Melkonyan (Mr. Melkonyan), averred now deceased and whose legal representative was averred to be Natasha Melkonyan (Ms. Melkonyan), and Samull R. Umansky (Mr. Umansky), but with no affirmative statement of authority of David Robbins to sign on behalf of the averred assignee; a statement of transmission of a complete copy of the application, declaration, and assignment to the non-signing inventors or legal representatives thereof; a statement/showing proprietary interest and of irreparable damage. (*See* MPEP §409.03, and §409.03(a)). It also was noted that it was unclear when/how the legal representative came to that authority. It also is noted that the record reflects—correctly or not—a legal representative of Mr. Melkonyan by the name of Natalya Ossina, which averment clearly is in conflict with the averment as to the status of Ms. Melkonyan. The petition was dismissed on 3 March, 2010.

On 12 March, 2010, Petitioner Elrifi and Petitioners Matthew Pavao (Reg. No 50,571) and Katherine J. Miller (Reg. No. 62,080) renewed the petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) with, *inter alia*, a statement by David Robbins as to authority to sign and a clarification/averment that Ms. Melkoyan and Ms. Natalya Ossina were in fact the same person—and so appeared to have completed the requirements of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b), to wit: the petition and fee; proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached after diligent effort or refuses—including constructive refusal—to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §115 and §116; a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor; proof of proprietary interest: and proof of irreparable damage.

The availability of applications and application papers online to applicants/practitioners who diligently associate their Customer Number with the respective application(s) now provides an applicant/practitioner on-demand information as to events/transactions in an application. Thus, now if one wishes to know the progress in and/or status of an application or the accuracy of the data therein, one need only look at the file online.

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that those registered to practice *and* all others who make representations before the Office must inquire into the underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation–since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.[1]

---

[1] See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office). See specifically, the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §10.18.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(b) status.

CONCLUSION

The instant petition under 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) is **granted**.

As provided in 37 C.F.R. §1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

The instant application is released to the Office of Patent Application Processing (OPAP) for further processing in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2[2]) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s).

/John J. Gillon, Jr./
John J. Gillon, Jr.
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

[2] The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

EUGENE M. SHEKHTMAN
5284 RANDOLPH ROAD/#198
ROCKVILLE, MD 20852

COPY MAILED
MAR 18 2010

In re Application of
Umansky, et al.
Application No. 12/505,183
Filed/Deposited: 30 March, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 29480-511001US

COMMUNICATION

Dear Eugene M, Shekhtman:

You are named as an inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §116 (United States Code), and 37 C.F.R. §1.47 (Code of Federal Regulations), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as an inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 C.F.R. §1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.63.

Should you elect to join in the application, the contact information for Counsel of Record is set forth at the end of this Communication.

Should you seek to identify independent Counsel, you may find the Patent Attorneys/Agents Search engine of assistance (https://oedci.uspto.gov/OEDCI/).

Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2[1]) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s) Moreover, the Office can neither advise you nor recommend Counsel in this matter.

/John J. Gillon, Jr./
John J. Gillon, Jr.
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

Counsel of Record
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON MA 02111

[1] The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
www.uspto.gov

NATASHA OSSINA
NATASHA (MRS. HOVSEP S.) MELKONYAN
546 EWING STREET
PRINCETON NJ 08540

COPY MAILED
MAR 18 2010

In re Application of :
Umansky, et al. : COMMUNICATION
Application No. 12/505,183 :
Filed/Deposited: 30 March, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 29480-511001US :

Dear Natasha Ossina/Natasha (Mrs. Hovsep S.) Melkonyan:

You are named as an inventor (or the legal representative thereof) in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §116 (United States Code), and 37 C.F.R. §1.47 (Code of Federal Regulations), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as an inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 C.F.R. §1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.63.

Should you elect to join in the application, the contact information for Counsel of Record is set forth at the end of this Communication.

Should you seek to identify independent Counsel, you may find the Patent Attorneys/Agents Search engine of assistance (https://oedci.uspto.gov/OEDCI/).

Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2[1]) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s) Moreover, the Office can neither advise you nor recommend Counsel in this matter.

/John J. Gillon, Jr./
John J. Gillon, Jr.
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

Counsel of Record
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON MA 02111

[1] The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SAMUIL R. UMANSKY
3 ORCHID COURT
PRINCETON NJ08540

COPY MAILED
MAR 18 2010

In re Application of
Umansky, et al.
Application No. 12/505,183
Filed/Deposited: 30 March, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 29480-511001US

COMMUNICATION

Dear Samuil R. Umansky:

You are named as an inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §116 (United States Code), and 37 C.F.R. §1.47 (Code of Federal Regulations), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as an inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 C.F.R. §1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.63.

Should you elect to join in the application, the contact information for Counsel of Record is set forth at the end of this Communication.

Should you seek to identify independent Counsel, you may find the Patent Attorneys/Agents Search engine of assistance (https://oedci.uspto.gov/OEDCI/).

Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2[1]) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s) Moreover, the Office can neither advise you nor recommend Counsel in this matter.

/John J. Gillon, Jr./
John J. Gillon, Jr.
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

Counsel of Record
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON MA 02111

[1] The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS,
GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C
ONE FINANCIAL CENTER
BOSTON MA 02111

COPY MAILED
MAR 18 2010

In re Application of
Umansky, et al.
Application No. 12/505,183
Filed/Deposited: 30 March, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 29480-511001US

DECISION ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed 6 November, 2009, and pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.183 to suspend the rules requiring the signature of non-signing inventors (to be demonstrated in a fashion generally utilized in a petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47).

The petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.183 is **DISMISSED.**

BACKGROUND

The record indicates:

The instant application was filed on 30 March, 2009, without, *inter alia*, a fully executed oath/declaration.

On 6 November, 2009, former Counsel filed the instant petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.183 to suspend the rules as to authority to represent.

On 3 August, 2009, the Office mailed a Notice of Missing Parts indicating, *inter alia*, that a fully executed oath/declaration (signed and dated) was required.

On 13 November, 2009, new Counsel filed a petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) with, *inter alia*, a request and fee for extension of time, an oath/declaration signed by David Robbins averred to be the vice president of product development of averred assignee Xenomics, Inc. (in the place of the non-signing inventors Eugene M. Shekhtman (Mr. Shekhtman), Hovsep S. Melkonyan (Mr. Melkonyan), averred now deceased and whose legal representative was averred to be Natasha Melkonyan (Ms. Melkonyan), and Samuil R. Umansky (Mr. Umansky), but with

no affirmative statement of authority of David Robbins to sign on behalf of the averred assignee; a statement of transmission of a complete copy of the application, declaration, and assignment to the non-signing inventors or legal representatives thereof; a statement/showing proprietary interest and of irreparable damage. (*See* MPEP §409.03, and §409.03(a)). It also was noted that it was unclear when/how the legal representative came to that authority. It also is noted that the record reflects—correctly or not—a legal representative of Mr. Melkonyan by the name of Natalya Ossina, which averment clearly is in conflict with the averment as to the status of Ms. Melkonyan. The petition was dismissed on 3 March, 2010.

On 12 March, 2010, new Counsel renewed the petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b) with, *inter alia*, a statement by David Robbins as to authority to sign and a clarification/averment that Ms. Melkoyan and Ms. Natalya Ossina were in fact the same person—and so appeared to have completed the requirements of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b), to wit: the petition and fee; proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached after diligent effort or refuses—including constructive refusal—to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §115 and §116; a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor; proof of proprietary interest: and proof of irreparable damage.

ANALYSIS

Suspension of the rules pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.183 may be granted in an "extraordinary situation, when justice requires."

The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.183 set forth the conditions required for their invocation:

> **§1.183 Suspension of rules.**
>
> In an extraordinary situation, when justice requires, any requirement of the regulations in this part which is not a requirement of the statutes may be suspended or waived by the Director or the Director's designee, *sua sponte*, or on petition of the interested party, subject to such other requirements as may be imposed. Any petition under this section must be accompanied by the petition fee set forth in §1.17(f). (Emphasis supplied.)

The facts presented on the record do not adequately establish an extraordinary situation. The matter has been resolved by new Counsel in his efforts pursuant to the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.47(b). Not only are there absent in evidence sufficiently special circumstances that would require suspension of the rules in the interests of justice, the record evidences that compliance with the regulations has effected the result sought.

The availability of applications and application papers online to applicants/practitioners who diligently associate their Customer Number with the respective application(s) now provides an applicant/practitioner on-demand information as to events/transactions in an application. Thus, now if one wishes to know the progress in and/or status of an application or the accuracy of the data therein, one need only look at the file online.

Out of an abundance of caution, Petitioners always are reminded that those registered to practice *and* all others who make representations before the Office must inquire into the underlying facts of representations made to the Office and support averments with the appropriate documentation–since all owe to the Office the continuing duty to disclose.[1]

CONCLUSION

The petition is **dismissed as moot**.

The instant application is released to the Office of Patent Application Processing (OPAP) for further processing in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3214—it is noted, however, that all practice before the Office is in writing (see: 37 C.F.R. §1.2[2]) and the proper authority for action on any matter in this regard are the statutes (35 U.S.C.), regulations (37 C.F.R.) and the commentary on policy (MPEP). Therefore, no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for Petitioner's action(s).

/John J. Gillon, Jr./
John J. Gillon, Jr.
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

---

[1] See supplement of 17 June, 1999. The Patent and Trademark Office is relying on petitioner's duty of candor and good faith and accepting a statement made by Petitioner. See Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure, 62 Fed. Reg. at 53160 and 53178, 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office at 88 and 103 (responses to comments 64 and 109)(applicant obligated under 37 C.F.R. §10.18 to inquire into the underlying facts and circumstances when providing statements to the Patent and Trademark Office). See specifically, the regulations at 37 C.F.R. §10.18.

[2] The regulations at 37 C.F.R. §1.2 provide:

§1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.
All business with the Patent and Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

SEP 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY, PC
POST OFFICE BOX 1404
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404

In re Application of :
David A. Saar, et. al. :
Application No. 12/505,189 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 17, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 0050936-000032 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 22, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement from the inventor that he is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2618 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/505,206	07/17/2009	Jules T. Mitchel	3107.00028	1642

48924 7590 07/24/2009

KOHN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC
30500 NORTHWESTERN HWY, SUITE 410
FARMINGTON HILLS, MI 48334

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1614	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

JUL 2 7 2009

KOHN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC
30500 Northwestern Hwy, Suite 401
Farmington Hills MI 48334

In re Application of
Jules T. Mitchel, et al.
Serial No.: 12/505,206
Filed: July 17, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 3107.00028

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 17, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, Supervisory Patent Examiner, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.

Cecilia Tsang

Cecilia Tsang
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Tc1600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WORKMAN NYDEGGER
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111

MAILED

APR 15 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Robert W. Christensen, III	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/505,257	:	TO WITHDRAW
Filed: July 17, 2009	:	FROM RECORD
Attorney Docket No. 16941.7.1	:	

This is a decision on the request to withdraw as attorney of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36, filed February 18, 2010 and September 9, 2009.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

The Office will only accept correspondence address changes to the most current address information provided for the assignee of the entire interest *who properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71,* or, if no assignee of the entire interest has properly been made of record, the most current address information provided for the first named inventor. 37 CFR 3.71(c) states:

> *An assignee becomes of record either in a national patent application or a reexamination proceeding by filing a statement in compliance with § 3.73(b) that is signed by a party who is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.*

Petitioner has not fully completed the change of correspondence address portion of form PTO/SB/83. Therefore, since the petition lacks the name of the first inventor or the assignee of record, as explained above, the change of correspondence address is considered improper. As such, all future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Currently, there is no outstanding Office action that requires a reply.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-7751.

Joan Olszewski
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WORKMAN NYDEGGER
1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER
60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE
SALT LAKE CITY UT 84111

MAILED

MAY 24 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Robert W. Christensen, III :
Application No. 12/505,257 :
Filed: July 17, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 16941.7.1 :

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW
FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the renewed Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed April 30, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office will require the practitioner(s) to certify that he, she or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the reply period, which the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any replies that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant to 37 CFR 10.40 (c).

The request was signed by R. Burns Israelsen on behalf of all attorneys of record who are associated with Customer No. 22913.

All attorneys/agents associated with Customer Number 22913 have been withdrawn. Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

All future correspondence will be directed to the applicant at the address indicated below.

Currently, there is no outstanding Office action that requires a reply.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7751. All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Joan Olszewski

Joan Olszewski
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: Robert W. Christensen III
2600 W 29th Ave #102G
Denver, CO 80211

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/505,286	07/17/2009	Eric Eggleston	234076-1 (GEEN:0097/SWA)	1824

82438 7590 02/05/2010

GE Energy-Global Patent Operation
Fletcher Yoder PC
P.O. Box 692289
Houston, TX 77269-2289

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3723	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GE Energy-Global Patent Operation
Fletcher Yoder PC
P.O. Box 692289
Houston TX 77269-2289

In re Application of :
EGGLESTON, ERIC :
Application No. 12/505,286 :
Filed: July 17, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No.234076-1 (GEEN:0097/SWA) :
Title: WIND TURBINE BLADE INSPECTION AND CLEANING SYSTEM

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 14, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant

will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived. The petition lacks item(s) #1 and #5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 15/21) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856. The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3723 for action in its regular turn.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/505,286	07/17/2009	Eric Eggleston	234076-1 (GEEN:0097/SWA)	1824

82438 7590 06/28/2010

GE Energy-Global Patent Operation
Fletcher Yoder PC
P.O. Box 692289
Houston, TX 77269-2289

EXAMINER	
GUIDOTTI, LAURA COLE	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3727	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/28/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GE Energy-Global Patent Operation
Fletcher Yoder PC
P.O. Box 692289
Houston TX 77269-2289

In re Application of :
Eggleston, Eric :
Application No. 12/505286 :
Filed: July 17, 209 :
Attorney Docket No. 234076-1 :
(GEEN:0097/SWA)

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed June 17, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (May 21, 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3727 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

06 AUG 2009

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

24978
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN
300 S. Wacker Drive
25th Floor
Chicago, IL 60606

In re Application of :
LEACH, Craig :
U.S. Application No.: 12/505,310 :
Filing Date: July 17, 2009 :
Docket No.: 4358.85494 :
For: REMOVABLE SPIKE FOR FOOTWEAR :

DECISION ON PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

Applicant's petition to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(b) filed on July 17, 2009 is hereby **GRANTED** as follows:

International application PCT/AU2007/001840 became abandoned as to the United States of America for failure to pay the basic national fee by thirty months from the priority date.

The filing of the above-captioned continuation application under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) on July 19, 2008 is accepted as an appropriate response under 37 CFR 1.137(b). The $810.00 petition fee for a small entity has been paid. Applicant's statement regarding the delay satisfies the requirement of 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3). Thus, all requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) are satisfied.

International application PCT/AU2007/001840 is being revived for purposes of continuity only and since continuity has been established by this decision reviving the international application, the international application is again abandoned in favor of the present continuation application number 12/505,310.

This application is being forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination for further processing under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) with a filing date of July, 17, 2009.

James Thomson
Attorney Advisor
Office of PCT Legal Administration

Tel.: (571) 272-3302

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/505,427	07/17/2009	Heung Joon Park	84513US(303496)	2152

90238 7590 12/01/2009

Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP
P.O. Box 55874
Boston, MA 02205

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2855	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/01/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patent@eapdlaw.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Edwards Angell Palmer & Dodge LLP
P.O. Box 55874
Boston MA 02205

In re Application of
Heung Joon PARK
Application No.: 12/505,427
Filed: 17 June 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 84513US(303496)
For: LOAD MEASURING TRANSDUCER USING INDUCED VOLTAGE FOR OVERCOMING ECCENTRIC ERRORS AND LOAD MEASURING SYSTEM USING THE SAME

: DECISION ON REQUEST TO
: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT
: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
: PROGRAM AND PETITION
: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
: 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 06 October 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

Discussion

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application is

    a. a Paris Convention application which either
        i. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the KIPO, or
        ii. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)/365(a) to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

    or

    b. a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
        i. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 365(b) to an application filed in the KIPO, or

ii. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 365(b) to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
iii. contains no priority claim, or

c. a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
   i. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 365(b) to an application filed in the KIPO, or
   ii. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 365(b) to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
   iii. contains no priority claim;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KR application(s);
   b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s), and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate (if the claims were published in a language other than English);
3. Applicant must:
   a. Ensure that all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KR application(s), and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;
4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
5. Applicant must submit:
   a. A copy of all the office actions (which are relevant to patentability), excluding "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the KR application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s);
   b. An English language translation of the KIPO office action; and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate (if the office actions are not in the English language);
6. Applicant must submit:
   a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action (unless already filed in this application); and
   b. Copies of all the documents cited in the KIPO office action, except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already filed in this application);
7. A required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

This request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at (571) 272- 4549.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

This application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision once this application's formality reviews have been completed.

Lee W. Young
TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUN 2 1 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY
ASSOCIATE COUNSEL (PATENTS)
CODE 1008.2
4555 OVERLOOK AVENUE, S.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20375-5320

In re Application of :
MEIER, Robert R. et al. :
Application No. 12/505,455 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 17, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **98826US2** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed May 21, 2010, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by Robert Meier attesting to his/her age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at 571-272-2783.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2612 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tredelle D. Jackson/
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DENNIS G. LAPOINTE
LAPOINTE LAW GROUP, PL
PO BOX 1294
TARPON SPRINGS FL 34688-1294

COPY MAILED

AUG 2 8 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Louis S. Brown	:	
Application No. 12/505,554	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 20, 2009	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 2407.12A	:	37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 20, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a copy of the applicant's driver's license proving that he is 65 years of age or older. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Terri Williams at 571-272-2991.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3764 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Terri Williams
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS/PRATT & WHITNEY
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD
SUITE 350
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009

COPY MAILED

DEC 09 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Jeffrey E. Fink, et al. : DECISION REFUSING STATUS
Application No. 12/505,809 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: July 20, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. PA7888USAB; 67937-089PUS3 :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed September 30, 2009.

The petition is DISMISSED.

Rule 47 applicant is given TWO MONTHS from the mailing date of this decision to reply, correcting the below-noted deficiencies. Any reply should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," and should only address the deficiencies noted below, except that the reply may include an oath or declaration executed by the non-signing inventor. **FAILURE TO RESPOND WILL RESULT IN ABANDONMENT OF THE APPLICATION.** Any extensions of time will be governed by 37 CFR 1.136(a).

The above-identified application was filed on July 20, 2009, without an executed oath or declaration. Accordingly, on July 31, 2009, a Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application was mailed, requiring, *inter alia*, an executed oath or declaration and a surcharge for its late filing. A two (2) month period for reply was set. In response, on September 30, 2009, applicant filed a partially executed declaration and the present petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) requires: (1) proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims and drawings); (2) an acceptable oath or declaration in compliance with 35 U.S.C. §§ 115 and 116; (3) the petition fee; and (4) a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor.

The petition lacks items (1) and (2).

As to item (1), rule 47 applicant must demonstrate with documented evidence that an inventor refuses to join in the application after having been presented with the application papers (specification, claims, drawings and oath or declaration).

The applicable statute (35 U.S.C.§ 116) requires that a "diligent effort" have been expended in attempting to find or reach the non-signing inventor. *See* MPEP 409.03(a). The showing currently fails to demonstrate, with a documented showing, that a diligent effort was made to find or locate any of the non-signing inventors.

Specifically, the petition fails to indicate that correspondence was ever mailed unsuccessfully to joint inventors Fink and Shapey at their last known address. If the inventors were not presented with a copy of the application papers for this application, then they could not attest that they have "reviewed and understands the application papers" and could not execute the declaration they were requested to sign. Did all of the joint inventors receive a copy of the application papers? The fact that an application may contain proprietary information does not relieve the rule 47 applicant of the responsibility to present the application papers to the joint inventors if they are willing to receive the papers in order to sign the oath or declaration. Therefore, at the very least, petitioner should mail correspondence to the last known address of joint inventors Jeffrey E. Fink and Bryon L. Shapey, return receipt and/or forwarding address requested. If a forwarding address is provided, petitioner should then mail a complete copy of the application papers (specification, claims, drawings, oath, etc.) to that address, return receipt requested, along with a cover letter of instructions which includes a deadline or a statement that no response will constitute a refusal. This sort of ultimatum lends support to a finding of refusal by conduct. If the papers are returned and all other attempts to locate or reach the inventor, e.g., through personnel records, co-workers, E-mail, the Internet or the telephone, etc., continue to fail, then applicant will have established that the inventor cannot be reached after diligent effort or has refused to join in the application. **The statements of facts must be signed, where at all possible, by a person having firsthand knowledge of the facts recited therein and should be accompanied by documentary evidence in support of the statement of facts.** It is important that the forthcoming communication contain statements of fact as opposed to conclusions.

Where there is an express or oral refusal, that fact, along with the time and place of the refusal, must be stated in an affidavit or declaration by the party to whom the refusal was made. Where there is a written refusal, a copy of the document(s) evidencing that refusal must be made part of the affidavit or declaration.

When it is concluded by the rule 47 applicant that an omitted inventors' conduct constitutes a refusal, all facts upon which that conclusion is based should be stated in an affidavit or declaration. If there is documentary evidence to support facts alleged in the affidavit or declaration, such evidence must be submitted.

Whenever an omitted inventor gives a reason for refusing to sign the application oath or declaration, that reason should be stated in the affidavit or declaration.

As to item (2), the declaration filed with the petition on September 30, 2009 does not meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.63. It is noted that the declaration is signed by joint inventors Tracy L. Taylor and Lori A. Narvett on behalf of themselves and non-signing inventors Jeffrey E. Fink and Bryon L. Shapey; however, it contains uninitialed/undated alterations.[1] (See signature block for joint inventor Lori A. Narvett.) Consequently, an acceptable declaration or ADS in compliance with §§ 1.63(a) and 1.63(c)(1) must be filed.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be delivered through one of the following mediums:

By mail:	Mail Stop PETITIONS Commissioner for Patents Post Office Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
By hand:	Customer Service Window Mail Stop Petitions Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314
By fax:	(571) 273-8300 ATTN: Office of Petitions
By Internet:	EFS-Web[2]

Any questions concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

[1] See 37 CFR 1.52(c).
[2] www.uspto.gov/ebc/efs_help.html (for help using EFS-Web call the Patent Electronic Business Center at (866) 217-9197)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS/PRATT & WHITNEY
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD
SUITE 350
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009

MAILED

MAR 1 1 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Jeffrey E. Fink, et al.
Application No. 12/505,809
Filed: July 20, 2009
Attorney Docket No. PA7888USAB; 67937-089PUS3

: DECISION NOTING JOINDER OF
: INVENTOR AND PETITION
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)

Papers filed on February 9, 2010 in response to a "Decision Refusing Status Under 37 CFR 1.47(a)," mailed December 9, 2009, included a Declaration signed by a previously non-signing inventors, Fink and Shapey, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.63.

The petition is **DISMISSED AS MOOT**.

In view of the joinder of the inventors, further consideration under § 1.47(a) is not necessary. This application does not have any Rule 1.47 status and no such status should appear on the record for this file. This application need not be returned to this Office for any further consideration under 37 CFR 1.47(a).

This application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing (OPAP) for further processing using the declaration filed February 9, 2010.

Telephone inquires related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Telephone inquiries related to processing at OPAP should be directed to their hotline at (571) 272-4000.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,190	07/20/2009	Young-Soon Cho	1630-0668PUS7	3636

2292 7590 08/03/2009
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/03/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Esther H. Chong
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

MAILED

JUL 30 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: CHO, Young-Soon et al.
Serial No.: **12/506,190**
Filed: July 20, 2009
Docket: 1630-0668PUS7
Title: DIGITAL CONTENT DECRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 20, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of

Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/pl/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUL 30 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

Esther H. Chong
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

In re Application of: CHO, Young-Soon et al.
Serial No.: **12/506,198**
Filed: July 20, 2009
Docket: 1630-0668PUS8
Title: DIGITAL CONTENT DECRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 20, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of

Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/pl/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,202	07/20/2009	Young-Soon CHO	1630-0668PUS9	3660

2292 7590 08/04/2009

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/04/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

Esther H. Chong
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

MAILED

AUG 03 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: CHO, Young-Soon et al
Serial No.: **12/506,202**
Filed: July 20, 2009
Atty. Docket No: 1630-0668PUS9
Title of Invention: DIGITAL CONTENT DECRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 20, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and

arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/bp/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,205	07/20/2009	Young-Soon CHO	1630-0668PUS10	3666

2292 7590 08/04/2009

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2432	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/04/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
WASHINGTON, DC 20231
www.uspto.gov

Esther H. Chong
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

MAILED

AUG 03 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: CHO, Young-Soon et al
Serial No.: **12/506,205**
Filed: July 20, 2009
Atty. Docket No.: 1630-0668PUS10
Title of Invention: DIGITAL CONTENT DECRYPTING APPARATUS AND OPERATING METHOD THEREOF

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 20, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and

arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed the undersigned whose telephone number is (571) 272-3902. If attempts to reach the undersigned by telephone are unsuccessful, Kim Huynh, Quality Assurance Specialist, can be reached at (571) 272-4147.

/bp/

/Beatriz Prieto/

Beatriz Prieto, Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400
Network, Multiplexing, Cable and Security

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,208	07/20/2009	Takashi OSANAI	085026-0015	3673

7590 05/06/2010
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
18191 VON KARMAN AVE.
SUITE 500
IRVINE, CA 92612-7108

EXAMINER
YE, LIN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2622	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/06/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 05/06/2010 NFARMER
07/21/2009 INTEFSW 00036178 502203 12506208
02 FC:1111 540.00 CR

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,543	07/21/2009	Brian Donald Wichner		4275

7590 03/16/2010

Brian D. Wichner
320 - 3rd Street
Otter Rock, OR 97369

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Brian D. Wichner
320 - 3rd Street
Otter Rock OR 97369

MAR 16 2010

In re Application of	:	
Wichner	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/506,543	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7/21/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No.	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 2/11/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

As set forth at numeral 7 in the Requirements section of the Notice, the petition to make special must be accompanied by a request for early publication and the publication fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d). Petitioner's deposit account has been charged the $300 early publication fee in accordance with this notice.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1795 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,548	07/21/2009	Sosuke AKAO	1186.1069C	4284

21171 7590 09/23/2009

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2871	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

In re Application of
Sosuke AKAO, et al.
Application No.: 12/506,548
Filed: 21 July 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 1186.1069C
For: RETARDATION SUBSTRATE, METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME, AND LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY

: DECISION ON REQUEST TO
: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT
: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
: PROGRAM AND PETITION
: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
: 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 24 July 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **DISMISSED**.

Discussion

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application is

    a. a Paris Convention application which either
        i. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the JPO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

    or

    b. a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
        i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or

iii. contains no priority claim,

or

c. a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
   i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
   ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
   iii. contains no priority claim;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s);
   b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
3. Applicant must:
   a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;
4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
5. Applicant must submit:
   a. Documentation of prior office action:
      i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
      ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
      iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;
   b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
6. Applicant must submit:
   a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
   b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);
7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

This request to participate in the PPH program and petition is assessed as follows:

Requirements 1, 4, and 7 above are considered to have been met. The request to participate in the PPH program, however, fails to meet requirements 2, 3, 5, and 6.

Regarding requirement 2, Petitioner has submitted the English translations of the allowable claims from the JPO applications JP2008-285209 and JP2008-285210 and the English translation verification statements but NOT submitted a copy of the allowable claims from each of the above-identified JPO applications.

Regarding requirement 3, Petitioner has not amended the claims of the instant U.S. application to sufficiently correspond to the allowable claims of the Japanese applications.

Claims 33 of the instant U.S. application is directed to a product made by a manufacturing method while claim 11 of the JPO application 2008-285209 is directed to an apparatus.

Claims 40 of the instant U.S. application is directed to product made by a manufacturing method while claim 11 of the JPO application 2008-285209 is directed to an apparatus.

Claim 49 of the instant U.S. application recites "wherein an exposure value of each of the regions in step (d) is set such that a sum of the exposure values in steps (d) and (b) is equal to that of the region to which the light exposure is performed at the maximum exposure value in the step (b)" while claim 5 of the JPO application 2008-285210 recites "wherein an exposure value of each of the regions when the light irradiation is performed while keeping a temperature high enough for the liquid crystal compound to be maintained in the isotropic phase is set such that the sum of the particular exposure value and that of the light exposure in step (b) is equal to that of the region to which the light exposure is performed at the maximum exposure value in the step (b)."

Regarding requirement 5, Petitioner has NOT submitted a copy of the office action just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO applications 2008-285209 and 2008-285210.

Regarding requirement 6, Petitioner has NOT submitted copies of the foreign references cited in the JPO applications 2008-285209 and 2008-285210 and listed on the IDSs.

Applicant is given **ONE** opportunity within a time period of **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS**, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this decision to correct the deficiencies. **NO EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136 IS PERMITTED.** If the deficiencies are not corrected with the time period given, the application will await action in its regular turn.

Response must be filed via the Electronic Filing System (EFS) with the Document Code PPH.REQUEST. Any preliminary amendments and IDS submitted with the PPH documents must be separately indexed as a preliminary amendment and IDS, respectively.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Day at (571) 272-1568.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

Michael Day TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,548	07/21/2009	Sosuke AKAO	1186.1069C	4284

21171 7590 12/03/2009

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER	
KIM, RICHARD H	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2871	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

STAAS & HALSEY LLP
SUITE 700
1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

In re Application of
Sosuke AKAO, et al.
Application No.: 12/506,548
Filed: 21 July 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 1186.1069C
For: RETARDATION SUBSTRATE, METHOD OF MANUFACTURING THE SAME, AND LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY

: DECISION ON REQUEST TO
: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT
: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
: PROGRAM AND PETITION
: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
: 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed 24 July 2009, and renewed request, filed 23 October 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

Discussion

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

1. The U.S. application is

    a. a Paris Convention application which either
        i. validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the JPO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

    or

    b. a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
        i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
        ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or

iii. contains no priority claim,

or

c. a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
   i. validly claims priority to an application filed in the JPO, or
   ii. validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
   iii. contains no priority claim;

2. Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s);
   b. An English translation of the allowable/patentable claim(s) and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
3. Applicant must:
   a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s) and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;
4. Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
5. Applicant must submit:
   a. Documentation of prior office action:
      i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) or
      ii. if the allowable/patentable claims(s) are from a "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
      iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;
   b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;
6. Applicant must submit:
   a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
   b. Copies of the documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application);
7. The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

This request to participate in the PPH program and petition the requirements (1) through (7), above.

CONCLUSION

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Response must be filed via the Electronic Filing System (EFS) with the Document Code PPH.PET.652.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Day at 571-272-1568.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc.index.html.

This application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Day
TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,605	07/21/2009	Andrew BOWDLER	042981.00021	4400

32294 7590 01/20/2010

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE
14TH FLOOR
VIENNA, VA 22182-6212

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2881	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
01/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE
14TH FLOOR
VIENNA VA 22182-6212

In re Application of
Andrew BOWDLER
Application No.: 12/506,605
Filed: 21 July 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 042981.00021
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ION AXIAL SPATIAL DISTRIBUTION FOCUSING

: DECISION ON REQUEST TO
: PARTICIPATE IN THE PATENT
: PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
: PROGRAM AND PETITION
: TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
: 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed November 18, 2009.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the UK IPO, note where the UK IPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the UK IPO application with similar claims and the UK IPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the UK IPO application(s);

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the UK IPO application(s); and
b. Submit a claims correspondence table;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

(6) Applicant must submit:

A copy of all of the office actions from each of the UK IPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims that are the basis for the request or request that the USPTO obtain a copy from the UK IPO. If the USPTO is not able to obtain a copy of all of the office actions from the UK IPO, the applicant will be notified and requested to provide the necessary documents.

(7) Applicant must submit:

a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the UK IPO examiner in the UK IPO office action (unless already submitted in this application); and
b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application)

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

This application will be forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-4549.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

Lee W. Young
TQAS
Technology Center 2800 – Semiconductors
Electrical & Optical Systems & Components

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DRW Oct-09

COPY MAILED

NOV 10 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1875 EYE STREET, N.W.
SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON DC 20006

In re Application of :
Tracy Hedditch : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/506,608 :
Deposited: July 21, 2009 :
Atty Docket No. 21854-00114-US1 :

This is in response to the "Response To Notice Of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application and Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.57(a)" filed on August 19, 2009 requesting that the above-identified application be accorded a filing date of July 21 2009.

The petition is **Granted**.

Application papers in the above-identified application were deposited on July 21 2009. However, on August 6, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mailed a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application," notifying applicant the application had not been accorded a filing date because the application was deposited without a specification pursuant to 35 USC 112.

In response, applicant timely filed this petition. Applicant requests that the application be amended to include the inadvertently omitted specification on the basis that the application as filed contained a prior benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.78 to a prior filed and co-pending application (12/441,001) in which the inadvertently omitted specification is found.

On September 21, 2004, § 1.57 was added to read, in pertinent part that:

> (a) Subject to the conditions and requirements of this paragraph, if all or a portion of the specification or drawing(s) is inadvertently omitted from an application, but the application contains … a claim under 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional or international application, that was present on the filing date of the application, and the inadvertently omitted portion of the specification or drawing(s) is completely contained in the prior-filed application, the claim under … § 1.78 shall also be considered an incorporation by reference of the prior-filed application as to the

inadvertently omitted portion of the specification or drawing(s).

(1) The application must be amended to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the specification or drawing(s) within any time period set by the Office, but in no case later than the close of prosecution as defined by § 1.114(b), or abandonment of the application, whichever occurs earlier;

(i) Supply a copy of the prior-filed application, except where the prior-filed application is an application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111;

(ii) Supply an English language translation of any prior-filed application that is in a language other than English; and

(iii)Identify where the inadvertently omitted portion of the specification or drawings can be found in the prior-filed application.

(3) If an application is not otherwise entitled to a filing date under § 1.53(b), the amendment must be by way of a petition pursuant to this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(f).

It is noted that the application as filed included a claim under 1.78 for the benefit of prior-filed application No. 12/441,001. Thus, pursuant to 1.57, the application as filed is considered to have incorporated by reference the prior filed application as to the inadvertently omitted portion of the specification.

The instant petition includes a copy of the prior-filed application, and an identification of where the inadvertently omitted portions of the specification may be found in the prior-filed application, along with the necessary petition fee.

In view thereof, the petition is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated above.

The $400 petition fee will not be refunded as it is required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a)(3).

The application is being forwarded to the Office of Patent Application Processing for **according of a filing date of July 21,**

2009, using the application papers received in the Office on that date and the specification submitted on August 19, 2009.

Telephone inquiries specific to this decision should be directed to Petitions Attorney Charlema R. Grant at 571.272.3215.

Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,647	07/21/2009	Takeshi TANAKA	10873.2206USU1	4469

52835 7590 05/24/2010

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C.
P.O. BOX 2902
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902

EXAMINER	
SALVATORE, LYNDA	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1786	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
05/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BC

May 24, 2010

In re application of Takeshi Tanaka et al. Serial No. 12/506,647 Filed: July 21, 2009 For: FLAME RETARDANT SYNTHETIC FIBER, FLAME RETARDANT FIBER COMPOSITE, PRODUCTION METHOD THEREFOR AND TEXTILE PRODUCT	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the PCT Patent Prosecution Highway (PCT-PPH) pilot program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed April 05, 2010, to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PCT-PPH pilot program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must disclose an eligible relationship to one or more PCT applications where the ISA or IPEA are the JPO, EPO, or USPTO;

(2) At least one claim in the PCT application has novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability and must be free of any observations in Box VIII in the latest work product in the international stage or applicant must identify and explain why the claim(s) is/are not subject to the observation in Box VIII;

(3) Applicant must submit a copy of the claim(s) from the PCT application(s) that have novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate, if the claims are not in the English language;

(4) Applicant must submit a claims correspondence table in English and all the independent claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the claim(s) that have novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability in the PCT application(s);

(5) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(6) Applicant must submit a copy of the latest international work product from the PCT application indicating that the claim(s) have novelty, inventive step, and industrial applicability along with an English translation thereof if the latest international work product is not in the English language;

(7) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the PCT examiner in the international work product along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(8) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Blaine Copenheaver, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1156.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Blaine Copenheaver/

_____

Blaine Copenheaver
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/506,740	07/21/2009	David John Tupman	20750P-001011US	4628

65656 7590 06/16/2010

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
8TH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2115	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TOWSEND AND TOWNSEND
AND CREW, LLP
Two Embarcadero Center
8th Floor
San Francisco CA 94111

In re Application of :
TUPMAN, David et al. :
Application No. 12/506,740 :
Filed: July 21, 2009 :
For: **METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR DISCOVERING A POWER SOURCE ON A PERIPHERAL BUS** :

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed June 8, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2115 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Eddie C. Lee at (571) 272-1732.

/Eddie C. Lee/

Eddie C. Lee
Quality Assurance Specialist, TC 2100

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

AUG 13 2009

Burns & Levinson LLP
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110

In re application of
Scott Samson et al.
Application No. 12/506,754
Filed: July 21, 2009
For: FIREARM MULTITOOL

: **DECISION ON PETITION**
: **TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR**
: **NEW APPLICATION**
: **UNDER 37 CFR 1.102**

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 21, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable expectation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 UDC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The petition in this case fails to comply with conditions II.5.2, II.6.3 and II.6.5, above.

As to condition II.5.2, while the classification search appears adequate, the text search performed, because the search failed to search whole classes, at times is limited to abstracts and titles, and has only done extremely limited searches that have searched text across all classes, may not have been done using the "broadest reasonable interpretation" of the claims as is required. The USPTO website has an example of the proper manner of performing and documenting a preexamination search for Accelerated Examination petitions at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/accelerated/. Furthermore, samples of search logic to be used in this application are provided below:

42/$.ccls. and (tool$3 same (handgrip or grip)), 7/$.ccls. and (tool$3 same (handgrip or grip)), 81/$.ccls. and (tool$3 same (handgrip or grip))

42/$.ccls. and tool$3, 7/$.ccls. and (firearm or pistol or weapon or handgrip or rifle or grip or shotgun or gun), 81/$.ccls. and (firearm or pistol or weapon or handgrip or rifle or grip or shotgun or gun)

(firearm or gun or pistol or rifle or shotgun or weapon) and (tool$3 same (handgrip or grip))

This sample search logic is not meant to be the sole search logic that can or should be employed in this application, but is meant solely as an example of a starting off point for applicant's assistance.

Many of the more common limitations present in the claims are apparently not found in any of the cited references. Many of these claims, for example claims 3-4, 9-10, 12 and 17, but certainly not limited to these claims, appear to contain limitations that are quite common and it strains credulity to assert that nowhere in the prior art are located these additional claimed limitations. The lack of finding art on what appears to be limitations quite common in the art is a further indication that a search of the 'broadest reasonable interpretation" of the invention has not been properly completed. It appears that the search may have been completed on the concept of the disclosed invention rather than the specifics of the "claimed invention" as is required.

As to condition II.6.3, there is not a satisfactory detailed explanation of claim patentability over each of the references. Specifically, in explaining the patentability of claim 1 for example (although the same issue may apply to the other independent claims), in the Detailed Explanation of Patentability, first paragraph, there are limitations that are acknowledged as being taught by the various prior art references, yet it is argued that the combination of these limitations are not taught by the art "singularly or in combinations". There is no explanation why the claimed limitations would be a patentable distinction over a combination of references teaching the appropriate

limitation of claim 1. If individual limitations are admitted as being taught by the art cited, more is needed than a conclusion that the claims are also patentable over the references taken in combination; an analysis as to why the combination of references will not or cannot read on the claimed subject matter is required. Further regarding condition II.6.3, there is not a satisfactory detailed explanation of claim patentability over each of the references. The explanation needs to specify how each of the claims (not just the independent claims) are patentable over each of the cited references, which includes the need to specify whether each of the dependent claims are separately patentable beyond the limitations contained in the independent claims, and if so how, or a statement made that the dependent claims are not separately patentable. Also, when explaining that a limitiation is not taught by the prior art it should be made clear which specific portion of the limitation is not taught or make it clear that no part of the limitation is taught by any of the references.

The USPTO website has an example of the proper manner of documenting limitations taught by cited art, and the manner of explaining claim patentability over the references, for an Accelerated Examination Support Document at:
http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/accelerated/

As to condition to II.6.5, the showing of where each limitation of each of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112 in the written description of the application is sufficient. However, similar support (if any) for the claimed invention must additionally be shown in any parent applications upon which priority is claimed under 35 USC 119. Specifically, for parent application 12/353,808 and provisional application 60/872,686, a listing of where the limitations of the claims find support is necessary in order to allow the examiner to determine the effective filing dates of the various claims.

Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **DISMISSED**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Quality Assurance Specialist Steven N. Meyers, at (571) 272-6611.



Steven N. Meyers,
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

Sm/sm: 8/10/09

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 21 2009

Burns & Levinson LLP
125 Summer Street
Boston, MA 02110

In re application of
Scott Samson et al.
Application No. 12/506,754
Filed: July 21, 2009
For: FIREARM MULTITOOL

: **DECISION ON PETITION**
: **TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR**
: **NEW APPLICATION**
: **UNDER 37 CFR 1.102**

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on September 14, 2009, to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The renewed petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

While the renewed petition has been granted, the requirement to "specify how each of the claims (not just the independent claims) are patentable over each of the cited references, which includes the need to specify whether each of the dependent claims are separately patentable beyond the limitations contained in the independent claims, and if so how, or a statement made that the dependent claims are not separately patentable" has at best, been only minimally complied with. The reasoning for some of the patentable distinctions (i.e. indicating that the tools claimed in claim 16 are taught by the prior art but are patentable because "they are not movably mounted to the frame" is not seen as being patentable **beyond the limitations contained in the independent claim,** as claim 16 does not require the tool to be movably mounted to the frame) appear stretched or fabricated in an attempt to avoid admitting that even the most basic structural limitations of the dependent claims are not patentably distinct. Nevertheless, taken as a whole, the renewed petition is seen as remedying enough of the deficiencies outlined in the dismissal of August 12, 2009 to warrant a granting of the petition.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed to a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be

examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Quality Assurance Specialist Steven N. Meyers, at (571) 272-6611.

Steven N. Meyers,
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

Sm/sm: 9/21/09

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

XENOPORT, INC.
3410 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY
SANTA CLARA CA 95051

MAILED

MAY 18 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Mark A. GALLOP, et al :
Application No. 12/506,961 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 21, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. X-0106R2 :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed February 22, 2010, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to timely pay the issue and publication fees on or before February 3, 2010. As required by the Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due, mailed November 3, 2009, which set a statutory period for reply of three (3) months. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on February 4, 2010.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of payment of the issue fee of $755 and publication fee of $300; (2) the petition fee of $810; and (3) and the required statement of unintentional delay.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-6735.

The application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for processing into a patent.

/dcg/
Diane C. Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ROSSI, KIMMS & MCDOWELL LLP.
20609 GORDON PARK SQUARE, SUITE 150
ASHBURN VA 20147

MAILED

SEP 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Goldenberg et al. :
Application No. 12/506,992 : ON PETITION
Filing: July 21, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. IMMU:022US1 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 21, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement by the applicant's attorney that they are 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing (OPAP) for further processing in accordance with this decision.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP. (PA)
2 PALO ALTO SQUARE
3000 EL CAMINO REAL, SUITE 700
PALO ALTO, CA 94306

MAILED

JUL 22 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Catherine Lin-Hendel
Application No. 12/507,000
Filed: July 21, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 101156-5014US

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW FROM
RECORD

This is a decision on the request to withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 CFR §§ 1.36(b), or 10.40 filed June 18, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

The request was signed by Douglas J. Crisman on behalf of himself and all attorneys/agents associated with Customer Number 24341. Therefore, Douglas J. Crisman and all attorneys/agents associated with Customer Number 24341have been withdrawn.

Applicant is reminded that there are no attorneys/agents of record at this time.

The Office will no longer change the correspondence address to that of a new practitioner unless the Request is accompanied by a power of attorney to a new practitioner (e.g., Form PTO/SB/82). Thus, the request to change the correspondence cannot be accepted since it is not of the sole inventor or the intervening assignee that complied with the requirements of 37 CFR 3.73(b)[1]. All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above listed address until otherwise properly notified by the applicant.

This application is being referred Technology Center Art Unit 3625, to await a response to the Office action mailed May 12, 2010.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.

Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: ANATOLY S. WEISER
3525 DEL MAR HEIGHTS ROAD, #295
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130

[1] In order to request or take action in a patent matter, the assignee must establish its ownership of the patent to the satisfaction of the Director. In this regard, a Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must have either: (i) documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee (*e.g.*, copy of an executed assignment), and a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or concurrently is being submitted for recordation pursuant to § 3.11; or (ii) a statement specifying where documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (*e.g.*, reel and frame number).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP
800 SUPERIOR AVENUE
SUITE 1400
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

COPY MAILED

SEP 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Bernard Lerner, et. al.
Application No. 12/507,220
Filed: July 22, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 02946/05034

DECISION ON PETITION
TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 22, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement from the inventor that he is over 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3721 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3226.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Andrea Smith
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/507,595	07/22/2009	Bumsung KIM	1630-0742PUS1	6311

2292 7590 06/28/2010
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

JUN 28 2010

In re Application of	:	
Kim et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/507,595	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7/22/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **1630-0742PUS1**	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 6/17/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1795 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LAWRENCE S. COHEN, ESQ.
LAW OFFICE OF LAWRENCE S. COHEN
10960 WILSHIRE BLVD
SUITE 1220
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

MAILED

MAY 07 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Schwenke et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/507,770	:	TO WITHDRAW
Filed: July 22, 2009	:	FROM RECORD
Attorney Docket No. 09-110	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed March 18, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office will require the practitioner(s) to certify that he, she or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the reply period, which the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any replies that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant to 37 CFR 10.40 (c).

The request was signed by Lawrence S. Cohen, on behalf of all practitioners of record.

Lawrence S. Cohen has been withdrawn as attorney of record. Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

The correspondence address of record has been changed and the new correspondence address is the address indicated below.

There are no outstanding Office actions that require a reply from the applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-6059. All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: DONALD R. SCHWENKE
2210 SOLEDAD CANYON RD. UNIT L
ACTON, CA 93510

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/507,770	07/22/2009	Donald R. Schwenke	09-110

CONFIRMATION NO. 6646

POWER OF ATTORNEY NOTICE

27219
LAWRENCE S. COHEN, ESQ.
LAW OFFICE OF LAWRENCE S. COHEN
10960 WILSHIRE BLVD
SUITE 1220
LOS ANGELES, CA 90024

Date Mailed: 05/06/2010

NOTICE REGARDING CHANGE OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed 03/18/2010.

- The withdrawal as attorney in this application has been accepted. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33.

/atkelley/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BEUSSE WOLTER SANKS MORA & MAIRE, P. A.
390 NORTH ORANGE AVENUE
SUITE 2500
ORLANDO FL 32801

MAILED

MAR 25 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Applicant: Anderson
Application No. 12/507,787
Filed: July 22, 2009
For: BREAST SHAPING AND LIFTING SUPPORT GARMENT
Pub. No.: US 2010/0022165 A1
Pub. Date: January 28, 2010

: Decision on
: Petition

This is a decision in response to the petition entitled "Petition to Withdraw Application from Publication under 37 CFR 1.181 and 1.82", received on February 18, 2010.

The petition is dismissed.

Petitioner asserts that it was his intent to file a non-publication request at the time of filing the application but that unfortunately it was not filed with the application. Petitioner asserts that the delay in filing the non-publication request was unintentional and requests that the Office now accept the non-publication request and withdraw the above identified application from publication.

35 U.S.C. 122(b) requires the United States Patent and Trademark Office to publish utility and plant applications filed on or after November 29, 2000, unless, on filing, applicant requested nonpublication with the required certification. The statute also permits the Office to publish applications filed before November 29, 2000, when applicant requests publication of such an application.

To avoid pre-grant publication of a patent application, applicant must certify that the invention disclosed in the application has not and will not be the subject of an application filed in another country, or under a multilateral international agreement, that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing. See 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(I), 37 CFR 1.213 and MPEP 1122. The request for nonpublication under 37 CFR 1.213(a) must be submitted with the application **upon filing** (this is a statutory requirement and cannot be waived). Applicant did not file the application with a nonpublication request in compliance with the statute and the application published on January 28, 2010 as US 2010/0022165 A1.

The Office has no statutory authority to withdraw a properly published patent application publication or to accept a nonpublication request that was not filed with the application. Applicant did not file the application with a nonpublication request and the application is not subject to a secrecy order, so therefore the application was properly published in accordance with established procedure. The Office is without authority to grant Petitioner's request.

The rules and statutory provisions governing the operations of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office require payment of a fee on filing each petition. *See* 35 U.S.C. § 41(a)(7). The Petition fees have been received.

Inquiries regarding this communication should be directed the undersigned at (571) 272-7709.

Mark Polutta
Senior Legal Advisor
Office of Patent Legal Administration
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/507,793	07/22/2009	Akhlesh Gupta	14920.0108	6707

27890 7590 07/13/2010
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1795	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/13/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20036

JUL 13 2010

In re Application of	:	
Gupta et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/507,793	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7/22/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **14920.0108**	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 7/2/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1795 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/507,801	07/23/2009	Derek James MCPHEE	11836-053-999	6724

20583 7590 10/02/2009

JONES DAY
222 EAST 41ST ST
NEW YORK, NY 10017

EXAMINER	
DUCHENEAUX, FRANK D	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1794	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OCT 2 2009

CST

In re application of :
Derek James McPhee et al :
Serial No. 12/507,801 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 23, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL
For: ADHESIVE COMPOSITIONS COMPRISING :
A POLYFARNESENE :

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 23, 2009 to make the above-identified application special under the accelerated examination program.

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED.**

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and

arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office.

In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Christine Tierney, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1055.

/Christine Tierney/

_____

Christine Tierney
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
RICHARD E. SWAN

Application No. 12507893

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. A42P1383US3

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 23-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

COPY MAILED

SEP 29 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

LOUIS J. WILLE
BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB COMPANY
PATENT DEPARTMENT
P O BOX 4000
PRINCETON NJ 08543-4000

In re Application of :
Francis Lee :
Application No. 12/508,100 : ON PETITION
Deposited: July 23, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. LD0268 DIV3 :

This is in response to the "RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION" filed August 19, 2009, requesting that the above-referenced application be accorded a filing date of July 23, 2009. This petition is being treated pursuant to 37 CFR 1.53(e)(2)[1].

Application papers in the above-identified application were deposited on July 23, 2009. However, on August 11, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mailed applicants a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application," notifying applicants that the application papers had not been accorded a filing date because the application was deposited without drawings. In response, applicants timely filed this petition. Applicant requests that the application be amended to include the inadvertently omitted drawings on the basis that the application as filed contained a prior benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.55 or 1.78.

Petitioner's arguments and evidence have been considered. However, a review of the application confirms that, as filed, the application contained at least one method claim. MPEP 601.01(f) provides that:

> It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence).

Thus, pursuant to § 601.01(f), a drawing is not considered essential for a filing date. The instant application is entitled to a filing date without drawings present in the application.

Accordingly, the Office should have granted the application a filing date and mailed a Notice of Omitted Items instead of a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application. As stated in

[1] Any request for review of a notification pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section, or a notification that the original application papers lack a portion of the specification or drawing(s), must be by way of a petition pursuant to this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(f). In the absence of a timely (§ 1.181(f)) petition pursuant to this paragraph, the filing date of an application in which the applicant was notified of a filing error pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section will be the date the filing error is corrected.

MPEP 601.01(g) under the section entitled, "Application Entitled to a Filing Date," applicant may submit an amendment to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the drawing(s) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a):

> [i]f an application was filed on or after September 21, 2004, and contains a claim under 37 CFR 1.55 for priority of a prior-filed foreign application, or a claim under 37 CFR 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional, or international application that was present on the filing date of the application, and the omitted portion of the drawing(s) was inadvertently omitted from the application and is completely contained in the prior-filed application[.]

Please note that no petition is required and that the amendment must comply with 37 CFR 1.57(a) and 37 CFR 1.121. See MPEP § 201.17. Any amendment to include the inadvertently omitted drawing(s) will be considered by the examiner.

To the extent the instant petition requests a filing date of July 23, 2009 with no drawings present in the application, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Given the basis for granting this petition, the petition fee will not be assessed.

Pursuant to this decision, the application will be referred to Office of Patent Application Processing for:

- **correction of the filing date to July 23, 2009;**
- **for indication in Office records, as appropriate, that "0" sheets of drawings were present on filing** and
- **for issuance of a filing receipt.**

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3215.

Charlema Grant
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Ronald R. Nespor

Application No. 12508246

Filed: July 23,2009

Attorney Docket No. 1825.0101

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 11-FEB-2010 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

SEP 23 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/508,260	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 23, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 345785US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 23, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

SEP 23 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/508,265 :
Filed: July 23, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 345780US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 23, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/508,269	07/23/2009	Yousuke Isowaki	SUTOSH.447AUS	7676

20995 7590 04/29/2010

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE, CA 92614

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1792	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/29/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com
efiling@kmob.com
eOAPilot@kmob.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

April 28, 2010 BC

In re application of	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Yousuke Isowaki et al.	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Serial No. 12/508,269	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Filed: July 23, 2009	:	PROGRAM AND
For: METHOD OF MANUFACTURING	:	PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
MAGNETIC RECORDING MEDIUM	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program filed March 18, 2010.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO, note where the JPO application with similar claims is not the same application from which the U.S. application claims priority that the applicant must identify the relationship between the JPO application with similar claims and the JPO priority application;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
   a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) or if a copy of the allowable/patentable claims is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS) applicant may request the USPTO to obtain a copy from DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy from the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;
   b. An English translation of the allowable/ patentable claim(s), if applicable; and
   c. A statement that the English translation is accurate, if applicable;

(3) Applicant must:
   a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s); and
   b. Submit a claims correspondence table in English;

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit:
   a. Documentation of prior office action:

i. a copy of the office action(s) just prior to the "Decision to Grant a Patent" from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claims(s) or
ii. if the allowable/patentable claim(s) are from "Notification of Reasons for Refusal" then the Notification of Reasons for Refusal or
iii. if the JPO application is a first action allowance then no office action from the JPO is necessary should be indicated on the request/petition form;
Further, if a copy of the documents from a or b is available via the Dossier Access System (DAS), applicant may request the USPO obtain a copy from the DAS; however, if the USPTO is unable to obtain a copy of the DAS, the applicant will be required to submit a copy;

b. An English language translation of the JPO Office action from (5)(a)(i)-(ii) above if applicable; and
c. A statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit:
a. An IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action (unless already submitted in this application)
b. Copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications (unless already submitted in this application); and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Blaine Copenheaver, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-1156.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

/Blaine Copenheaver/

Blaine Copenheaver
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/508,443	07/23/2009	Satish Mehta	08226/0209548-US0	8028

38880 7590 08/18/2009

Yahoo! Inc.
c/o DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. BOX 770
Church Street Station
NEW YORK, NY 10008-0770

EXAMINER	
CALDWELL, ANDREW T	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2442	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
08/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

John W. Branch
Yahoo! Inc.
c/o DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. BOX 770
Church Street Station
New York, NY 10008-0770

MAILED

AUG 16 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Patent Application of: MEHTA, Satish et al
Serial No.: **12/508,443**
Filed: July 23, 2009
Docket: 08226/0209548-US0
Title: CUSTOMIZING AND STORING WEB PAGES FOR MOBILE ON WEB

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 23, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

Note: Regarding the pre-examination search document, the Petitioner should be aware that class/subclass 747/700 is incorrect. Applicant upon performing any subsequent search updates should make the necessary corrections (where applicable).

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" issued June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant

after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Tod Swann, Quality Assurance Specialist, at (571) 272-3612. A second point of Contact is Kim Huynh at (571)-272-4147.

/Beatriz Prieto/ for

Tod R. Swann, WQAS 2430
Technology Center 2400
Computer Security, Architecture and Software

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Yahoo! Inc.
c/o Frommer Lawrence & Haug LLP
745 Fifth Avenue
NEW YORK, NY 10151

Mail Date: 04/21/2010

Applicant	: Satish Mehta	: DECISION ON REQUEST FOR
Patent Number	: 7627648	: RECALCULATION of PATENT
Issue Date	: 12/01/2009	: TERM ADJUSTMENT IN VIEW
Appliction No	: 12/508,443	: OF WYETH AND NOTICE OF INTENT TO
Filed	: 07/23/2009	: ISSUE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION
		:

The Request for Recalculation is **GRANTED** to the extent indicated.

The patent term adjustment has been determined to be **0** days. The USPTO will *sua sponte* issue a certificate of correction reflecting the amount of PTA days determined by the recalculation.

Prior to the issuance of the certificate of correction, the USPTO will afford patentee an opportunity to be heard and request reconsideration. Accordingly, patentee has **one month or thirty (30) days**, whichever is longer, to file a request for reconsideration of this patent term adjustment calculation. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3)(B)(ii) and 37 CFR 1.322(a)(4). No extensions of time will be granted under 37 CFR 1.136.

Patentee should use document code PET.OP if electronically filing a request for reconsideration of this patent term adjustment calculation. The patentee must also include the information required by 37 CFR 1.705(b)(2) and the fee required by 37 CFR 1.18(e). If patentee does not file a timely request for reconsideration of this patent term adjustment calculation including the information required by 37 CFR 1.705(b)(2) and the fee required by 37 CFR 1.18(e), the USPTO will issue a certificate of correction reflecting the PTA determination noted above.

Patentee should be aware that in order to preserve the right to review in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia of the USPTO patent term adjustment determination, patentee must ensure that he or she also take the steps required under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A) in a timely manner. Nothing in the request for recalculation should be construed as providing an alternative time frame for commencing a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(4)(A).

Any questions concerning this decision should be directed to the Office of Patent Legal Administration at 571-272-7702.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED NOV 10 2009

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

In re Application of: McDonald et al. Application No.: 12/508,473 Filed: July 23, 2009 Title: SUSPENSION PACKAGING ASSEMBLY	DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 23, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions I:1-4, II: 1-5, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 6, 6.1, 6.5, and 6.6 above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with conditions II : 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 above. Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Discussion

When referring to "the petition" hereinbelow, the received papers under consideration include the four page PTO/SB/28 form, the "pre-examination search document" including pages 1-6; the "accelerated examination support document" comprising pages 1-20, and an Information Disclosure Statement including form PTO/SB/08A(substitute PTO-1449 A).

Regarding the requirements of section II element 6.2 outlined above, the petition fails to identify all of the limitations in the application claims that are disclosed in each of the reference(s) and where the limitation is disclosed in each of the cited reference. As stated in the policy published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), for each reference cited, the examination support document must include an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference. The policy statement does not caveat "the independent claims", nor does it allow for grouping and general discussions. A grantable petition must delineate every limitation of every claim and identify where the equivalent limitation is disclosed in each piece of prior art cited on the IDS. As is published on www.uspto.gov/web/patents/accelerated/ in "Guidelines for Applicants under the new accelerated examination procedures"):

> *For each reference cited, the accelerated examination support document must include an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference. Applicants should specify where in each of the cited references the particular claim limitations are found. This process is intended to be analogous to the analysis an examiner uses when locating a relevant prior art reference and then determining whether the reference contains the claimed limitation. For each claimed limitation, the examiner would consider the disclosure of the reference and all reasonable portions in the reference where the limitation is shown. When preparing an Office Action, the examiner would correlate the limitation to the portion of reference which best characterizes the limitation. This part of the AESD is not intended to be an exhaustive listing of every conceivable subjective interpretation of how a claim limitation may read on the reference. Applicants should point out what are considered to be the relevant representations of the limitation in the reference. A limitation may be found in more than one portion of the reference and should be pointed out, yet the intention is not to have applicants point out every conceivable interpretation. The USPTO will adopt a rule of reason when evaluating this portion of the AESD. Unless the representation is so deficient that it would materially effect examination of the application (e.g., numerous instances where the limitations are not shown where applicant states they are), the representation will be deemed to be sufficient for this part of the AESD.*

Petitioner does not address each limitation and where it is (or state that it is not) found in each closest prior art. Petitioner includes a reference to U.S. Patent No. 6,073,761 to Jones and comments that it is disqualified as prior art. It does not appear that it is disqualified as prior art since the filing date (May 11, 1999) and the Date of Patent (June 13, 2000) are both before the earliest effective date of petitioner's application (July 31, 2000 based on provisional application 60/227,724). Since petitioner included a reference to the '761 Jones patent, it is assumed to be

part of the closest prior art and should be discussed. It appears it also should be added to the Information Disclosure Statement.

Similarly, with respect to the requirements of section II element 6.3 outlined above, the petition fails to provide a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over (each of) the reference(s) with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c). Petitioners should be specific in their explanation and include the identification of specific claim limitations that support their position, where appropriate. Petitioners must distinguish each claim from each piece of prior art cited. General statements that the claims are neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by the cited references or that the references are not properly combinable will not be acceptable. The Office cannot infer or guess what petitioner believes the differences between the claims and the teachings of the prior art to be. Petitioner's statements must also be consistent and must be related to the claim language. In the instant petition, there is no detailed explanation of how the claims are patentable over the '761 Jones patent discussed above. For these reasons, the petition does not meet the requirement of section II, element 6.3

Regarding the requirements of section II element 6.4 outlined above, the petition fails to identify a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims. A general statement directed to the overall concept of the invention is not specifically relating the utility to each of the independent claims as is required by the policy. Petitioner should reference the independent claims specifically when discussing the utility of the invention.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address all of the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Linda J. Sholl, TC 3700 Special Programs Examiner, at (571) 272-4391.

Linda J. Sholl
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

MAILED JAN 20 2010

In re Application of: McDonald et al.
Application No.: 12/508,473
Filed: July 23, 2009
Docket: FCMCCG.002C2
Title: Suspension Packaging Assembly

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on December 10, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is GRANTED.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Linda Sholl, Special Programs Examiner, at (571) 272-4391

Linda Sholl

Linda Sholl, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/508,488	07/23/2009	David Baxter	8605.P002	8114

8791 7590 02/24/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2838	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/24/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE CA 94085-4040

In re Application of	:	
BAXTER et al	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/508,488	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 23 June 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 8605.P002	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 11 February 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2838 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

STONEMAN VOLK PATENT GROUP
3770 NORTH 7TH STREET, SUITE 100
PHOENIX, AZ 85014

MAILED

SEP 11 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Jack C. McVickers :
Application No. 12/508,507 : ON PETITION
Filed: July 23, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No: P06711-2 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 23, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement by the applicant's attorney that he is 65 years of age, and is also accompanied with a copy of applicant's Illinois birth certificate. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 2837 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LESAVICH HIGH-TECH LAW GROUP, P.C.
SUITE 325
39 S. LASALLE STREET
CHICAGO IL 60603

MAILED

JUN 14 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Jay W. PETTEGREW, et al :
Application No. 12/508,559 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 23, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 05,130-F : FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed April 28, 2010.

The request is **APPROVED**.

A grantable request to withdraw as attorney/agent of record must be signed by every attorney/agent seeking to withdraw or contain a clear indication that one attorney is signing on behalf of another/others. The Office requires the practitioner(s) requesting withdrawal to certify that he, she, or they have: (1) given reasonable notice to the client, prior to the expiration of the response period, that the practitioner(s) intends to withdraw from employment; (2) delivered to the client or a duly authorized representative of the client all papers and property (including funds) to which the client is entitled; and (3) notified the client of any responses that may be due and the time frame within which the client must respond, pursuant 37 CFR 10.40(c).

The request was signed by Stephen Lesavich on behalf of the attorneys of record associated with Customer No. 32097.

The attorneys of record associated with Customer No. 32097 have been withdrawn.

Applicant is reminded that there is no attorney of record at this time.

The correspondence address of record has been changed and the new correspondence address is the address indicated below until otherwise properly notified.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-6735.

/dcg/
Diane C. Goodwyn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: JAY PETTEGREW
630 SOUTH LINDEN AVENUE
PITTSBURGH, PA 15208

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING OR 371(C) DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTY. DOCKET NO./TITLE
12/508,559	07/23/2009	Jay W. PETTEGREW	05,130-F

CONFIRMATION NO. 8253

POWER OF ATTORNEY NOTICE

32097
LESAVICH HIGH-TECH LAW GROUP, P.C.
SUITE 325
39 S. LASALLE STREET
CHICAGO, IL 60603

OC000000042026399

Date Mailed: 06/10/2010

NOTICE REGARDING CHANGE OF POWER OF ATTORNEY

This is in response to the Power of Attorney filed 04/28/2010.

- The withdrawal as attorney in this application has been accepted. Future correspondence will be mailed to the new address of record. 37 CFR 1.33.

/dcgoodwyn/

Office of Data Management, Application Assistance Unit (571) 272-4000, or (571) 272-4200, or 1-888-786-0101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/508,649	07/24/2009	Akinori Homan	345960US26DIV	8410

7590 03/22/2010
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3611	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Refund Ref:
03/19/2010 [illegible]

Credit Card Refund Total: $540.00

[illegible]

Adjustment date: 03/19/2010 NFARMER
07/24/2009 INTEFSW 00036606 12508649
02 FC:1111 -540.00 CP

FORM PET651G (Rev. 08/07)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/508,753	07/24/2009	Antoine DANCHIN	346294US0	8602

7590 04/16/2010

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1634	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
04/16/2010	ELECTRONIC

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Refund Ref:
04/19/2010 8338382559

Credit Card Refund Total: $540.00

Acct Exp..: XXXXXXXXXXX1002

Adjustment date: 04/19/2010 NFARMER
07/27/2009 INTEFSW 00000056 12508753
02 FC:1111 -540.00 OP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

STRATEGIC PATENTS P.C..
C/O PORTFOLIOIP
P.O. BOX 52050
MINNEAPOLIS MN. 55402

MAILED

MAY 10 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Hart, et al. :
Application No. 12/508,866 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 24, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL
Attorney Docket No. **MITH-00012-P02** : 37 CFR 1.102
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102 filed April 22, 2010, the above-identified application special under the Patent Application Backlog Reduction Stimulus Plan which is a pilot program set forth at 74 Federal Register Notice 62285 (November 27, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 62285 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed prior to October 1, 2009.

The USPTO will accord special status for examination under Patent Application Backlog Reduction Stimulus Plan under the following conditions:

The USPTO will accord special status for examination under Patent Application Backlog Reduction Stimulus Plan under the following conditions:

(1) The application for which special status is sought is a nonprovisional application that has an actual filing date earlier than October 1, 2009, in which the applicant has established small entity status under 37 CFR 1.27;

(2) The applicant has another copending nonprovisional application that has an actual filing date earlier than October 1, 2009, and is complete under 37 CFR 1.53;

(3) The application for which special status is sought and the other copending nonprovisional application either are owned by the same party as of October 1, 2009, or name at least one inventor in common;

(4) The applicant files a letter of express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.138(a) in the copending nonprovisional application before it has been taken up for examination and

a) includes with the letter of express abandonment a statement that the applicant has not and will not file an application that claims the benefit of the expressly abandoned application under any provision of title 35, United States Code, and

b) the applicant agrees not to request a refund of any fees paid in the expressly abandoned application; and

(5) The applicant files a petition under 37 CFR 1.102 in the application for which special status is sought.

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Patent Application Backlog Reduction Stimulus Plan has been waived.

The instant petition complies with the conditions required under Patent Application Backlog Reduction Stimulus Plan. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Kenya A. McLaughlin, Petitions Attorney at 571-272-3222.

All other inquires concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3737 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Anthony Knight
Director
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FELDMAN GALE, P.A.
1700 Market Street
Suite 3130
Philadelphia PA 19103

MAILED
MAY 24 2010
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Robert A. Kennedy
Application No. 12/508,952
Filed: July 24, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 1264.ADAP0005U

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW
FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the request to withdraw as attorney of record under 37 CFR § 1.36, filed March 24, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

The request cannot be approved because it lacks a forwarding correspondence address of the first named inventor or a properly intervening assignee.

If the forwarding correspondence address is to the assignee, the Office will only accept correspondence address changes to the most current address information provided for the assignee of the entire interest *that properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71.* 37 CFR 3.71(c) states:

> *An assignee becomes of record either in a national patent application or a reexamination proceeding by filing a statement in compliance with § 3.73(b) that is signed by a party who is authorized to act on behalf of the assignee.*

The assignee must establish its ownership of the patent to the satisfaction of the Director. In this regard, the statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must have either: (i) documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee (*e.g.*, copy of an executed assignment); and a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or concurrently is being submitted for recordation pursuant to § 3.11; or (ii) a statement specifying where documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (*e.g.*, reel and frame number).

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-2991.

Terri Johnson
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: **ADAPT4, LLC**
1050 WEST NASA BOULEVARD
MELBOURNE, FL 32901

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
IP Department
100 North Tryon Street 42nd Floor
Charlotte NC 28202

COPY MAILED

OCT 13 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Wallace Dale Corwin et al.
Application No. 12/508,995
Filed: July 24, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 26401/10323

DECISION ON PETITION
TO WITHDRAW
FROM RECORD

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed September 9, 2009.

The request is **NOT APPROVED.**

The Office will no longer accept address changes to a new practitioner or law firm filed with a Request, absent the filing of a power of attorney to the new representative. The Office will either change the correspondence address of record to the most current address information provided for the assignee of the entire interest who properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71 or, if no assignee of the entire interest has properly been made of record under 37 CFR 3.71, the most current address information provided for the first named inventor.

Accordingly, the request to withdraw from record cannot be approved because the request to change the correspondence address is not the most current address for the assignee of the entire interest who properly became of record under 37 C.F.R 3.71.

In order to request or take action in a patent matter, the assignee must establish its ownership of the patent to the satisfaction of the Director. In this regard, a Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must have either: (i) documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee (*e.g.,* copy of an executed assignment), and a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or concurrently is being submitted for recordation pursuant to § 3.11; or (ii) a statement specifying where documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (*e.g.*, reel and frame number).

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-identified address until otherwise properly notified.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4618.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH, LLP
IP Department
100 North Tryon Street 42nd Floor
Charlotte NC 28202

COPY MAILED

OCT 13 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Wallace Dale Corwin et al. :
Application No. 12/509,064 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 24, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 26401/10314 : FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed September 9, 2009.

The request is **NOT APPROVED.**

The Office will no longer accept address changes to a new practitioner or law firm filed with a Request, absent the filing of a power of attorney to the new representative. The Office will either change the correspondence address of record to the most current address information provided for the assignee of the entire interest who properly became of record under 37 CFR 3.71 or, if no assignee of the entire interest has properly been made of record under 37 CFR 3.71, the most current address information provided for the first named inventor.

Accordingly, the request to withdraw from record cannot be approved because the request to change the correspondence address is not the most current address for the assignee of the entire interest who properly became of record under 37 C.F.R 3.71.

In order to request or take action in a patent matter, the assignee must establish its ownership of the patent to the satisfaction of the Director. In this regard, a Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) must have either: (i) documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee (*e.g.*, copy of an executed assignment), and a statement affirming that the documentary evidence of the chain of title from the original owner to the assignee was or concurrently is being submitted for recordation pursuant to § 3.11; or (ii) a statement specifying where documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee is recorded in the assignment records of the Office (*e.g.*, reel and frame number).

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-identified address until otherwise properly notified.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-4618.

/Kimberly Inabinet/

Kimberly Inabinet
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/509,136	07/24/2009	Chester A. Farris III	026335-002110US	9359

20350 7590 07/16/2010

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2812	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/16/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94111-3834

In re Application of	:	
FARRIS et al.	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/509,136	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 24 July 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 026335-002110US	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 02 July 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009) and amended by 75 Federal Register Notice 28554 (21 May 2010).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 2) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 3) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 4) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a

request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 8 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Lee W. Young at 571-272-4549.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 2812 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Lee W. Young
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2800

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIW Dec-09

HOWREY LLP
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200
FALLS CHURCH VA 22042-2924

COPY MAILED

DEC 0 7 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:
Ho, Chung, Gendler, Liang, and Zang	: DECISION ACCORDING STATUS UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Application Number: 12/509201	:
Filing Date: 07/24/2009	:
Attorney Docket Number: 07783.0045.CNUS04	:

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) filed on

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioners have shown that the non-signing inventors, Rong-Chang Liang and Jerry Chung, have refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application after having been sent or given a copy of the application papers. Specifically, petitioners have provided declarations by Amy Chao, Administrator of Intellectual Property for SiPix Imaging, Inc., in which she states that she delivered a copy of the application papers and declaration to the mail box at the residence of non-signing inventor Liang, and sent a copy of the application papers and declaration by Federal Express to the last known address of non-signing inventor Chung.

The Office is construing the statements in the petition to mean that the non-signing inventors did not return a copy of the executed Declaration. Petitioners **must** inform the Office if this is an incorrect interpretation.

The showing of record is that the non-signing inventors refused by their conduct to sign and return the declaration naming them as joint inventors along with Ching-Shon Ho, Paul Gendler, and HongMei Zang.

The above-identified application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in Rule 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given the Declaration. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the *Official Gazette*.

The application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing for further processing.

Telephone inquiries related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIW Dec-09

COPY MAILED

DEC 07 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Rong-Chang Liang
875 Brent Drive
Cupertino CA 95014

In re Application of
Ho et al.
Application No. 12/509,201
Filed: July 24, 2009
For: MAGNETOPHORETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETOPHORETIC DISPLAYS

Dear Dr. Liang:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at 571/272-3231. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at 571-272-3150. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to Certification Division at 571-272-3150 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

D Wood

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

HOWREY LLP
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200
FALLS CHURCH VA 22042-2924

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIW Dec-09

Jerry Chung
100 Montelena Court
Mountain View CA 94040

COPY MAILED

DEC 07 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Ho et al.
Application No. 12/509,201
Filed: July 24, 2009
For: MAGNETOPHORETIC AND ELECTROMAGNETOPHORETIC DISPLAYS

Dear Mr. Chung:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining in the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at 571/272-3231. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at 571-272-3150. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to Certification Division at 571-272-3150 or 1-800-972-6382 (outside the Washington D.C. area).

DWood

Douglas I. Wood
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

HOWREY LLP
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT
2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200
FALLS CHURCH VA 22042-2924

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/509,307	07/24/2009	Young Woo YUN	8737.090.20	9711

30827 7590 12/01/2009

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2472	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

DEC 01 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006

In re Application of: Young Woo Yun, et al.
Application No. 12509307
Filed: July 24, 2009
For: METHOD FOR TRANSMITTING PILOT FOR MULTIPLE CARRIER SYSTEM

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 27, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **DISMISSED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition failed items (3) above. The correspondence table lists US application claims 20-26 as corresponding to KIPO application allowed claims 1, 2 and 13-17. The following facts are noted:

a) Claims 1-19 are pending in the US application; there is no preliminary amendment of record requesting the cancellation of claims 1-19 and the addition of claims 20-26. Claims 20-26 do not currently exist in the US application.

b) An incorrect US application serial number (12/278,564) is shown in the correspondence tale.

Accordingly, the Petition is **DISMISSED**.

Applicant is given a time period of ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, to correct the deficiencies. NO EXTENSION OF TIME UNDER 37 CFR 1.136 IS PERMITTED.

If the deficiencies are not corrected with the time period given, the application will await action in its regular turn.

Responses must be submitted by EFS-Web using the document description "Petition to make special under Pat Pros Hwy".

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist, Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/509,307	07/24/2009	Young Woo YUN	8737.090.20	9711

30827 7590 03/05/2010

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2472	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
03/05/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED

MAR 05 2010

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON DC 20006

In re Application of: Young Woo Yun, et al.
Application No. 12509307
Filed: July 24, 2009
For: METHOD FOR TRANSMITTING PILOT FOR MULTIPLE CARRIER SYSTEM

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the renewed request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed December 23, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the KIPO;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The original request to participate in the PPH program and petition were dismissed because of incorrect information in the correspondence table This deficiency has been addressed in the renewed request.

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are now found to comply with all the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist, Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K STREET, NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20006

MAILED

JUL 19 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Young Woo YUN, et *al.* :
Application No. 12/509,307 : DECISION GRANTING PETITION
Filed: July 24, 2009 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2)
Attorney Docket No. **8737.090.20** :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2), filed July 19, 2010, to withdraw the above-identified application from issue after payment of the issue fee.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application is withdrawn from issue for consideration of a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 (request for continued examination). *See* 37 CFR 1.313(c)(2).

Petitioner is advised that the issue fee paid on June 16, 2010 cannot be refunded. If, however, this application is again allowed, petitioner may request that it be applied towards the issue fee required by the new Notice of Allowance.[1]

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7253.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2472 for processing of the request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114 and for consideration of the concurrently filed information disclosure statement.

/Monica A. Graves/
Petitions Examiner, Office of Petitions

[1] *The request to apply the issue fee to the new Notice may be satisfied by completing and returning the new Part B – Fee(s) Transmittal Form (along with any balance due at the time of submission). Petitioner is advised that the Issue Fee Transmittal Form must be completed and timely submitted to avoid abandonment of the application.*

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED NOV 04 2009

WOOD AND EISENBERG, PLLC
3975 University Drive
SUITE 330
Fairfax VA 22030

In re Application of: Gabriela Caperon
Application No.: 12/509,314
Filed: July 24, 2009
Title: Baby Carrier

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 24, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any independent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document. An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims are patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The conditions I:1, 3, and 4, II: 1-5, 5.3, 6, 6.1, 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6 above are considered to have been met. However, the petition fails to comply with conditions I 2; II : 5.1, 5.2, 6.2, and 6.3 above. Therefore, the petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

Discussion

When referring to "the petition" hereinbelow, the received papers under consideration include the four page PTO/SB/28 form, the "pre-examination search document" including pages 1-8; the "accelerated examination support document" (AESD) comprising pages 1-58, and an Information Disclosure Statement (substitute form for PTO-1449).

Regarding the requirements of section I element 2, the petition fee or a statement that the claimed subject matter is directed toward environmental quality, enhancement of energy resources or countering terrorism has not been provided.

Regarding the requirements of section II element 5.1 and 5.2 outlined above, it appears the search outlined in the petition omitted a critical search area by not searching in class 224 subclasses 159 and 264. Searching in IPC-8 A47D 13/02 also appears on point. The following keyword search should also be completed (format for USPTO East): (adjust$4 or adapt$4) with ((width or size) near (baby or infant or toddler)) and (carrier or holder or strap or straps or pack or backpack or sling or wrap).

Regarding the requirements of section II element 6.2 and 6.3 outlined above, since the search is not complete, it is not clear whether there are any additional references that will need to be discussed. If additional references are discovered, a new AESD is required.

DECISION

For the above-stated reasons, the petition is **dismissed**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within 1 (one) month or 30 (thirty) days, whichever is longer, (no extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a)) from the date of this decision in order to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address all of the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Linda J. Sholl, TC 3700 Special Programs Examiner, at (571) 272-4391.

Linda J. Sholl
Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WOOD AND EISENBERG, PLLC
3975 University Drive
SUITE 330
Fairfax VA 22030

MAILED JAN 2 0 2010

In re Application of: Gabriela Caperon
Application No.: 12/509,314
Filed: July 24, 2009
Docket: 1298.06-UPA
Title: Baby Carrier

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P. § 708.02

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on December 4, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is GRANTED.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Linda Sholl, Special Programs Examiner, at (571) 272-4391

Linda Sholl

Linda Sholl, Special Programs Examiner
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WILSON, SONSINI, GOODRICH & ROSATI
650 PAGE MILL ROAD
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1050

MAILED

SEP 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

In re Application of :
Paty et al. :
Application No. 12/509,318 :
Filing: July 24, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 31886-708.201 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 31, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement (PTO/SB/130 form) by the applicant's attorney that he is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This application is being referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 1416 for further processing in accordance with this decision.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

In re Application of
Alden C. Sprague

Application No. 12509443

Filed:

Attorney Docket No. 51900-SPRAGUE-002

:
:
:DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL
:UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the electronic petition under 37 CFR 1.102 (c)(1), filed 25-JUL-2009 to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must include a statement by applicant or a registered practitioner having evidence that applicant is at least 65 years of age. No fee is required.

Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status and will be taken up for action by the examiner upon the completion of all pre-examination processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this electronic decision should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at 866-217-9197.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 2 4 2009

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
ONE INTERNATIONAL PLACE
BOSTON MA 02110

In re application of : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Richards et al. : **TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR**
Application No. 12/509,579 : **NEW APPLICATION**
Filed: July 27, 2009 : **UNDER 37 CFR 1.102**
For: AUTOMATED CONFIGURATION OF MEDICAL PRACTICE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 27, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **DISMISSED**.

REGULATION AND PRACTICE

A grantable petition to make special under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d) and pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published in the Federal Register on June 26, 2006 (71 Fed. Reg. 36323), must satisfy the following conditions:

I. Conditions Regarding the Application:

1. the application must be a non-reissue utility or design application filed under 37 CFR 1.111(a);

2. the application, the petition and the required fees must be filed electronically using the USPTO's electronic filing system (EFS), or EFS-web; if not filed electronically, a statement asserting that EFS and EFS-web were not available during the normal business hours.

3. at the time of filing, the application must be complete under 37 CFR 1.51 and in condition for examination;

4. the application must contain three or fewer independent claims and twenty or fewer total claims and the claims must be directed to a single invention.

II. Conditions Regarding the Petition:

The petition must:

1. be filed with the application;

2. include a statement that applicant agrees not to separately argue the patentability of any dependent claim during any appeal in the application;

3. include a statement that applicant agrees to make an election without traverse in a telephone interview.

4. include a statement that applicant agrees to conduct such an interview when requested by the examiner.

5. include a statement, made based on a good faith belief, that a preexamination search in compliance with the following requirements, was conducted, including an identification of the field of search by United States class and subclass, where applicable, and for database searches, the search logic or chemical structure or sequence used as a query, the name of the file(s) searched and the database service, and the date of the search.

The preexamination search must:

5.1 involve U.S. patents and patent application publications, foreign patent documents, and non-patent literature, unless the applicant can justify with reasonable certainty that no references more pertinent than those already identified are likely to be found in the eliminated sources and includes such a justification with this statement;

5.2. be directed to the claimed invention and encompass all of the features of the claims, giving the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation;

5.3. encompass the disclosed features that may be claimed.

6. must provide in support of the petition an accelerated examination support document.

An accelerated examination support document must include:

6.1. an information disclosure statement (IDS) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98 citing each reference deemed most closely related to the subject matter of each of the claims;

6.2. an identification of all the limitations in the claims that are disclosed by the reference specifying where the limitation is disclosed in the cited reference;

6.3. a detailed explanation of how each of the claims is patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c);

6.4. a concise statement of the utility of the invention as defined in each of the independent claims (unless the application is a design application);

6.5. a showing of where each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in the written description of the specification. If applicable, the showing must also identify: (1) each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; and (2) the structure, material, or acts in the specification that corresponds to each means- (or step) plus-function claim element that invokes consideration under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph; if the application claims the benefit of one or more applications under title 35, United States Code, the showing must also include where

each limitation of the claims finds support under 35 USC 112, first paragraph, in each such application in which such supports exists;

6.6. an identification of any cited references that may be disqualified under 35 USC 103(c).

REVIEW OF FACTS

The petition in this case fails to comply with condition II.6.3.

As per Condition II.6.3, the accelerated examination support document must include a detailed explanation of how each of the claims is patentable over the references cited with particularity required by 37 CFR 1.111(b) and (c). Particularity means specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references (37 CFR 1.111 (b)) as well as clearly pointing out the patentable novelty the claims are believed to present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references (37 CFR 1.111 (c)). Particularity can be accomplished by separately addressing the subject matter believed to patentably distinguish each dependent claim from the prior art or by stating that the patentability of the dependent claims is predicated by that which is recited in the independent claims. While Applicant provides a detailed explanation of how the independent claim are patentable over the references cited, Applicant has not provided a detailed explanation for each of the dependent claims. For example, a detailed discussion of the patentability of dependent claims 3 and 6 cannot be found.

The petition fails to meet the required conditions to be accorded special status under the accelerated examination procedure.

DECISION

For the above stated reasons, the petition is **DISMISSED**. The application will therefore be taken up by the examiner for action in its regular turn.

Petitioner is given a single opportunity to perfect the petition. Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within one (1) month or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the date of this decision. No extensions of time will be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) if the request is to be considered timely. Any request for reconsideration must address the deficiencies indicated above.

Petitioner is reminded that, upon granting of the special status of the application on request for reconsideration, the application will be processed expeditiously. However, due to the dismissal of the instant petition, examination may not be completed within twelve months of the filing date of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Business Practice Specialist, C. Michelle Tarae, at (571) 272-6727.

/C. Michelle Tarae/
C. Michelle Tarae
Business Practice Specialist
Technology Center 3600

CMT/9/23/09

Best Available Copy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

NOV 02 2009

Proskauer Rose LLP
One International Place
Boston, MA 02110

In re application of : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Brady Richards et al. : **TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR**
Application No. 12/509,579 : **NEW APPLICATION**
Filed: July 27, 2009 : **UNDER 37 CFR 1.102**
For: AUTOMATED CONFIGURATION OF MEDICAL PRACTICE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

This is a decision on the renewed petition filed on September 24, 2009, to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The renewed petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention

Best Available Copy

(invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:

An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:

A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed to a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):

Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:

Best Available Copy

To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Quality Assurance Specialist Steven N. Meyers, at (571) 272-6611.

Steven N. Meyers,
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

Sm/sm: 11/1/09

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

GIFFORD, KRASS, SPRINKLE, ANDERSON & CITKOWSKI, P.C
PO BOX 7021
TROY, MI 48007-7021

MAILED

SEP 17 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

ON PETITION

In re Application of :
Gene Hirs :
Application No. 12/509,645 :
Filed: July 27, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. HGN-10005/15 :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 27, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The instant petition includes a statement by the applicant's attorney that he is 65 years of age, and a Declaration from applicant that he is 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed the undersigned at 571-272-6059. All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

This matter is being referred to the Technology Center Art Unit 3772 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Alicia Kelley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/509,772	07/27/2009	Wolfgang Georg Hees	W08/057-1	1702

226 7590 02/04/2010

ALSTOM POWER INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT.
P.O. BOX 500
WINDSOR, CT 06095

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3744	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ALSTOM POWER INC.
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT.
P.O. BOX 500
WINDSOR CT 06095

In re Application of :
HEES, WOLFGANG GEORG :
Application No. 12/509,772 :
Filed: July 27, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. W08/057-1 :
Title: LIQUEFACTION OF GASEOUS CARBON-DIOXIDE REMAINDERS DURING ANTI-SUBLIMATION PROCESS

DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed Jan. 8, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice

before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived. The petition lacks items #1 and #5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 62/532) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Henry C. Yuen at 571-272-4856.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3744 for action in its regular turn.

/Henry C. Yuen/

Henry C. Yuen
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/509,788	07/27/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	345790US2 SRD DIV	1733

22850 7590 09/29/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/509,788	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 27, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **345790US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 27, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

JUN 02 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Bausch & Lomb Incorporated
One Bausch & Lomb Place
Rochester NY 14604-2701

In re Application of :
PINTO and COMPERTORE : DECISION ACCORDING STATUS
Application No. 12/509,789 : UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: 07/27/2009 :
For: MULTIFOCAL OPHTHALMIC LENS :
HAVING REDUCED GHOSTING :

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) filed December 11, 2009.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a) is **granted**.

Applicants have shown that they provided the non-signing inventor with a complete copy of the application papers and that the non-signing inventor expressly refused to sign the declaration.

The above-identified application and papers have been reviewed and are found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status. As provided in Rule 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the present petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

The $200.00 petition fee will be charged to the Deposit Account as authorized.

The matter is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 2873 for examination in due course.

Inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3211.

C. T. Donnell

Christina Tartera Donnell
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Candido Pinto
1969 Harris Road
Penfield, NY 14526

MAILED

JUN 02 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Pinto and Compertore :
Application No. 12/509,789 : LETTER
Filed: 07/27/2009 :
For: MULTIFOCAL OPHTHALMIC LENS :
HAVING REDUCED GHOSTING :

Dear Mr. Pinto:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

C. T. Donnell

Christina Tartera Donnell
Senior Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

Bausch & Lomb Incorporated
One Bausch & Lomb Place
Rochester NY 14604-2701

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING or 371(c) DATE	GRP ART UNIT	FIL FEE REC'D	ATTY.DOCKET.NO	TOT CLAIMS	IND CLAIMS
12/509,789	07/27/2009	2873	1272	P04303	21	2

CONFIRMATION NO. 1734

CORRECTED FILING RECEIPT

23702
Bausch & Lomb Incorporated
One Bausch & Lomb Place
Rochester, NY 14604-2701

Date Mailed: 06/01/2010

Receipt is acknowledged of this non-provisional patent application. The application will be taken up for examination in due course. Applicant will be notified as to the results of the examination. Any correspondence concerning the application must include the following identification information: the U.S. APPLICATION NUMBER, FILING DATE, NAME OF APPLICANT, and TITLE OF INVENTION. Fees transmitted by check or draft are subject to collection. Please verify the accuracy of the data presented on this receipt. **If an error is noted on this Filing Receipt, please submit a written request for a Filing Receipt Correction. Please provide a copy of this Filing Receipt with the changes noted thereon. If you received a "Notice to File Missing Parts" for this application, please submit any corrections to this Filing Receipt with your reply to the Notice. When the USPTO processes the reply to the Notice, the USPTO will generate another Filing Receipt incorporating the requested corrections**

Applicant(s)

Candido D. Pinto, Penfield, NY;
David C. Compertore, Penfield, NY;

Power of Attorney: The patent practitioners associated with Customer Number 23702

Domestic Priority data as claimed by applicant

This appln claims benefit of 61/084,655 07/30/2008

Foreign Applications

If Required, Foreign Filing License Granted: 08/05/2009

The country code and number of your priority application, to be used for filing abroad under the Paris Convention, is **US 12/509,789**

Projected Publication Date: 07/29/2010

Non-Publication Request: No

Early Publication Request: No

Title

MULTIFOCAL OPHTHALMIC LENS HAVING REDUCED GHOSTING

Preliminary Class

351

PROTECTING YOUR INVENTION OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES

Since the rights granted by a U.S. patent extend only throughout the territory of the United States and have no effect in a foreign country, an inventor who wishes patent protection in another country must apply for a patent in a specific country or in regional patent offices. Applicants may wish to consider the filing of an international application under the Patent Cooperation Treaty (PCT). An international (PCT) application generally has the same effect as a regular national patent application in each PCT-member country. The PCT process **simplifies** the filing of patent applications on the same invention in member countries, but **does not result** in a grant of "an international patent" and does not eliminate the need of applicants to file additional documents and fees in countries where patent protection is desired.

Almost every country has its own patent law, and a person desiring a patent in a particular country must make an application for patent in that country in accordance with its particular laws. Since the laws of many countries differ in various respects from the patent law of the United States, applicants are advised to seek guidance from specific foreign countries to ensure that patent rights are not lost prematurely.

Applicants also are advised that in the case of inventions made in the United States, the Director of the USPTO must issue a license before applicants can apply for a patent in a foreign country. The filing of a U.S. patent application serves as a request for a foreign filing license. The application's filing receipt contains further information and guidance as to the status of applicant's license for foreign filing.

Applicants may wish to consult the USPTO booklet, "General Information Concerning Patents" (specifically, the section entitled "Treaties and Foreign Patents") for more information on timeframes and deadlines for filing foreign patent applications. The guide is available either by contacting the USPTO Contact Center at 800-786-9199, or it can be viewed on the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/doc/general/index.html.

For information on preventing theft of your intellectual property (patents, trademarks and copyrights), you may wish to consult the U.S. Government website, http://www.stopfakes.gov. Part of a Department of Commerce initiative, this website includes self-help "toolkits" giving innovators guidance on how to protect intellectual property in specific countries such as China, Korea and Mexico. For questions regarding patent enforcement issues, applicants may call the U.S. Government hotline at 1-866-999-HALT (1-866-999-4158).

LICENSE FOR FOREIGN FILING UNDER

Title 35, United States Code, Section 184

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, 5.11 & 5.15

GRANTED

The applicant has been granted a license under 35 U.S.C. 184, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" followed by a date appears on this form. Such licenses are issued in all applications where the conditions for issuance of a license have been met, regardless of whether or not a license may be required as

set forth in 37 CFR 5.15. The scope and limitations of this license are set forth in 37 CFR 5.15(a) unless an earlier license has been issued under 37 CFR 5.15(b). The license is subject to revocation upon written notification. The date indicated is the effective date of the license, unless an earlier license of similar scope has been granted under 37 CFR 5.13 or 5.14.

This license is to be retained by the licensee and may be used at any time on or after the effective date thereof unless it is revoked. This license is automatically transferred to any related applications(s) filed under 37 CFR 1.53(d). This license is not retroactive.

The grant of a license does not in any way lessen the responsibility of a licensee for the security of the subject matter as imposed by any Government contract or the provisions of existing laws relating to espionage and the national security or the export of technical data. Licensees should apprise themselves of current regulations especially with respect to certain countries, of other agencies, particularly the Office of Defense Trade Controls, Department of State (with respect to Arms, Munitions and Implements of War (22 CFR 121-128)); the Bureau of Industry and Security, Department of Commerce (15 CFR parts 730-774); the Office of Foreign AssetsControl, Department of Treasury (31 CFR Parts 500+) and the Department of Energy.

NOT GRANTED

No license under 35 U.S.C. 184 has been granted at this time, if the phrase "IF REQUIRED, FOREIGN FILING LICENSE GRANTED" DOES NOT appear on this form. Applicant may still petition for a license under 37 CFR 5.12, if a license is desired before the expiration of 6 months from the filing date of the application. If 6 months has lapsed from the filing date of this application and the licensee has not received any indication of a secrecy order under 35 U.S.C. 181, the licensee may foreign file the application pursuant to 37 CFR 5.15(b).

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LAW OFFICE OF CARL GIORDANO, PA
210 ROUTE 4 EAST, SUITE 103
PARMUS, NJ 07652

COPY MAILED

DEC 22 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Barry Nadler, et al.	:	DECISION GRANTING STATUS
Application No. 12/510,036	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: July 27, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. BINJ0100CIP2	:	

This is a decision on the petition filed under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed October 14, 2009.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

Petitioner has shown that the non-signing inventor has refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

The application is being referred to the Office of Patent Application Processing (OPAP) for further processing.

Telephone inquires related to this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Telephone inquiries related to processing at OPAP should be directed to their hotline at (571) 272-4000.

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MR. BARRY NADLER
10833 97TH STREET
LARGO, FL 33773

COPY MAILED

DEC 2 2 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Barry Nadler, et al. :
Application No. 12/510,036 : LETTER
Filed: July 27, 2009 :
For: SYSTEMS AND METHODS OF DETECTION :
AND ALLOWING ACCESS OF TRANSMISSION :
FACILITIES :

Dear Mr. Nadler:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as an inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3204. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

/SDB/

Sherry D. Brinkley
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: LAW OFFICE OF CARL GIORDANO, PA
210 ROUTE 4 EAST, SUITE 103
PARMUS, NJ 07652

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LANDO & ANASTASI. LLP
ONE MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100
CAMBRIDGE MA 02142

MAILED

JUL 01 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Applicant: William R. Dolbier, Jr., et al.
Appl. No.: 12/510,038
Filing Date: July 27, 2009
Title: Perfluoroparacyclophane and Related Methods Therefor
Attorney Docket: S2007-705640
Pub. No.: US 2009/0285987 A1
Pub. Date: November 19, 2009

This is a decision on the request for a corrected patent application publication under 37 CFR 1.221(b), received on December 8, 2009 for the above-identified application.

The request is granted-in-part.

Applicant requests that the application be republished because the patent application publication contains material errors in claims 1 and 14-18 wherein changes made by the preliminary amendment filed with the application on July 27, 2009 were not included in the publication.

37 CFR 1.221 (b) is applicable "only when the Office makes a material mistake which is apparent from Office records.... Any request for a corrected publication or revised patent application publication other than provided as provided in paragraph (a) of this section must be filed within two months from the date of the patent application publication. This period is not extendable." A material mistake must affect the public's ability to appreciate the technical disclosure of the patent application publication, to determine the scope of the patent application publication, or to determine the scope of the provisional rights that an applicant may seek to enforce upon issuance of a patent. [1]

The request is granted with respect to claims 1 and 14.

The error noted by requestor with respect to claim 18 is not an Office error.

It would greatly benefit the Office if **applicant did not provide copies of papers**, which were previously submitted and/or **a complete copy of the pre-grant publication**, as it unnecessarily increases the cost to the Office. See 37 CFR 1.4(b). A request for corrected publication need

[1] Changes to Implement Eighteen-Month Publication of Patent Applications, 65 FR 57023, 57038 (Sept. 20, 2000), 1239, Off. Gaz. Pat. Office Notices 63, 75 (Oct. 10, 2000) (final rule).

only point out what was printed incorrectly in the application, where the error occurs in the publication and where the correct text or drawing is found in the application papers, Marked up Relevant copies of the applications papers and the pre-grant publication may facilitate processing of the request, where it is not readily apparent where the error occurs. If it is not clear why the error is a material error, further explanation may be warranted.

The applicant is advised that a "request for republication of an application previously published" may be filed under 37 CFR 1.221 (a). Such a request for republication "must include a copy of the application compliance with the Office's electronic filing system requirements and be accompanied by the publication fee set forth in § 1.18 (d) and the processing fee set forth in § 1.17 (i)." If the request for republication does not comply with the electronic filing system requirements, the republication will not take place and the publication fee set forth in § 1.18 (d) will be refunded. The processing fee will be retained.

A guide for filing a request for a Pre-Grant Publication, such as a request for republication, may be found on the link below:

http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/portal/tutorials.htm

Any request for republication under 37 CFR 1.221(a), must be submitted via the EFS system, as a "Pre-Grant Publication".

Inquiries relating to this matter may be directed to Mark Polutta at (571) 272-7709.

Mark Polutta
Senior Legal Advisor
Office of Patent Legal Administration
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M ANDERSON
600 108TH AVE. NE
SUITE 507
BELLEVUE WA 98004

MAILED

FEB 0 1 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Joan E. Sanders et al	:	DECISION GRANTING STATUS
Application No. 12/510,115	:	UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(a)
Filed: July 27, 2009	:	
Attorney Docket No. UNIV0676	:	

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(a), filed October 16, 2009.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

Petitioner has shown that the non-signing inventor has refused to join in the filing of the above-identified application.

The application and papers have been reviewed and found in compliance with 37 CFR 1.47(a). This application is hereby accorded Rule 1.47(a) status.

As provided in 37 CFR 1.47(c), this Office will forward notice of this application's filing to the non-signing inventor at the address given in the petition. Notice of the filing of this application will also be published in the Official Gazette.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 3737 for examination in due course.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3208.

/KOC/
Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAILED

FEB 0 1 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

MR. JOSHUA H. LEVINE
940 PORTOLA DR.
ARCADIA, CA 91007

In re Application of
Joan E. Sanders et al
Application No. 12/510,115
Filed: July 27, 2009
For: ASSESSMENT OF TISSUE RESPONSE TO STRESS

Dear Mr. Levine:

You are named as a joint inventor in the above-identified United States patent application, filed under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 116 (United States Code), and 37 CFR 1.47(a), Rules of Practice in Patent Cases. Should a patent be granted on the application you will be designated therein as a joint inventor.

As a named inventor you are entitled to inspect any paper in the file wrapper of the application, order copies of all or any part thereof (at a prepaid cost per 37 CFR 1.19) or make your position of record in the application. Alternatively, you may arrange to do any of the preceding through a registered patent attorney or agent presenting written authorization from you. If you care to join the application, counsel of record (see below) would presumably assist you. Joining the application would entail the filing of an appropriate oath or declaration by you pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63.

Telephone inquiries regarding this communication should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3208. Requests for information regarding your application should be directed to the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Information regarding how to pay for and order a copy of the application, or a specific paper in the application, should be directed to the Certification Division at (571) 272-3150 or 1 (800) 972-6382 (outside the Washington, DC area).

/KOC/
Karen Creasy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc:

LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M. ANDERSON
600 108TH AVE., NE
SUITE 507
BELLEVUE WA 98004

Best Available Copy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,136	07/27/2009	Yoshiyasu Itoh	6639P760	1359

7590 07/15/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/15/2010	PAPER

DECISION GRANTING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(d)

The declaration of express abandonment is recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(d), requesting for a refund of any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee in the above-identified application.

The petition is granted.

The express abandonment is recognized. Any previously paid search fee and excess claims fee are hereby refunded.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Mimi Farmer

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

Adjustment date: 07/15/2010 MFARMER

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,136	07/27/2009	Yoshiyasu Itoh	6639P760	1359

7590 07/15/2010

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
07/15/2010	PAPER

DECISION DISMISSING PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.138(c)

The declaration of express abandonment will not be recognized

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c), for express abandonment to avoid publication of the above-identified application.

The petition is dismissed.

The express abandonment will **not** be recognized for the reason(s) indicated below:

1. ☐ The petition under 37 CFR 1.138(c) was not filed in sufficient time to permit the appropriate officials to recognize the abandonment and remove the application from the publication process.
2. ☐ The petition was not signed by a party authorized by 37 CFR 1.33(b)(1), (3) or (4).
3. ☑ The Application was published in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 122(b), and it is available on the USPTO web site at http://www.uspto.gov/patft/index.html.
4. ☐ Petition fee was not paid.

The application **has/will be published** as scheduled.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the Office of Data Management at (571) 272-4200.

Mimi Tolnes

Patent Publication Branch
Office of Data Management

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,434	07/28/2009	RYUKI TACHIBANA	JP920020105US3	1903

84547 7590 10/30/2009

IBM - YOR
SHIMOKAJI & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
8911 RESEARCH DRIVE
IRVINE, CA 92618

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2431	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/30/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@shimokaji.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

IBM – YOR
SHIMOKAJI & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
8911 RESEARCH DRIVE
IRVINE CA 92618

In re Application of: Ryuki Tashibana
Application No. 12510434
Filed: July 28, 2009
For: **WATERMARK SIGNAL GENERATING APPARATUS**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

MAILED

OCT 29 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 28, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

OCT 02 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/510,440	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 28, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 345775US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 28, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,468	07/28/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	345770US2SRD DIV	1954

22850 7590 10/22/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/510,468	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 28, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 345770US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 28, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

24

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,533	07/28/2009	Vladimir A. SABETSKY	2727.0010001	2064

26111 7590 09/09/2009

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20005

EXAMINER	
HA, JULIE	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1654	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 0 9 2009

26111
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 New York AVENUE, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

In re Application of
Vladimir A. SABETSKY
Serial No.: 12/510,533
Filed: July 28, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: 2727.0010001

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 28, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:

If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
   To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not

file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, SPE, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.

Cecilia Tsang
SPE, Art Unit 1654

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON DC 20005

MAILED

JUL 23 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Vladimir A. SABETSKY :
Application No. 12/510,533 :
Filed: July 28, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 2727.0010001/MAC :

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition filed, April 22, 2010, on behalf of the named assignee, BOWS Pharmaceuticals AG (BOWS), which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 to review (1) the becoming of record of assignee TTDC BIO S.a.r.l. (TTDC), and (2) the communication filed April 9, 2010 on behalf of TTDC requesting appointment of the power of attorney to the attorneys of Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati (Wilson), and as petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b) to expunge the communication filed by a third party on April 9, 2010. This is also a decision on the petition filed, April 22, 2010, under 37 CFR 1.182 to expedite the processing of the above petitions.

The petitions are **GRANTED**.

With respect to the petition under 37 CFR 1.181

Petitioner contends that the attorneys of Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox P.L.L.C. (Sterne) appointed by customer number 26,111 should become the attorney of record as TTDC has improperly become of record within the meaning of 37 CFR 3.71 and MPEP 324 as petitioner did not execute an assignment to TTDC.

The USPTO prefers not to become embroiled in ownership disputes, particularly as it does not have the means or the authority to resolve such disputes. Indeed, USPTO has no authority to decide ownership issues. See Cedars-Sinai Medical Center v. Watkins, 11F.3d. 1573, 1581 n.10, 29 USPQ2d 1188, 1194 n.10 (Fed. Cir 1993), *cert. denied*, 114 S. Ct. (1994). Rather, state law governs contractual obligations and transfers of property rights, including those relating to patents. See Regents of the University of New Mexico v. Knight, 66 USPQ2d 1001, 1008 (Fed. Cir. 2003). The USPTO cannot settle disputes as to title as a judicial tribunal is the appropriate forum to resolve such controversy. See Jim Arnold Corp. v. Hydrotech Sys., Inc., 109 F.3d 1567, 1572, 42 USPQ2d 1119, 1123 (Fed. Cir. 1997) ("the question of who owns the patent right and on what terms typically is a question exclusively for state courts"); Roach v. Crouch, 24 N.W. 2d

400, 33 USPQ2d 1361 (Iowa 1994) (patent ownership issue properly triable in state court); In re Haines, 1900 C.D. 102, 103 (Comm'r Pat. 1900) (same). Rather, that is almost exclusively a matter for the state courts. However, under 37 CFR 3.73, the assignee of the entire interest may prosecute an application to the exclusion of the named inventor, or any previous assignee, and also revoke, or appoint, a power of attorney in the application or patent. This however is contingent upon the putative assignee establishing its ownership of the application to the satisfaction of the Director. See 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Ownership is established by submitting to the Office, in the Office file related to the matter in which action is sought to be taken, documentary evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee (*e.g.*, a copy of an executed assignment submitted for recording) or by specifying (*e.g.*, reel and frame number) where such evidence is recorded in the Office. Id. The submission establishing ownership must be signed by a party authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. Id.; See also MPEP 324.

This dispute centers on the document filed April 9, 2010, by Um Ping Peter Eng/Gwyn Cantrell of Wilson, which purportedly claims that the inventor of the instant application Vladimir A. Sabetsky (Sabetsky) assigned his ownership interest putatively to TTDC, and which was relied upon by TTDC to intervene as the putative assignee of the entire interest.

Initially, the instant application was filed by Sabetsky on July 28, 2009 without any power of attorney. On April 9, 2010 TTDC submitted a 3.73(b) statement and a power of attorney to the attorneys of Wilson, however, no copy of an assignment document was submitted with those papers. On April 22, 2010, assignee BOWS intervened in the application and appointed the attorneys of Sterne.

While TTDC has not filed any "assignment" document they did file a Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) purporting to be the assignee of the entire, right, title, and interest in the patent application identified above by way of an agreement between Sabetsky and The Technology Development Company Ltd. agreeing to establish the holding company, TTDC, and agreeing that Sabetsky will assign his title and right to use the intellectual property relating to the "Technologies", which are defined as "(a) technologies used in gene and cell therapies (including the gene(s) and cell(s)) to treat any disease; (b) micro-carriers and micro-spheres for all therapy areas; (c) micro-encapsulation technologies that may be applicable to items (a) and (b) just referenced; (d) micro-encapsulation technologies as they relate to the formulation and delivery of pharmaceutical preparations; and (e) all improvements relating to items (a) through (d) inclusive," which includes the subject matter of the instant application.

MPEP 324 IX states:

> Generally, where there are two or more conflicting 37 CFR 3.73(b) statements in an application, the ownership entity that filed that application will be permitted to conduct the prosecution, and the other party that submitted a 37 CFR 3.73(b) statement to establish its ownership may wish to consider filing an application under 37 CFR 1.47.

TTDC is challenging the right to ownership of the present patent application property, stating that they own the present patent application property. Inventors are the *de facto* owners of the property where they have not assigned away the rights thereto. The instant application papers included a Declaration pursuant to § 1.63 signed by the sole inventor. The sole inventor has assigned his rights to BOWS. Accordingly, the inventorship-ownership entity that filed that application would ordinarily be permitted to conduct the prosecution, and the assignee that submitted a conflicting 37 CFR 3.73(b) statement to establish its ownership would be permitted to file its own application under 37 CFR 1.47.

Although TTDC, in the communication filed April 9, 2010, alleges that Sabetsky was required to assign all patent rights in the instant application to TTDC, Sabetsky has executed an assignment document with BOWS. Accordingly, the ownership entity that filed the instant application, *i.e.,* Sabetsky, is permitted to conduct prosecution and the correspondence address will remain with Sterne. Furthermore, as the power of attorney documents submitted April 9, 2010 by TTDC were incomplete, *i.e.,* they did not include a copy of an assignment document, the April 13, 2010 Notice of Acceptance of Power of Attorney was issued in error and, as such, is hereby vacated.

The USPTO will not (and in any event cannot) determine whether Sabetsky was required to convey his rights in the invention set forth in this application to TTDC.

TTDC is not unduly harmed by this decision. As set forth above, TTDC may wish to consider filing an application under 37 CFR 1.47.

On a one time basis only, a courtesy copy of this decision is being sent to Wilson. The USPTO will not undertake further dual correspondence.

Counsel for TTDC, and TTDC itself, are hereby placed on notice that, in the absence of a copy of a final decision from the highest court of competent jurisdiction that unequivocally awards title to TTDC, or unequivocally finds the TTDC always owned, the entire right, title, and interest in this application, any further attempt to divert correspondence or otherwise control the prosecution of this application will be regarded as correspondence filed for an improper purpose.

TTDC and its representatives are also placed on notice that the Office considers the filing of a petition or other paper on behalf of a party having no standing in an application, and not otherwise authorized by the rules of practice, to be a petition or paper presented for an improper purpose (37 CFR 10.18).

With respect to the petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b)

Specifically, Petitioner requests that the submission, filed on April 9, 2010, be expunged as it has been submitted by a third party who is neither affiliated with the inventor or Petitioner.

Since this application was the subject of a pre-grant publication (PG Pub) on November 12, 2009 which is prior to the filing of the above-noted documents, the provisions of the statute (35 U.S.C.

§ 122(c)), the applicable rules (*e.g.*, 37 CFR §§ 1.99, 1.291, and 1.292), and procedures (*e.g.*, MPEP §§ 1134.01, 1901.06) now place stringent limitations on the treatment, or even the retention *vel non* in this file, of any third party submissions that protest, oppose the grant of, or seek to have other information entered and considered in, this application. See also *Third Party Attempts to Protest or Otherwise Oppose the Grant of a Published Application, 1269 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office* 179 (April 22, 2003).

37 CFR 1.99 requires, in pertinent part that:

> (a) A submission by a member of the public of patents or publications relevant to a pending published application may be entered in the application file if the submission complies with the requirements of this section and the application is still pending when the submission and application file are brought before the examiner.
> (c) The submission under this section must be served upon the applicant in accordance with § 1.248.
> (d) A submission under this section shall not include any explanation of the patents or publications, or any other information. The Office will not enter such explanation or information if included in a submission under this section. A submission under this section is also limited to ten total patents or publications.
> (e) A submission under this section must be filed within two months from the date of publication of the application (§ 1.215(a)) or prior to the mailing of a notice of allowance (§ 1.311), whichever is earlier. Any submission under this section not filed within this period is permitted only when the patents or publications could not have been submitted to the Office earlier, and must also be accompanied by the processing fee set forth in § 1.17(i). A submission by a member of the public to a pending published application that does not comply with the requirements of this section will not be entered.

As no patents or publications were submitted and as there is no evidence of service of the submission under this section on the applicant in compliance with 37 CFR 1.248 the communication must be removed from this file as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.99. Furthermore, as the submission is subsequent to the date of publication, it is time barred.

Likewise, even treating the documents in question as a protest, 37 CFR 1.291(b) does not permit retention of the submission/protest in this file record:

> (b) The protest will be entered into the record of the application if, in addition to complying with paragraph (c) of this section, the protest has been served upon the applicant in accordance with § 1.248, or filed with the Office in duplicate in the event service is not possible; and, except for paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the protest was filed prior to the date the application was published under § 1.211, or a notice of allowance under § 1.311 was mailed, whichever occurs first:
>
> > (1) If a protest is accompanied by the written consent of the applicant, the protest will be considered if the protest is matched with the application in time to permit review during prosecution.

> (2) A statement must accompany a protest that it is the first protest submitted in the application by the real party in interest who is submitting the protest; or the protest must comply with paragraph (c)(5) of this section. This section does not apply to the first protest filed in an application.

Here, the protest was filed subsequent to publication and lacks any indication of service.

Given the total lack of compliance with either of the two regulations (37 CFR §§ 1.99 and 1.291) governing third party submissions of information in a published application or allowed application, the documents submitted by the third party on April 9, 2010 cannot be permitted to remain in the file. The documents filed by the third party on April 9, 2010 are being closed to public inspection which is the Image File Wrapper (IFW) equivalent of expungement from a paper file.

A petition under 37 CFR 1.59(b) requires the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(g). Accordingly, the petition fee of $200 is being charged to petitioner's Deposit Account No. 19-0036.

This application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for further processing.

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-7099.



David Bucci
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Cc: Wilson, Sonsini, Goodrich & Rosati
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

OCT 06 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/510,606 :
Filed: July 28, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **345730US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 28, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,670	07/28/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	345738US2SRD DIV	2316

22850 7590 10/22/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/510,670	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 28, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 345738US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 28, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.T

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HONEYWELL/UOP
PATENT SERVICES
101 COLUMBIA DRIVE
P O BOX 2245 MAIL STOP AB/2B
MORRISTOWN NJ 07962

COPY MAILED

JAN 0 6 2010

In re Application of :
ZHOU ET AL. :
Application No. 12/510,735 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 28, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. H0023787 : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2), filed December 9, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth in 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(2) and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The application must be directed to a single invention that either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) that materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed

invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 5) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 6) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 7) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 8) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks item no. 1 above for the reason as explained in more detail below.

This application has been classified (USPC 423/243.08) in an area that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not be eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified else where. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

The petition lacks item no. 4 above for the reason as explained in more detail below.

The instant petition fails to state the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction). The petition must be accompanied by a statement by applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. As stated in section III of the Notice, applicant must provide such a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By Mail: Mail Stop PETITION
Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U. S. Patent and Trademark Office
Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions
Randolph Building
401 Dulany Street
Alexandria, VA 22314

By FAX: (571) 273-8300

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Gay Ann Spahn at 571-272-8011.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1793 for action in its regular turn.

Ramesh Krishnamurthy
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

OCT 02 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/510,765 :
Filed: July 28, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. 345743US2SRD DIV :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 28, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,787	07/28/2009	Takeshi Chujoh	345748US2SRD DIV	2536

22850 7590 10/22/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/510,787	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 28, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 345748US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 28, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D.T.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP
2040 MAIN STREET
FOURTEENTH FLOOR
IRVINE CA 92614

COPY MAILED

JAN 05 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Martin L. Cohen :
Application No. 12/510,868 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 28, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **ADVLT.015A** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed November 9, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in MPEP § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement by a registered attorney. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to JoAnne Burke at 571-272-4584.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3715 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

JoAnne Burke
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,988	07/28/2009	Jin Soo CHOI	0465-2267PUS2	2888

2292 7590 12/17/2009

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2472	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/17/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

MAILED
DEC 17 2009

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

In re Application of: Jin Soo Choi et al.
Application No. 12510988
Filed: July 28, 2009
For: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR SELECTING MODULATION AND CODING SCHEME (MCS) INDEX BASED ON FREQUENCY SELECTIVITY

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed December 9, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application is
(a) a Paris Convention application which either
(i) validly claims priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) and 37 CFR 1.55 to one or more applications filed in the KIPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims,

Or

(b) a national stage application under the PCT (an application which entered the national stage in the U.S. from a PCT international application after compliance with 35 U.S.C. 371), which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the KIPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim,

Or

(c) a so-called bypass application filed under 35 U.S.C. 111 (a) which validly claims benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120 to a PCT application, which PCT application
(i) validly claims priority to an application filed in the KIPO, or
(ii) validly claims priority to a PCT application that contains no priority claims, or
(iii) contains no priority claim.

Where the KIPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims is not the same application for which priority is claimed in the U.S. application, applicant must identify the relationship between

the KIPO application that contains the allowable/patentable claims and the KIPO priority application claimed in the U.S. application.

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of:
a. The allowable/patentable claim(s) from the KIPO application(s)

(3) Applicant must:
a. Ensure all the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the KIPO application(s)

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the KIPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s);

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the KIPO examiner in the KIPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/510,994	07/28/2009	Jeffrey Blanchard	07880-0150001 / UMA 09-13	2898

26161 7590 02/25/2010

FISH & RICHARDSON PC
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1632	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/25/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FISH & RICHARDSON PC
P.O. BOX 1022
MINNEAPOLIS MN 55440-1022

FEB 2 5 2010

In re Application of :
Jeffrey BLANCHARD et al. : DECISION ON PETITION
Application No.12/510994 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 28, 2009 : THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 07880-0150001/UMA 09-13 : PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed January 25th, 2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1–9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Manjunath Rao at 571-272-0939.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1632 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Manjunath Rao/

Manjunath Rao
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LATIMER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW, LLP
P.O. BOX 711200
HERNDON, VA 20171

MAILED

JUN 0 7 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Liwu LI et al.	:	
Application No. 12/511,006	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 28, 2009	:	TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. **VTIP-162**	:	FROM RECORD
	:	

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent of record under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b), filed April 21, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED** as moot.

A review of the file record indicates that the power of attorney to Latimer Intellectual Property Law, LLP has been revoked by the assignee of the patent application on April 22, 2010. Accordingly, the request to withdraw under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) is moot.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the below-listed address until otherwise notified by applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at 571-272- 4231.

Michelle R. Eason
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

cc: **NEW RIVER VALLEY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW**
P.O. BOX 10944
BLACKSBURG VA 24062

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/511,093	07/29/2009	TAKESHI CHUJOH	345795US2 SRD DIV	3105

22850 7590 09/29/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/29/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/511,093	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 29, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 345795US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

D24

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/511,097	07/29/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	345710US2SRD DIV	3120

22850 7590 10/22/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/22/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/511,097	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 29, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. 345710US2SRD DIV	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

_____

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

Q.24

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

OCT 06 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.
Application No. 12/511,100
Filed: July 29, 2009
Attorney Docket No. **345715US2SRD DIV**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

MAIL

OCT 06 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

In re Application of
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al.
Application No. 12/511,104
Filed: July 29, 2009
Attorney Docket No. **345720US2SRD DIV**

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

OCT 06 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of :
CHUJOH, TAKESHI, et al. :
Application No. 12/511,108 :
Filed: July 29, 2009 :
Attorney Docket No. **345725US2SRD DIV** :

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Kenneth Wieder at 571-272-2986.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Kenneth Wieder
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

Best Available Copy

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OCT 05 2009

MORRIS MANNING MARTIN LLP
3343 PEACHTREE ROAD, NE
1600 ATLANTA FINANCIAL CENTER
ATLANTA GA 30326

In re application of : **DECISION ON PETITION**
Yeung, Yung : **TO MAKE SPECIAL FOR**
Application No. 12/511,149 : **NEW APPLICATION**
Filed: July 29, 2009 : **UNDER 37 CFR 1.102**
For: METHODS AND SYSTEM OF CONDUCTING BUSINESS-TO-BUSINESS OPERATIONS BY REGISTERED SELLERS AND BUYERS USING AN INTERNET ACCESSIBLE PLATFORM

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the

Best Available Copy

first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in agreed to action that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed to a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated

Best Available Copy

examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:
To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
To expedite prosecution, after receiving the final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a notice of appeal, an appeal brief and appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is

Best Available Copy

involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to C. Michelle Tarae at (571) 272-6727.

/C. Michelle Tarae/
C. Michelle Tarae
Business Practice Specialist
Technology Center 3600

CMT/10/5/09

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FEB 19 2010

CANTOR COLBURN, LLP
20 Church Street
22nd Floor
Hartford CT 06103

In re Application of	:	
Karl-Heinz MEINERS	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/511,177	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: July 29, 2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. 237873-1	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed December 16, 2009, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

If reconsideration of this decision is desired, a petition for reconsideration must be filed within ONE (1) MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mail date of this decision, whichever is longer. No extension of this time limit can be granted under 37 CFR 1.136(a) or (b). The petition for reconsideration should include an exhaustive attempt to provide the lacking item(s) noted below, since, after a decision on the petition for reconsideration, no further reconsideration or review of the matter will be undertaken by the Director.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining

how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The petition lacks items 1 and 5.

In regard to item 1, petitioner should note that this application has been classified in an area (USPC 052/040.000) that is not one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. Therefore, this application is not eligible for the Pilot Program. The classification limitations are purposefully made to be narrow for the Pilot Program; however, these may be expanded based upon the success of the Pilot Program. Petitioner may file a preliminary amendment that would cause this application to be classified elsewhere. However, while petitioner may suggest a classification (see, e.g., MPEP 708.02(a)(VII)(C)), the application will be assigned to the most appropriate classification based upon current U.S. classification guidelines. Furthermore, a petition for the Pilot Program should not be filed until and unless all of the requirements set forth in the Notice are met; i.e., a petition should not be filed for an application that is currently classified outside the eligible classifications set forth in the Notice.

In regard to item 5, petitioner should note that the instant petition includes a statement identifying the basis for the special status (i.e., whether the instant invention (1) materially enhances the quality of the environment or materially contributes to (2) development of renewable energy resources or energy conservation, or (3) greenhouse gas reduction) as required by sections II and III of the notice. However, as stated in the notice, applicant must also provide a statement pertaining to the materiality standard if the application disclosure is not clear on its face as to the materiality of the basis for the special status of the invention. This petition lacks such a statement and it is not agreed that the application on its face meets that materiality standard.

Any reconsideration of this decision should be submitted through the USPTO electronic filing system, EFS-Web, and selecting the document description of "Petition for Green Tech Pilot" on the EFS-Web screen.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Lanna Mai at 571-272-6867.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3635 for action in its regular turn.

/Lanna Mai/

Lanna Mai
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 3600

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DENNIS G. LAPOINTE
LAPOINTE LAW GROUP, PL
PO BOX 1294
TARPON SPRINGS FL 34688-1294

MAILED

SEP 15 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Louis S. BROWN :
Application No. 12/511,312 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 29, 2009 : TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. **2407.12B** : 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed July 29, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age, must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required.

The evidence submitted with the instant petition is a copy of Louis S. Brown's birth certificate indicating that he is at least 65 years of age. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Tredelle Jackson at 571-272-2783.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3764 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Tredelle D. Jackson
Paralegal Specialist
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/511,612	07/29/2009	David A. Potts	POTTS-0008	4137

50010 7590 02/12/2010

LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL A. BLAKE, LLC
95 HIGH STREET
SUITE 5
MILFORD, CT 06460

EXAMINER	
POPOVICS, ROBERT J	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1797	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
02/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LAW OFFICE OF MICHAEL A. BLAKE, LLC
95 HIGH STREET
SUITE 5
MILFORD CT 06460

FEB 12 2010

In re Application of	:	
Potts	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Application No. 12/511,612	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Filed: 7/29/2009	:	THE GREEN TECHNOLOGY
Attorney Docket No. **POTTS-0008**	:	PILOT PROGRAM

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102, filed 1/18/2010, to make the above-identified application special under the pilot program for applications pertaining to Green Technologies as set forth 74 Federal Register Notice 64666 (December 8, 2009).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102 and the pilot program as set forth in 74 FR 64666 must be directed to a nonprovisional application filed under 35 USC 111(a) or be a national stage entry under 35 USC 371, exclusive of any reissue applications and be filed prior to the date of the notice, December 8, 2009.

In order to qualify for special status, the following requirements must be met. 1) The application must be classified in one of the eligible US classifications listed in section VI of the notice. 2) The application must have no more than 3 independent claims and no more than 20 total claims. 3) The application must not contain any multiple dependent claims. 4) The petition must state the basis for seeking special status, i.e., the claimed invention either: A) materially enhances the quality of the environment or B) materially contributes to: i) the discovery or development of renewable energy resources, ii) the more efficient utilization and conservation of energy resources, or iii) greenhouse gas emission reduction. 5) If the disclosure is not clear on its face that the claimed invention materially contributes under category (A) or (B), the petition must be accompanied by a statement by the applicant, assignee, or an attorney/agent registered to practice before the Office explaining how the materiality standard is met. 6) A statement that applicant will agree to make an election without traverse in a telephonic interview if a restriction requirement is made by the examiner. 7) The petition to make special must be filed electronically. 8) The petition must be filed at least one day prior to the date that a first Office Action appears in the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. 9) The petition

must be accompanied by a request for early publication in compliance with 37 CFR 1.219 and include the publication fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(d).

The requirement for a fee for consideration of the petition to make special for applications pertaining to Green Technologies has been waived.

The instant petition complies with items 1 – 9 above. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Tom Dunn at 571-272-1171.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 1797 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Tom Dunn/

Tom Dunn
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 1700

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/511,628	07/29/2009	Akihiro TATSUTA	2009_1158A	4163

52349 7590 10/29/2009

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P.
1030 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 400 East
Washington, DC 20005-1503

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2472	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
10/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK L.L.P.
1030 15th Street, N.W.
Suite 400 East
Washington DC 20005-1503

In re Application of: Akihiro Tatsuta et al.
Application No. 12511628
Filed: July 29, 2009
For: COMMUNICATION SYSTEM PROVIDED WITH TRANSMITTER FOR TRANSMITTING AUDIO CONTENTS USING PACKET FRAME OF AUDIO DATA

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

MAILED

OCT 29 2009

DIRECTOR OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed August 4, 2009, to make the above-identified application special.

The petition is **GRANTED.**

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;

(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);

(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;

(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;

(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S.

patent application publications; and

(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition are found to comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Hassan Kizou at 571-272-3088

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application is accessible in the PAIR system at http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Hassan Kizou
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2400

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MAIL

NOV 12 2009

DIRECTOR'S OFFICE
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY
SUNNYVALE CA 94085-4040

In re Application of
HONDA, YUICHI, et al.
Application No. 12/511,760
Filed: July 29, 2009
Attorney Docket No. 6639P763

DECISION ON REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE IN PATENT PROSECUTION HIGHWAY PROGRAM AND PETITION TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed September 09, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Michael Horabik at 571-272-3068.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.



Michael Horabik
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

TODD S. PARKHURST
HUGHES SOCOL PIERS RESNICK & DYM LTD.
THREE FIRST NATIONAL PLAZA
70 WEST MADISON; SUITE 4000
CHICAGO IL 60602

MAILED

DEC 07 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Vincent E. Bryan, Jr.	:	
Application No. 12/511,879	:	DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 29, 2009	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
Attorney Docket No. 20063.200744	:	37 CFR 1.102(c)(1)
	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1), filed October 12, 2009, to make the above-identified application special based on applicant's age as set forth in M.P.E.P. § 708.02, Section IV.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

A grantable petition to make an application special under 37 CFR 1.102(c)(1) and MPEP § 708.02, Section IV: Applicant's Age must be accompanied by evidence showing that at least one of the applicants is 65 years of age, or more, such as a birth certificate or a statement by applicant. No fee is required

The instant petition includes a statement from the applicant declaring that he is 65 years of age or older. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Terri Johnson at 571-272-2991.

All other inquiries concerning either the examination or status of the application should be directed to the Technology Center.

The application is being forwarded to the Technology Center Art Unit 3721 for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

Terri Johnson
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WONG CABELLO LUTSCH
RUTHERFORD & BRUCCULERI L.L.P.
20333 SH 249 6TH FLOOR
HOUSTON, TX 77070

MAILED

MAY 10 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Morteza Naghavi et al :
Application No. 12/511,976 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: July 29, 2009 : TO WITHDRAW
Attorney Docket No. 723-0007USC : FROM RECORD
:

This is a decision on the Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) or 37 C.F.R. § 10.40 filed March 5, 2010.

The request is **NOT APPROVED**.

The petition does not satisfies the conditions for Request to Withdraw as attorney or agent under 37 C.F.R. § 1.36(b) or 37 C.F.R. § 10.40 in that the s-signature of Marilyn M. Huston is improper. See 37 CFR 1.4(d)(2). Accordingly, this petition can not be treated until a proper signature is received.

All future communications from the Office will continue to be directed to the above-listed address until otherwise properly notified by the applicant.

Telephone inquires concerning this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at 571-272-3210.

Irvin Dingle
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc: Morteza Naghavi
5203 Fieldwood
Houston, TX 77056

cc: Law Office of David McEwing
P.O. Box 231324
Houston, TX 77023

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/511,977	07/29/2009	Steven F. Josephs	THERINJ.002A	4839

83790 7590 09/09/2009

Coastal Patent, LLC
P.O.BOX 232340
San Diego, CA 92193

EXAMINER	
NAFF, DAVID M	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1657	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

SEP 0 9 2009

83790
Coastal Patent, LLC
P. O. Box 232340
San Diego, CA 92193

In re Application of
Steven F. Josephs et al
Serial No.: 12/511,977
Filed: July 29, 2009
Attorney Docket No.: THERINJ.002A

: DECISION ON PETITION TO MAKE
: SPECIAL FOR NEW APPLICATION
: UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.102 & M.P.E.P.
: § 708.02

This is a decision on the petition filed on July 29, 2009 to make the above-identified application special for accelerated examination procedure under 37 C.F.R. § 1.102(d).

The petition to make the application special is **GRANTED**.

The application is eligible for accelerated examination and the petition complies with the conditions for granting the application special status pursuant to the "Change to Practice for Petitions in Patent Applications to Make Special and for Accelerated Examination" published June 26, 2006, in the Federal Register. (71 Fed. Reg. 36323).

The prosecution of the instant application will be conducted expeditiously according to the following guidelines.

1. The application will be docketed to an examiner and taken up for action within two weeks of the date of this decision.

2. Restriction Practice:
   If the examiner determines that the claims are not directed to a single invention, a telephone request to elect one single invention will be made pursuant to MPEP 812.01. As a prerequisite to the grant of this petition, the applicant has agreed to make an oral election, by telephone, without traverse. If the applicant refuses to make an election without traverse, or the examiner cannot reach the applicant after a reasonable effort, the examiner will treat the first claimed invention (invention defined by claim 1) as having being constructively elected without traverse for examination.

3. Office action:
   If it is determined that, after appropriate consultation, there is a potential rejection or any other issue to be addressed, the examiner will telephone the applicant and arrange an interview to discuss and resolve the issue. An Office action, other than a Notice of Allowance and Fee(s) Due (Notice of Allowance), will not be issued unless either: 1) an interview was conducted but did not result in an agreement that places the application in condition for allowance, or, 2) a determination is made that an interview would be unlikely to result in the application being placed in condition for allowance, and 3) an internal conference has been held to review any rejection of any claim.

4. Time for Reply:
   An Office action other than a Notice of Allowance or a Final Office action will set a shortened statutory period of one month or thirty days, whichever is longer, for reply with no extension of time available under 37 CFR 1.136(a). Failure to timely file a reply within this non-extendible period for reply will result in the abandonment of the application.

5. Reply by Applicant:
   A timely reply to an Office action other than the Notice of Allowance must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web and limited to addressing the rejections, objections and requirement made. Any amendment that attempts to: 1) add claims which would result in more than three pending independent claims or more than twenty pending total claims; 2) present claims not encompassed by the pre-examination search or an updated accelerated examination support document; or 3) present claims that are directed a non-elected invention or an invention other than that previously claimed and examined in the application, will be treated as not fully responsive and will not be entered.

   For any amendment to the claims (including any new claim) that is not encompassed by the accelerated examination support document, applicant must provide an updated accelerated examination support document that encompasses the amended or new claims at the time of filing of the amendment.

   To proceed expeditiously with the examination, it is recommended that a reply with amendments made to any claim or with any new claim being added be accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document or a statement explaining how the amended or new claim is supported by the original accelerated examination support document.

6. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS):
   Any IDS filed during prosecution must be submitted electronically via EFS or EFS-web, accompanied by an updated accelerated examination support document, and be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.

7. Post-Allowance Processing:

To expedite processing of the allowed application into a patent, the applicant must: 1) pay the required fees within one month of the date of the Notice of Allowance, and 2) not file any post allowance papers not required by the Office. In no event may the issue fee be paid and accepted later than three months from the date of the Notice of Allowance.

8. After-Final and Appeal Procedures:
   To expedite prosecution, after receiving the Final Office action, applicant must: 1) promptly file a Notice of Appeal, an Appeal Brief and Appeal fees; and 2) not request a pre-appeal brief conference.

   Any amendment, affidavit or other evidence filed after Final Office action must comply with applicable rules and the requirements outlined in numbered paragraphs 5 and 6 above.

   On appeal, the application will proceed according to normal appeal procedures. After appeal, the application will again be treated special.

9. Proceedings Outside the Normal Examination Process:
   If the application becomes involved in a proceeding that is outside the normal examination process (e.g., a secrecy order, national security review, interference proceeding, petitions under 37 CFR 1.181, 182 or 183), the application will be treated special before and after such proceeding.

10. Final Disposition:
    The twelve month goal of this accelerated examination procedure ends with a final disposition. The mailing of a Final Office action, a Notice of Allowance, the filing of a Notice of Appeal, or the filing of a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) is the final disposition.

If, during prosecution, a paper is not filed electronically using EFS-web, a reply is filed but is not fully responsive, the application is involved in an appeal, or a proceeding outside normal examination process, the application will still be examined expeditiously, however, the final disposition may occur more than twelve months from the filing of the application.

Any inquiry regarding this decision should be directed to Cecilia Tsang, SPE, TC1600 at (571) 272-0562.

Cecilia Tsang

Cecilia Tsang
SPE, Art Unit 1654

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/512,089	07/30/2009	Takeshi CHUJOH	345764US2SRD DIV	5031

22850 7590 12/24/2009

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2621	

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
12/24/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com
oblonpat@oblon.com
jgardner@oblon.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

In re Application of	:	
CHUJOH, TAKESHI et al.	:	DECISION ON REQUEST TO
Application No. 12/512,089	:	PARTICIPATE IN PATENT
Filed: July 30, 2009	:	PROSECUTION HIGHWAY
Attorney Docket No. **345764US2SRD DIV**	:	PROGRAM AND PETITION
	:	TO MAKE SPECIAL UNDER
	:	37 CFR 1.102(d)

This is a decision on the request to participate in the Patent Prosecution Highway (PPH) program and the petition under 37 CFR 1.102(d), filed July 30, 2009 to make the above-identified application special.

The request and petition are **GRANTED**.

A grantable request to participate in the PPH program and petition to make special require:

(1) The U.S. application must validly claim priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a) to one or more applications filed in the JPO;
(2) Applicant must submit a copy of the allowable/patentable claim(s) from the JPO application(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(3) All the claims in the U.S. application must sufficiently correspond or be amended to sufficiently correspond to the allowable/patentable claim(s) in the JPO application(s);
(4) Examination of the U.S. application has not begun;
(5) Applicant must submit a copy of all the office actions from each of the JPO application(s) containing the allowable/patentable claim(s) along with an English translation thereof and a statement that the English translation is accurate;
(6) Applicant must submit an IDS listing the documents cited by the JPO examiner in the JPO office action along with copies of documents except U.S. patents or U.S. patent application publications; and
(7) The required petition fee under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

The request to participate in the PPH program and petition comply with the above requirements. Accordingly, the above-identified application has been accorded "special" status. The application will be examined as "special" once the application has completed all its pre-exam processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Doris To at 571-272-7629.

All other inquiries concerning the examination or status of the application should be directed to Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system.

The application is being forwarded to the examiner for action on the merits commensurate with this decision.

/Doris To/

Doris To
Quality Assurance Specialist
Technology Center 2600
Communications

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov
DIW Dec-09

UNILEVER PATENT GROUP
800 SYLVAN AVENUE
AG WEST S. WING
ENGLEWOOD CLIFFS NJ 07632-3100

COPY MAILED
JAN 13 2010

In re Application of :
Panaioli et al. :
Application Number: 12/512093 : ON PETITION
Filed: July 30, 2009 :
Attorney Docket Number: F8034(V) :
:

This is a decision on the "PETITION TO ACCORD A FILING DATE IN RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF INCOMPLETE NONPROVISIONAL APPLICATION (under 37 CFR 1.57(a) and 1.17(f))," filed on October 15, 2009, which is treated as a petition requesting that the above-identified application, without drawings, be accorded a filing date of July 30, 2009. This petition is being treated pursuant to 37 CFR 1.53(e)(2)[1].

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Application papers in the above-identified application were deposited on July 30, 2009. However, on August 18, 2009, the Office of Patent Application Processing mailed applicants a "Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application," notifying applicants that the application papers had not been accorded a filing date because the application was deposited without drawings, and stating that the oath or declaration was unsigned. In response, applicants timely filed this petition, accompanied by an oath or declaration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.63. Applicants request that the application be amended to include the inadvertently omitted drawings on the basis that the application as filed contained a prior benefit claim under 37 CFR 1.55 or 1.78.

Petitioners' arguments and evidence have been considered. However, a review of the application confirms that, as filed, the application contained at least one method claim. MPEP 601.01(f) provides that:

> It has been USPTO practice to treat an application that contains at least one process or method claim as an application for which a drawing is not necessary for an understanding of the invention under 35 U.S.C. 113 (first sentence).

---

[1] Any request for review of a notification pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section, or a notification that the original application papers lack a portion of the specification or drawing(s), must be by way of a petition pursuant to this paragraph accompanied by the fee set forth in § 1.17(f). In the absence of a timely (§ 1.181(f)) petition pursuant to this paragraph, the filing date of an application in which the applicant was notified of a filing error pursuant to paragraph (e)(1) of this section will be the date the filing error is corrected:

Thus, pursuant to § 601.01(f), a drawing is not considered essential for a filing date. The instant application is entitled to a filing date without drawings present in the application.

Accordingly, the Office should have granted the application a filing date and mailed a Notice of Omitted Items instead of a Notice of Incomplete Nonprovisional Application. As stated in MPEP 601.01(g) under the section entitled, "Application Entitled to a Filing Date," applicants may submit an amendment to include the inadvertently omitted portion of the drawing(s) pursuant to 37 CFR 1.57(a):

> [i]f an application was filed on or after September 21, 2004, and contains a claim under 37 CFR 1.55 for priority of a prior-filed foreign application, or a claim under 37 CFR 1.78 for the benefit of a prior-filed provisional, nonprovisional, or international application that was present on the filing date of the application, and the omitted portion of the drawing(s) was inadvertently omitted from the application and is completely contained in the prior-filed application[.]

Please note that no petition is required and that the amendment must comply with 37 CFR 1.57(a) and 37 CFR 1.121. See MPEP § 201.17. Petitioners may wish to consider filing a preliminary amendment to enter the inadvertently omitted drawings. If a preliminary amendment is filed, it will be reviewed for new matter by the examiner.

To the extent the instant petition requests a filing date of July 30, 2009, with no drawings present in the application, the petition is **GRANTED**.

Given the basis for granting this petition, the petition fee is being refunded.

Pursuant to this decision, the application will be referred to Office of Patent Application Processing for:

- **correction of the filing date to July 30, 2009;**
- **for indication in Office records, as appropriate, that "0" sheets of drawings were present on filing** and
- **for issuance of a filing receipt.**

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to Senior Petitions Attorney Douglas I. Wood at (571) 272-3231.

Anthony Knight
Supervisor
Office of Petitions